Stanford Law School 559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanford, CA 94305

(650) 723-4605

mlemley@law.stanford.edu

CURRENT EMPLOYMENT

Stanford Law School, Palo Alto, California

William H. Neukom Professor of Law; Director, Program in Law, Science and		
Technology	2004 – present	
Senior Fellow, Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research	2013 – present	
Affiliated Faculty, Stanford Symbolic Systems Program	2018 – present	
Visiting Professor	Fall 2003	
Courses: Patent Law; Intellectual Property and Antitrust; Introduction to Intellectual		
Property; Advanced Topics in Patents; Remedies; Trademark Law; Intermediary Liability;		
Video Game Law; The Ethical Robot		

Research Interests: intellectual property; antitrust; Internet law; robotics and artificial intelligence

Lex Lumina PLLC

Counsel

2023 - present Litigation and client counseling in all aspects of intellectual property, antitrust, and Internet law. District court and appellate practice in patent law, trade secrets, contract and software copyright before the U.S. Supreme Court, the California Supreme Court, and the Second, Fifth, Seventh, Ninth, Tenth and Federal U.S. Circuit Courts of Appeals. I have litigated more than 100 cases and argued in the California Supreme Court and 30 times in the federal appeals courts in more than 30 years as a lawyer.

EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE

Boalt Hall School of Law, University of California, Berkeley, California

Elizabeth Josselyn Boalt Chair in Law	2003 - 2004	
Professor of Law; co-Director, Berkeley Center for Law and Technology	2000 - 2003	
Visiting Professor	Fall 1998	
Lecturer	1993 - 1994	
Courses: Introduction to Intellectual Property; Electronic Commerce; Computer Law;		
Intellectual Property and Antitrust; Patent Law; IP Scholarship Seminar		

University of Texas School of Law, Austin, Texas

Marrs McLean Professor of Law	1999 - 2000	
Professor	1998 - 1999	
Assistant Professor	1994 - 1998	
Courses: Antitrust Law; Computer Law; Intellectual Property and Antitrust Law; Introduction to		
Intellectual Property; Law of the Internet; Patent Law; Regulated Industries	S	

Durie Tangri LLP, San Francisco, California

Partner

2009 - 2022 Representive clients included Genentech, Dykes on Bikes, and virtually every significant Internet company, including Amazon.com, Facebook, Google, and Twitter.

EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE CONTINUED

<u>Keker & Van Nest</u> , San Francisco, California Of Counsel Representive clients included Comcast, Genentech, Google, Grokster, Humme Intel, NetFlix, TiVo, and the University of Colorado Foundation.	2001 - 2009 r Winblad,
<u>Fish & Richardson</u> , Menlo Park, California & Austin, Texas Of Counsel Attorney Representative clients included Amicus Communications, Genentech, Mentor O 3M, Mobil Oil, Nassda, NeoForma, Thermolase, Valentis and Varian	1995 - 2001 1993 - 1994 Graphics,
<u>Brown & Bain</u> , Palo Alto, California Attorney Representative clients included EP Technologies and National Semiconductor	1992 - 1993
<u>United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit</u> , Pasadena, California Law Clerk to Judge Dorothy W. Nelson	1991 - 1992

EDUCATION

Boalt Hall School of Law, University of California at Berkeley J.D., 1991. Graduated #1 in class. California Law Review (Articles Editor); Order of the Coif; Industrial Relations Law Journal: Moot Court Board

Stanford University

A.B. with distinction, Economics and Political Science, 1988.

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Member, Board of Directors, Silicon Valley Urban Debate League, 2022 - present Member, Advisory Board, DMinti, Inc. (a private NFT art company), 2021 - present Member, Advisory Board, Clairvolex (Al-based legal tech company), 2021 - present Principal Investigator on grants from National Institutes of Health and National Science Foundation Co-founder and Member, Board of Directors, Lex Machina, Inc., 2009 – 2015 Member, American Law Institute, 2012 - present Obama for America 2008 campaign (fundraiser; served on IP and antitrust policy advisory committees) Member, Boalt Hall Alumni Association Board of Directors, 2007 - 2010 Chair, Association of American Law Schools Section on Antitrust and Economic Regulation, 2006 Member, California Blue Ribbon Task Force on Nanotechnology, 2004 - 2005 Advisor, American Law Institute "Principles of the Law of Software Contracts" Project, 2004 - 2009 Master, San Francisco Bay Area Intellectual Property Inns of Court Member, Northern District of California Patent Instructions and Rules Committee, 2000 - present Moderator, "CvberProf" Internet listserv Peer reviewer (occasional), American Law and Economics Review; Cambridge University Press; Genomics; Journal of the American Medical Association; Journal of Law, Economics and Organization: Journal of Legal Studies; Jurimetrics Journal: MIT Press: the National Science Foundation; Nature; Oxford University Press; Research Policy; Frontiers; and Science, among others ICANN domain name dispute arbitrator (disputes.org/eresolution.ca), 1999-2001 Member, Advisory Board, Electronic Frontier Foundation, 2004-present

Member, Board of Directors, University Cooperative Society (a private non-profit college bookstore), 1995-1999

Member, Panel of Academic Advisors, American Committee for Interoperable Systems, 1995-1999

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS AND COMMUNITY SERVICE continued

Member, Board of Editors, American Intellectual Property Law Association Quarterly Journal, 1994-2000 Chair, Association of American Law Schools Section on Law and Computers, 1997 Founder and Coach, Stanford Debate Team, 1985-1988

Admitted to practice in California, before the United States Supreme Court, the Second, Fifth, Seventh, Ninth, Tenth, and Federal Circuit Courts of Appeals as well as numerous district courts

AWARDS

Individual

Winner, World Technology Network's World Technology Award for Law, 2018 (also finalist 2004, 2011) Best Lawyers in America San Francisco IP Attorney of the Year, 2010 (IP), 2013 (patent litigation), 2017 (trade secrets). 2019 (trade secrets)

Managing IP Magazine, Influential Individual of the Year, 2017 P.J. Federico Award, Patent and Trademark Office Society, 2017 California Lawyer's Attorney of the Year (CLAY) Award for Antitrust, 2015 Managing IP Magazine, IP Thought Leader of the Year, 2012, 2013 Parkway Alumni Association Hall of Fame, 2012 California State Bar inaugural IP Vanguard Award, 2009 California Lawyer's Attorney of the Year (CLAY) Award for Intellectual Property, 2005 Young Alumnus of the Year, Boalt Hall School of Law, 2002

Group

American Academy of Arts and Sciences, inducted 2018 IP Hall of Fame, inducted 2014 World Economic Forum Young Global Leader, 2007 Daily Journal 100 Most Influential Attorneys in California, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2009, 2011, 2015, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2023 Managing IP Magazine, 50 Most Influential People in IP World-wide, 2012, 2018 Law360 Icons of IP. 2016 Daily Journal Top 75 IP Lawyers in California, every year since 2009 Managing IP Magazine, IP Stars 2014, 2016, 2019, 2022 National Law Journal, 100 Most Influential Lawyers in the U.S., 2006, 2013 Top 100 Lawyers in Northern California, SuperLawyers, 2013, 2014, 2016 Intellectual Asset Magazine Global Patent 1000, every year since 2012 Intellectual Asset Magazine Global IP Strategy 300, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016 Parkway Alumni Association Hall of Fame, 2012 Best Lawyers in America, recognized as an outstanding lawyer in one or more of antitrust, bet-thecompany litigation, IP, patent, and trade secret law every year since 2007 San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco's Top Attorneys 2011 Chambers 2016 (Patents); 2016 (Patent: Star Individuals); ranked every year since 2010 American Lawyer. The 25 Most Influential People in IP. 2010 IP360's Ten Most Admired IP Attorneys, 2010 Who's Who Legal 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 (Patents), 2016 (Thought Leader) IP Law and Business Magazine, Top 50 Under 45, 2008 Daily Journal Top 50 IP Lawyers in California, 2008 Corporate Counsel Magazine Top Lawyers, 2007, 2008, 2009 American Lawyer's Young Litigators Fab Fifty, 2007 Lawdragon 3000 Leading Lawyers in America 2010 Lawdragon 500 Leading Lawyers in America, 2005, 2006, 2007 Northern California SuperLawyers (IP litigation), every year since 2004

Daily Journal Top 25 Intellectual Property Attorneys in California, 2003 *Academic*

Thelen Marrin Prize (given to the top student in each graduating class), Boalt Hall School of Law, 1991 John G. Sobieski Prize in Economics, Stanford University, 1988 Truman Scholar, 1986

PUBLICATIONS

<u>Books</u>

- Video Game Law (self-published 2023), with Sonali M. Maitra, available at <u>https://law.stanford.edu/directory/mark-a-lemley/video-game-law/</u>
- Scarcity, Regulation, and the Abundance Society (Frontiers in Research 2022) (co-editor, with Deven Desai)
- Antitrust (Gilbert's Law Summaries, 12th ed. 2023), with Christopher Leslie (259 pages). Also 11th ed. 2010, with Christopher Leslie; 10th ed. 2005, with Christopher Leslie; 9th ed. 1996, with Thomas M. Jorde and Robert H. Mnookin
- **3D Printing and Beyond: IP and Regulation** (Edward Elgar 2019) (co-editor, with Dinusha Mendis & Matthew Rimmer)
- Intellectual Property in the New Technological Age 2023 (two-volumes), with Robert P. Merges, Peter S. Menell, and Shyamkrishna Balganesh (self-published, 1241 pages) (along with Teacher's Manual; also 2022 edition, 2021 edition, 2020 edition, 2019 edition, 2018 edition; 2017 edition; 2016 edition; 6th ed. 2012 (Aspen Law & Business), with Robert P. Merges & Peter S. Menell; also 5th ed. 2010; Revised 4th ed. 2007; 4th ed. 2006; 3d ed. 2003; 2d ed. 2000; 1st ed. 1997, with Robert P. Merges, Peter S. Menell, and Thomas M. Jorde (also translated into Chinese)
- Intellectual Property in the New Technological Age: 2023 Statutes, with Robert P. Merges, Peter S. Menell, and Shyamkrishna Balganesh (self-published); also 2022 Statutes 2021 Statutes, 2020 Statutes, 2019 Statutes; 2018 Statutes; 2017 Statutes; 2016 Statutes; 2015 Case and Statutory Supplement; also 2014 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2013 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2012 Statutory Supplement; 2011 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2010 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2009 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2008 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2007 Statutory Supplement; 2006 Statutory Supplement; 2005 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2004 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2003 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2002 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2003 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2002 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2001 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2002 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2001 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2002 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2001 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2002 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2001 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2002 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2001 Case and Statutory Supplement; 2000 Statutory Supplement; 1999-2000 Case and Statutory Supplement; Intellectual Property: Selected Statutes (Aspen Law & Business 1997), with Robert P. Merges, Peter S. Menell, and Thomas M. Jorde (380 pages)
- **Trademarks, Unfair Competition, and Business Torts** (Aspen Law & Business 2d ed. 2016), with Barton Beebe, Thomas Cotter, Peter S. Menell, & Robert P. Merges (1124 pages); also 1st ed. 2011
- IP and Antitrust (Aspen Law & Business, 3d ed. 2016), with Herbert Hovenkamp, Mark Janis, Christopher R. Leslie, & Michael A. Carrier (two volume treatise) (1500 pages) plus annual supplements; also 2d ed. 2010 with Herbert Hovenkamp, Mark Janis, & Christopher R. Leslie; 1st ed. 2001 with Herbert Hovenkamp & Mark Janis (also translated into Chinese)

Books continued

- **Software and Internet Law** (Aspen Law & Business, 4th ed. 2011), with Peter S. Menell, Robert P. Merges, Pamela Samuelson and Brian W. Carver (along with **Teacher's Manual**) (1205 pages); also 3d ed. 2006, with Peter S. Menell, Robert P. Merges, and Pamela Samuelson; 2d ed. 2003; and 1st ed. 2000 (also translated into Chinese)
- The Patent Crisis and How the Courts Can Solve It (University of Chicago Press 2009), with Dan L. Burk (220 pages) (also translated into Chinese and Korean); plus paperback edition 2011

Academic Journal Articles and Book Chapters (* denotes peer-reviewed article)

- <u>Common Directorship</u> (in progress), with Ishan Kumar, Rory van Loo, Anoop Manjunath & Nathan Kahrobai
- Fixing Broken Line Patent Claims (in progress), with Sarah Burstein
- Free the Market: How to Save Capitalism from Itself (in draft)
- <u>The Broken Balance: How "Built-In Apportionment" and the Failure to Apply Daubert Have Distorted</u> <u>Patent Infringement Damages</u>, <u>Harvard Journal of Law and Technology</u> (forthcoming 2024), with William Lee
- <u>Our More-than-Twenty-Year Patent Term</u>, __ **Berkeley Technology Law Journal** __ forthcoming 2024), with Jason Reinecke
- Design Patents Aren't Patents (and It's a Good Thing Too), ___ George Washington Law Review ____ (forthcoming 2024), with Mark P. McKenna
- Potentially Illegal Interlocks Among Publicly-Trade Life Sciences Companies (in draft)*, with Anoop Manjunath, Nathan Kahrobai & Ishan Kumar
- How Generative AI Will Turn Copyright Upside Down, __ Columbia Science & Technology Law Review __ (forthcoming 2024)
- <u>Foundation Models and Fair Use</u>, 23 **Journal of Machine Learning Research*** (forthcoming 2023), with Peter Henderson, Xuechen Li, Dan Jurafsky, Tatsunori Hashimoto and Percy Liang
- <u>Silo: The Supreme Court's On-Again, Off-Again Approach to the First Amendment,</u> ___ **Supreme Court Review** (forthcoming 2024), with Rebecca Tushnet
- Red Courts, Blue Courts, Mississippi Law Journal (forthcoming 2023)
- <u>Myths and Reality of Patent Law at the Supreme Court,</u> **Boston University Law Review** (forthcoming 2024), with Paul R. Gugliuzza
- The Exclusive Right to Customize?, 103 Boston University Law Review 385 (2023), with Sari Mazzurco
- <u>Freedom of Speech and Al Output</u>, 3 **Journal of Free Speech Law*** 651 (2023), with Eugene Volokh and Peter Henderson

Where's the Liability in Harmful AI Speech?, 3 Journal of Free Speech Law* 589 (2023), with Peter Henderson and Tatsunori Hashimoto

The Benefit of the Bargain, 2023 Wisconsin Law Review 237 (2023)

- The Antibody Patent Paradox, 132 Yale Law Journal 994 (2023), with Jacob Sherkow
- <u>Trademark Spaces and Trademark Law's Hidden Step Zero</u>, 75 **Stanford Law Review** 1 (2023), with Mark P. McKenna, reprinted in 2023 **Intellectual Property Law Review***
- Scarcity, Regulation, and the Abundance Society, 7 Frontiers in Research* 1104460 (2023), with Deven Desai
- How to Punish a Robot,* in **Research Handbook on the Law of Artificial Intelligence** (Edward Elgar 2022) (with Bryan Casey), republished in 13 **Revista Ultracontinental de Literatura Juridica** 172 (2021) (Portuguese translation)

The Imperial Supreme Court, 136 Harvard Law Review Forum 97 (2022)

- What Litigators Can Teach the Patent Office About Pharmaceutical Patents, 99 Washington University Law Review 1673 (2022), with S. Sean Tu
- <u>Atomistic Antitrust</u>, 63 **William & Mary Law Review** 1869 (2022), with Robin C. Feldman Winner, American Antitrust Institute Jerry S. Cohen Memorial Fund Award for outstanding paper, 2023
 - Winner, Concurrences Writing Award for outstanding paper on private antitrust enforcement, 2023
- The Contradictions of Antitrust Challenges to Platforms, 36 Antitrust* 22 (2021)
- Interfaces and Interoperability After Google v. Oracle, 100 Texas Law Review 1 (2021), with Pamela Samuelson, reprinted in 2022 Intellectual Property Law Review*
- <u>The Death of the Genus Claim</u>, 35 **Harvard Journal of Law and Technology** 1 (2021), with Dmitry Karshtedt and Sean Seymore
- <u>Disappearing Content</u>, 101 Boston University Law Review 1255 (2021), reprinted in 2022 Intellectual Property Law Review* and in Southwestern Intellectual Property Review (forthcoming) (Chinese translation)

The Contradictions of Platform Regulation, 1 Journal of Free Speech Law* 303 (2021)

- Does Alice Target Patent Trolls?, 18 Journal of Empirical Legal Studies* 47 (2021), with Samantha Zyontz
- <u>Fair Learning</u>, 99 Texas Law Review 743 (2021), with Bryan Casey, reprinted in The Legal Structure of Emerging Technologies: Social and Legal Challenges (Cambridge Univ. Press forthcoming 2025)

The Splinternet, 70 Duke Law Journal 1397 (2021)

Exit Strategy, 101 Boston University Law Review 1 (2021), with Andrew McCreary

- <u>Abandoning Trade Secrets</u>, 73 **Stanford Law Review** 1 (2021), with Camilla Hrdy, reprinted in 48 **Macau Law Review** (forthcoming 2022) (Chinese translation)
- <u>The Role of Antitrust in Preventing Patent Holdup</u>, 168 **University of Pennsylvania Law Review** 2019 (2020), with Carl Shapiro, reprinted in 13 **Revista Ultracontinental de Literatura Juridica** 16 (2022) (Portuguese translation)

Chief Justice Webster, 106 Iowa Law Review 299 (2020)

<u>Pledging Intellectual Property for COVID-19</u>, 38 **Nature Biotechnology*** 1146 (2020), with Jorge Contreras, Ariel Bacaner Ganz, Michael Eisen, Jenny Molloy, Frank Tietz, and Diane Peters

You Might Be a Robot, 105 Cornell Law Review 287 (2020), with Bryan Casey

<u>The Medicare Innovation Subsidy</u>, 95 **New York University Law Review** 75 (2020), with Lisa Ouellette & Rachel Sachs

Without Preamble, 100 Boston University Law Review 357 (2020)

- <u>Playing Both Sides?</u> Branded Sales, Generics, and Patent Policy, 71 Hastings Law Journal 307 (2020) with Michael A. Carrier & Shawn Miller
- Unfair Disruption, 100 Boston University Law Review 71 (2020), with Mark P. McKenna

Remedies for Robots, 86 University of Chicago Law Review 1311 (2019), with Bryan Casey

How Esential Are Standard-Essential Patents?, 104 Cornell Law Review 607 (2019), with Tim Simcoe

- The Sound and Fury of Patent Activity, 103 Minnesota Law Review 1793 (2019), with Robin C. Feldman
- <u>Fame, Parody, and Policing in Trademark Law</u>, 2019 Michigan State Law Review 1 (2019), reprinted in Charting Limitations on Trademark Rights (Haochen Sun ed., Oxford University Press 2020)

Winner, International Trademark Association Ladas Memorial Award for best paper on trademark law, 2019

Privacy, Property, and Publicity, 117 Michigan Law Review 1153 (2019)

<u>The Patent Enforcement Iceberg</u>, 97 **Texas Law Review** 801 (2019), with Erik Oliver and Kent Richardson

Intelligent Design, 68 Duke Law Journal 75 (2018), with Christopher J. Buccafusco and Jonathan Masur

- Law, Virtual Reality, and Augmented Reality, 166 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 1051 (2018), with Eugene Volokh
- Can a Court Change the Law By Saying Nothing?, 71 Vanderbilt Law Review 765 (2018), with Paul Gugliuzza
- <u>Is Patent Litigation Efficient?</u>, 98 Boston University Law Review 649 (2018), with Robin C. Feldman, reprinted in Research Handbook in Intellectual Property and Technology Transfer 320 (Jacob Rooksby ed. 2019)

<u>Platforms and Interoperability in Oracle v. Google</u>, 31 Harvard Journal of Law and Technology 603 (2018), with Joseph C. Gratz

The Fruit of the Poisonous Tree in IP Law, 103 Iowa Law Review 245 (2017)

<u>Functionality Screens</u>, 103 Virginia Law Review 1293 (2017), with Christopher J. Buccafusco), reprinted in 2018 Intellectual Property Law Review

The Real Law of Virtual Reality, 51 UC Davis Law Review 51 (2017), with Eugene Volokh

How Often Do Non-Practicing Entities Win Patent Cases?, 32 Berkeley Technology Law Journal 235 (2017), with John R. Allison & David L. Schwartz

<u>Did eBay Irreparably Injure Trademark Law?</u>, 92 Notre Dame Law Review 1793 (2017), reprinted in 2018 Intellectual Property Law Review

Expecting the Unexpected, 92 Notre Dame Law Review 1369 (2017)

Patent Licensing, Technology Transfer, and Innovation, 106 American Economic Review* 198 (2016), with Robin C. Feldman

The Surprising Resilience of the Patent System, 95 Texas Law Review 1 (2016)

Patent Purchases and Litigation Outcomes, 2016 Patently-O Patent Law Journal 15, with Kent Richardson, Erik Oliver, Michael Costa, and James Yoon

Rethinking Assignor Estoppel, 54 Houston Law Review 513 (2016)

Ready for Patenting, 96 Boston University Law Review 1171 (2016)

<u>Open Letter on Ethical Norms in IP Scholarship</u>, 29 **Harvard Journal of Law and Technology** 339 (2016), with Robin C. Feldman, Jonathan Masur, and Arti Rai

Scope, 57 William & Mary Law Review 2197 (2016), with Mark P. McKenna

- If You Can't Beat 'em, Join 'em? How Sitting By Designation Changes Judicial Behavior, 94 Texas Law Review 451 (2016), with Shawn Miller
- Antitrust Arbitration and Merger Approval, 110 Northwestern University Law Review 1 (2015), with Christopher R. Leslie

Winner, American Antitrust Institute Jerry S. Cohen Memorial Fund Writing Award for outstanding paper on private antitrust enforcement, 2016

- Do Patent Licensing Demands Mean Innovation?, 101 Iowa Law Review 137 (2015), with Robin C. Feldman
- Our Divided Patent System, 82 University of Chicago Law Review 1073 (2015), with John R. Allison & David L. Schwartz

Antitrust Arbitration and Illinois Brick, 100 Iowa Law Review 2115 (2015), with Christopher R. Leslie

<u>Faith-Based IP</u>, 62 UCLA Law Review 1328 (2015), reprinted in Intellectual Property Law and Technology Journal (2016) (Japanese translation)

- <u>IP in a World Without Scarcity</u>, 90 New York University Law Review 460 (2015), reprinted in 1 Journal of Intellectual Property Studies 10 (India) (2017), reviewed in Regulation, Fall 2014, at 79.
- Does "Public Use" Mean the Same Thing It Did Last Year?, 93 Texas Law Review 1119 (2014), reprinted in 2016 Intellectual Property Law Review
- <u>Understanding the Realities of Modern Patent Litigation</u>, 92 **Texas Law Review** 1769 (2014), with John R. Allison & David L. Schwartz
- Does Familiarity Breed Contempt Among Judges Deciding Patent Cases?, 66 Stanford Law Review 1121 (2014), with Jennifer Urban & Su Li
- The Audience in IP Infringement, 112 Michigan Law Review 1251 (2014), with Jeanne Fromer
- Taking the Regulatory Nature of IP Seriously, 92 Texas Law Review See Also 68 (2014)
- A Rational System of Design Patent Remedies, 17 Stanford Technology Law Review 219 (2013)
- Do Applicant Patent Citations Matter?, 42 Research Policy* 844 (2013), with Christopher A. Cotropia & Bhaven Sampat
- Parody as Brand, 47 U.C. Davis Law Review 473 (2013), with Stacey L. Dogan, reprinted in The Luxury Economy and Intellectual Property: Critical Reflections 93 (Barton Beebe et al. eds, Oxford University Press 2015), and reprinted in 105 Trademark Reporter 1177 (2016)
- <u>A Simple Approach to Setting Reasonable Royalties for Standard-Essential Patents</u>, 28 **Berkeley Technology Law Journal** 1135 (2013), with Carl Shapiro Winner, Concurrences Antitrust Writing Award for outstanding antitrust paper, 2014

Why Do Juries Decide If Patents Are Valid?, 99 Virginia Law Review 1673 (2013)

<u>Missing the Forest for the Trolls</u>, 113 Columbia Law Review 2117 (2013), with A. Douglas Melamed, abridged in Patent Asssertion Entities and Competition Policy 9 (Danny Sokol ed., Cambridge University Press 2017) Winner, ABA Antitrust Writing Award 2014

Software Patents and the Return of Functional Claiming, 2013 Wisconsin Law Review 905

<u>The Fractioning of Patent Law</u>, in **Intellectual Property and the Common Law*** 504 (Shyamkrishna Balganesh ed., Cambridge University Press 2013)

Rush to Judgment? Trial Length and Outcomes in Patent Cases, 41 American Intellectual Property Law Association Quarterly Journal* 169 (2013), with Clint Martin & Jamie Kendall

Fixing the Patent Office, 13 Innovation Policy and the Economy* 83 (2013)

The Regulatory Turn in IP, 36 Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy 109 (2013)

Patent Holdup, the ITC, and the Public Interest, 98 Cornell Law Review 1 (2012), with Colleen V. Chien

Examiner Characteristics and Patent Office Outcomes, 94 **Review of Economics and Statistics*** 817 (2012), with Bhaven Sampat

Is Pepsi Really a Substitute for Coke? Market Definition in Antitrust and IP, 100 Georgetown Law Journal 2055 (2012), with Mark P. McKenna, reprinted in Antitrust, Intellectual Property, and High Tech 183 (Daniel Sokol & Roger Blair eds., Cambridge University Press 2017), plus Chinese translation (2020)

The Dubious Autonomy of Virtual Worlds, 2 Irvine Law Review 575 (2012)

Contracting Around Liability Rules, 100 California Law Review 463 (2012)

The Myth of the Sole Inventor, 110 Michigan Law Review 709 (2012)

Don't Break the Internet, 64 Stanford Law Review Online 34 (2011), available at <u>http://www.stanfordlawreview.org/online/dont-break-internet</u>, with David S. Levine & David Post, reprinted at <u>http://www.openskill.lu/ensopa-concernedfrsopa-tout-monde-est-concern/</u> (French translation), and at <u>http://www.huffingtonpost.com/mark-lemley/protect-ip-act_b_1162702.html</u> (abridged version)

Point of Novelty, 105 Northwestern University Law Review 1253 (2011)

Industry-Specific Antitrust Policy for Innovation, 2011 Columbia Business Law Review 637 (2011)

- Tailoring Patents to Different Industries, in Biotechnology and Software Patent Law: A Comparative Review of New Developments (Emanuela Arezzo & Gustavo Ghidini eds, Edward Elgar 2011), with Dan L. Burk
- Earning Exclusivity: Generic Incentives and the Hatch-Waxman Act, 77 Antitrust Law Journal* 947 (2011), with C. Scott Hemphill

The Ongoing Confusion Over Ongoing Royalties, 76 Missouri Law Review 695 (2011)

- Life After *Bilski*, 63 **Stanford Law Review** 1315 (2011), with Michael Risch, Ted Sichelman, and R. Polk Wagner, reprinted in 2012 **Intellectual Property Law Review***
- Who Chooses Open Source Software?, 78 University of Chicago Law Review 139 (2011), with Ziv Shafir (National Institutes of Health grant # NGA: 1 R01 HG003769-01)
- Patent Quality and Settlement Among Repeat Patent Litigants, 99 Georgetown Law Journal 677 (2011), with John R. Allison & Joshua Walker
- <u>Is the Sky Falling for the Content Industries?</u>, 9 **Journal of Telecommunications and High Technology Law** 125 (2011), reprinted in <u>http://www.dseneste.dk/index.php/politik/falder-himlen-ned-over</u> <u>-musikbranchen-medier-film-tv-radio-forlag/</u> (Danish translation)
- Can the Patent Office be Fixed?, in Rules for Growth* 367 (Robert Litan ed. 2011), reprinted in 15 Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review 295 (2011)

Owning Mark(et)s, 109 Michigan Law Review 137 (2010), with Mark McKenna

Irrelevant Confusion, 62 Stanford Law Review 413 (2010), with Mark McKenna

<u>A Structured Approach to Calculating Reasonable Royalties</u>, 14 Lewis & Clark Law Review 627 (2010), with Daralyn J. Durie, reprinted in 2011 Intellectual Property Law Review*

- Our Bizarre System for Proving Copyright Infringement, 57 Journal of the Copyright Society* 719 (2010)
- Where to File Your Patent Case, 38 American Intellectual Property Law Association Quarterly Journal* 401 (2010), reprinted in AIPPI-Japan (2011) (Japanese translation) and in Chizai Prism (2011) (Japanese translation)
- Examining Patent Examination, 2010 Stanford Technology Law Review 2, with Bhaven Sampat, reprinted in 2011 Intellectual Property Law Review*
- Extreme Value or Trolls on Top? Evidence From the Most-Litigated Patents, 158 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 1 (2009), with John R. Allison & Josh Walker
- Distinguishing Lost Profits From Reasonable Royalties, 51 William & Mary Law Review 655 (2009)
- <u>Copying in Patent Law</u>, 87 **North Carolina Law Review** 1421 (2009), with Christopher A. Cotropia
- <u>Fence Posts or Sign Posts? Rethinking Patent Claim Construction</u>, 157 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 1743 (2009), with Dan L. Burk, reprinted in 42 Intellectual Property Law Review* (2010) and in International Patent Law and Policy: Cases and Materials (2013)

Antitrust Law and Regulatory Gaming, 87 Texas Law Review 685 (2009), with Stacey L. Dogan

Courts and the Patent System, Regulation, Summer 2009, at 18, with Dan L. Burk

- <u>A Cautious Defense of Intellectual Oligopoly With Fringe Competition</u>, 5 Review of Law and Economics* 3 (2009) (reviewing Michele Boldrin & David Levine, Against Intellectual Monopoly), reprinted in Indian Journal of Intellectual Property Law (2010)
- California Restrictive Employee Covenants After *Edwards*, 23 California Labor and Employment Law Review 3 (2009), with James H.A. Pooley
- <u>Is The Patent Office A Rubber Stamp?</u>, 58 **Emory Law Journal** 181 (2008) with Bhaven Sampat, reprinted in **Patent Prosecution** (India) (2009)
- <u>A Realistic Approach to the Obviousness of Inventions</u>, 50 William & Mary Law Review 989 (2008), with Daralyn J. Durie, reprinted in Nonobviousness and Patents 35 (India) (2010)
- <u>The Surprising Virtues of Treating Trade Secrets as IP Rights</u>, 61 **Stanford Law Review** 311 (2008), abridged in **The Law and Theory of Trade Secrecy: A Handbook of Contemporary Research** (Rochelle C. Dreyfuss & Katherine J. Strandburg eds. 2011), and reprinted in **Andean Yearbook of Intellectual Rights** 157 (Peru) (2013) and in **Critical Concepts in Intellectual Property Law** (Robert P. Merges ed. 2014)
- <u>Categorical Analysis in Antitrust Jurisprudence</u>, 93 **Iowa Law Review** 1207 (2008), with Christopher R. Leslie
- How to Make a Patent Market, 36 Hofstra Law Review 257 (2008), with Nathan Myhrvold
- Ignoring Patents, 2008 Michigan State Law Review 19, reprinted in The Future of the Patent System 79 (Japan) (Ryo Shiminami ed. Edward Elgar 2012)

- <u>The Trademark Use Requirement in Dilution Cases</u>, 24 Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal 541 (2008), with Stacey L. Dogan, reprinted in Trademark Dilution: Applications and Implications (India) 103 (2008)
- <u>Are Universities Patent Trolls?</u>, 18 Fordham Intellectual Property, Media & Entertainment Law Journal 611 (2008), reprinted in Academic Patents: Emerging Issues and Challenges (India) 80 (2008), in Patent Licensing (India) (2009), and in Perspectives on Commercializing Innovation (Cambridge University Press 2012)
- Rationalizing Internet Safe Harbors, 6 Journal of Telecommunications and High Tech Law 101 (2007), reprinted in 7 ICFAI Journal of Cyber Law (India) 45 (2008), in Trademarks and Internet (India) (2009), in Internet Service Provider Liability: Legal Dimensions (India) (2008), and in Copyright for the 21st Century (Brian Fitzgerald & Benedict Atkinson eds. 2011) (Australia)
- <u>A Search-Costs Theory of Limiting Doctrines in Trademark Law</u>, in **Trademark Law and Theory: A Handbook of Contemporary Research** 65 (Graeme Dinwoodie & Mark D. Janis, eds. 2007), with Stacey L. Dogan, reprinted in 97 **Trademark Reporter** 1223 (2007), reprinted in **Technical Protection Measures and Their Legal Implications** (India) (forthcoming 2010), and reprinted in **Trademark Protection: Emerging Concerns** (India) (2009)
- <u>A New Balance Between IP and Antitrust</u>, 13 Southwestern Journal of Law and Trade in the Americas 237 (2007), reprinted in 7 ICFAI Journal of International Business Law 64 (India) (2008), in Patents vs. Competition: A Legal Debate (India) (2009), and in Analisis Economics del Derecho 289 (Chile) (2017) (Spanish translation)
- Rethinking Patent Law's Presumption of Validity, 60 Stanford Law Review 45 (2007), with Doug Lichtman, reprinted in Patent Examination: A Critical Study (India) (2009) and in Regulating Innovation: Competition Policy and Patent Law Under Uncertainty (Cambridge Univ. Press 2011)
- <u>Should a Licensing Market Require Licensing?</u>, 70 Law and Contemporary Problems* 185 (2007), reprinted in Agency. Franchising, and Licensing Contracts (India) (2009)
- <u>Grounding Trademark Law Through Trademark Use</u>, 92 **Iowa Law Review** 1669 (2007), with Stacey L. Dogan, reprinted in 98 **Trademark Reporter** 1345 (2008), and in **Beijing Private Law Review** (forthcoming 2014) (Chinese translation)
- Patent Holdup and Royalty Stacking, 85 Texas Law Review 1991 (2007), with Carl Shapiro, reprinted in FRAND Literature Collection (Chinese translation) (2019)
- Reply: Patent Holdup and Royalty Stacking, 85 Texas Law Review 2163 (2007), with Carl Shapiro
- Should Patent Infringement Require Proof of Copying?, 105 Michigan Law Review 1525 (2007), reprinted in 7:2 IFCAI Journal of Intellectual Property Rights 31 (India) (2008)
- <u>The (Unnoticed) Demise of the Doctrine of Equivalents</u>, 59 **Stanford Law Review** 955 (2007), with John R. Allison

<u>The Limits of Claim Differentiation</u>, 22 **Berkeley Technology Law Journal** 1389 (2007), reprinted in **Patent Claims: Judicial Interpretation and Analysis** 1(India) (2008)

Should Property or Liability Rules Govern Information?, 85 Texas Law Review 783 (2007), with Philip J. Weiser

- Ten Things to Do About Patent Holdup of Standards (and One Not To), 48 Boston College Law Review 149 (2007), reprinted in 6 ICFAI Journal of Intellectual Property Rights (India) 38 (2007) and in The Standards Edge: Golden Mean 59 (2007)
- Spillovers, 107 Columbia Law Review 257 (2007), with Brett M. Frischmann
- What the Right of Publicity Can Learn From Trademark Law, 58 Stanford Law Review 1161 (2006), with Stacey L. Dogan, reprinted in 2007 Intellectual Property Law Review* 383 and in Chinese translation (2010)
- Terms of Use, 91 Minnesota Law Review 459 (2006), reprinted in E-Contracts (India) (2008)
- Inherency, 47 William & Mary Law Review 371 (2005), with Dan L. Burk, reprinted in 38 Intellectual Property Law Review* (2006)
- <u>The Changing Meaning of Patent Claim Terms</u>, 104 **Michigan Law Review** 101 (2005), reprinted in **Patent Claims: Construction and Drafting** 1 (India) (IFCAI Books 2008)
- Patenting Nanotechnology, 58 Stanford Law Review 601 (2005), reprinted in 30 Derecho y Sociedad (Peru) 287 (2008) (in Spanish) and in Patenting of Nanotech Inventions: A Debate (India) (C. Sri Krishna ed. 2007)
- Inducing Patent Infringement, 39 U.C. Davis Law Review 225 (2005), reprinted in 51:12 AIPPI Japan 16 (December 2006) (in Japanese) and in Patent Infringement: Disputes and Remedial Options 83 (India) (C. Sri Krishna ed. 2008)
- The Merchandising Right: Fragile Theory or Fait Accompli?, 54 Emory Law Journal 461 (2005), with Stacey L. Dogan, reprinted in **Trademarks** (Graeme Dinwoodie ed. 2011)
- Quantum Patent Mechanics, 9 Lewis & Clark Law Review 29 (2005), with Dan L. Burk
- <u>What's Different About Intellectual Property</u>, 83 **Texas Law Review** 1097 (2005), reprinted in **Intellectual Property and Business** (Stephen E. Margolis & Craig M. Newmark eds. 2009)
- Property, Intellectual Property, and Free Riding, 83 Texas Law Review 1031 (2005), reprinted in Intellectual Property and Business (Stephen E. Margolis & Craig M. Newmark eds. 2009) and in 10 Private Law Review 123 (China) (2012) (Chinese translation)
- What To Do About Bad Patents, **Regulation**, Winter 2005-06, at 10 (2005), with Douglas Lichtman & Bhaven N. Sampat; reprinted in **IP Law and Business**, January 2006, at 20
- <u>A Quick and Inexpensive System for Resolving Digital Copyright Disputes</u>, 23 Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal 1 (2005), with R. Anthony Reese
- <u>Unilateral Refusals to License in the U.S.</u>, in **Antitrust, Patents and Copyright: EU and US Perspectives** 12 (Francois Leveque & Howard Shelanski eds. 2005), with Herbert Hovenkamp & Mark Janis, reprinted in 2 **Journal of Competition Law and Economics** 1 (2006), and in reprinted in **Intellectual Property and Competition** (Edward Elgar 2011)
- Divided Infringement Claims, 33 American Intellectual Property Law Association Quarterly Journal* 255 (2005), with Ryan M. Kent, David W. O'Brien, Ashok Ramani, and Robert Van Nest, reprinted in 6 Sedona Conference Journal 117 (2005), and reprinted in Economic Law and Justice in Times of Globalisation (Mario Monti ed. 2007)

- Probabilistic Patents, 19 Journal of Economic Perspectives* 75 (2005), with Carl Shapiro, reprinted in China Technology Law Annual Report 2007 (Huazhong Univ. Press 2008) (Chinese translation)
- Designing Optimal Software Patents, in Intellectual Property Rights in Frontier Industries: Software and Biotechnology (Robert E. Hahn ed. 2005), with Dan L. Burk, reprinted in Software Patents: Legal Perspectives (India) (A.V. Narsimha Rao ed. 2007) and in Critical Concepts in Intellectual Property Law (Richard S. Gruner ed. 2013)
- <u>Trademarks and Consumer Search Costs on the Internet</u>, 41 Houston Law Review 777 (2004), with Stacey L. Dogan, reprinted in Critical Concepts in Intellectual Property Law (Robert P. Merges ed. 2013)
- <u>Valuable Patents</u>, 92 **Georgetown Law Journal** 435 (2004), with John R. Allison, Kimberly A. Moore & Derek Trunkey, reprinted in 37 **Intellectual Property Law Review** (2005)
- <u>Reducing Digital Copyright Infringement Without Restricting Innovation</u>, 56 **Stanford Law Review** 1345 (2004), with R. Anthony Reese
- Ex Ante Versus Ex Post Justifications for Intellectual Property, 71 University of Chicago Law Review 129 (2004), reprinted in The Political Economy of Intellectual Property Rights (Edward Elgar 2011)
- Ending Abuse of Patent Continuations, 84 Boston University Law Review 63 (2004), with Kimberly A. Moore
- Balancing Ease and Accuracy in Assessing Pharmaceutical Exclusion Payments, 88 Minnesota Law Review 712 (2004), with Herbert Hovenkamp & Mark D. Janis
- <u>Are the United States Patent Priority Rules Really Necessary?</u>, 54 **Hastings Law Journal** 1299 (2003), with Colleen Chien; reprinted in 36 **Intellectual Property Law Review** 3 (2004)
- ICANN and Antitrust 2003 University of Illinois Law Review 1, with A. Michael Froomkin
- Anticompetitive Settlement of Intellectual Property Disputes, 87 Minnesota Law Review 1719 (2003), with Herbert Hovenkamp & Mark Janis, reprinted in Chinese translation
- Policy Levers in Patent Law, 89 Virginia Law Review 1575 (2003), with Dan L. Burk, reprinted in 14 Intellectual Property Law and Policy Journal 45 (2007) and 15 Intellectual Property Law and Policy Journal 53 (2007) (Japanese and Chinese translations), in Policy Comment on Intellectual Property Rights (2009) (Chinese translation), and in Biotechnology and Software Patent Law: A Comparative Review on New Developments (2011) (abridged version)
- <u>Place and Cyberspace</u>, 91 California Law Review 521 (2003), reprinted in Steven Wildman ed., Rethinking Rights and Regulations: Institutional Responses to New Communication Technologies (MIT Press 2003), and reprinted in Rights in the Digital Era: Freedom of Speech and Intellectual Property (2005) (Italian translation)
- Biotechnology's Uncertainty Principle, in 50 Advances in Genetics: Perspectives on Properties of the Human Genome Project 305 (2003), with Dan L. Burk, reprinted in 54 Case Western Reserve Law Review 691 (2004) and in Biotechnology and Software Patent Law (Edward Elgar 2012)

- Ending Patent Law's Willfulness Game, 18 Berkeley Technology Law Journal 1085 (2003), with Ragesh K. Tangri
- Intellectual Property Rights and Standard-Setting Organizations, 90 California Law Review 1889 (2002), reprinted in Critical Concepts in Intellectual Property Law (Edward Elgar 2011)
- The Growing Complexity of the Patent System, 82 Boston University Law Review 77 (2002), with John Allison
- <u>Is Patent Law Technology-Specific?</u>, 17 **Berkeley Technology Law Journal** 1155 (2002), with Dan L. Burk, reprinted in **Intellectual Property and Biotechnology** (Arti Rai ed. forthcoming 2011)
- <u>Foreseeability in Patent Law</u>, 16 **Berkeley Technology Law Journal** 1045 (2001), with Matthew J. Conigliaro & Andrew C. Greenberg
- Rational Ignorance at the Patent Office, 95 Northwestern University Law Review 1495 (2001)
- <u>The End of End-to-End: Preserving the Architecture of the Internet in the Broadband Era</u>, 48 UCLA Law Review 925 (2001), with Lawrence Lessig, reprinted in Mark N. Cooper ed., Open Architecture as Communications Policy (2004)
- Patent Scope and Innovation in the Software Industry, 89 California Law Review 1 (2001), with Julie E. Cohen
- <u>Who's Patenting What? An Empirical Exploration of Patent Prosecution</u>, 53 **Vanderbilt Law Review** 2099 (2000), with John Allison
- Private Property, 52 Stanford Law Review 1545 (2000)
- How Federal Circuit Judges Vote in Patent Validity Cases, 29 Florida State University Law Review 745 (2000), with John Allison; reprinted at 10 Federal Circuit Bar Journal 435 (2001)
- The Constitutionalization of Technology Law, 15 Berkeley Technology Law Journal 529 (2000)
- Reconceiving Patents in the Age of Venture Capital, 4 Journal of Small and Emerging Business Law 137 (2000) (reprinted in China's Invention and Patent (2004) (Chinese translation))
- <u>Standardizing Government Standard-Setting Policy for Electronic Commerce</u>, 14 **Berkeley Technology** Law Journal 745 (1999)
- <u>The Modern Lanham Act and the Death of Common Sense</u>, 108 Yale Law Journal 1687 (1999), reprinted in Intellectual Property and Business (Stephen E. Margolis & Craig M. Newmark eds. 2009)
- Beyond Preemption: The Law and Policy of Intellectual Property Licensing, 87 California Law Review 111 (1999)
- The Law and Economics of Internet Norms, 73 Chicago-Kent Law Review 1257 (1998)
- <u>Freedom of Speech and Injunctions in Intellectual Property Cases</u>, 48 **Duke Law Journal** 147 (1998), with Eugene Volokh

- Legal Implications of Network Economic Effects, 86 California Law Review 479 (1998), with David McGowan
- Empirical Evidence on the Validity of Litigated Patents, 26 American Intellectual Property Law Association Quarterly Journal* 185 (1998), with John Allison, excerpted in Foundations of Intellectual Property 257 (Robert Merges & Jane Ginsburg eds. 2012)
- <u>Could Java Change Everything?</u> The Competitive Propriety of a Proprietary Standard, 43 Antitrust Bulletin* 715 (1998), with David McGowan, reprinted in 3 Journal of Internet Law* 19 (March 1999)

Recent Developments in Patent Law, 6 Texas Intellectual Property Law Journal 103 (1997)

<u>Understanding the Economic Espionage Act of 1996</u>, 5 **Texas Intellectual Property Law Journal** 177 (1997), with James H.A. Pooley and Peter J. Toren

Dealing With Overlapping Copyrights on the Internet, 22 University of Dayton Law Review 547 (1997)

<u>The Economics of Improvement in Intellectual Property Law</u>, 75 **Texas Law Review** 989 (1997), reprinted as monograph (Lambert Academic Publishing, Saarbrucken, Germany 2010), and excerpted in **Foundations of Intellectual Property** 371 (Robert Merges & Jane Ginsburg eds. 2012)

Encouraging Software Reuse, 49 Stanford Law Review 255 (1997), with David O'Brien

Romantic Authorship and the Rhetoric of Property, 75 **Texas Law Review** 873 (1997) (review of James Boyle, Shamans, Software and Spleens: Law and the Construction of the Information Society), reprinted in Peter Drahos ed., **Intellectual Property** (2000)

Antitrust and the Internet Standardization Problem, 28 Connecticut Law Review 1041 (1996)

Intellectual Property and Shrinkwrap Licenses, 68 Southern California Law Review 1239 (1995)

<u>An Empirical Study of the Twenty-Year Patent Term</u>, 22 **American Intellectual Property Law Association Quarterly Journal**^{*} 369 (1995), reprinted in IV Ruth Towse & Rudi Holzhauer eds., **The Economics of Intellectual Property** (Edward Elgar Publishing 2002)

Convergence in the Law of Software Copyright?, 10 High Technology Law Journal 1 (1995)

<u>Rights of Attribution and Integrity in Online Communications</u>, 1995 **Journal of Online Law**^{*} art. 2 (May 1995) (http://www.wm.edu/law/publications/jol), reprinted in Gary Gumpert, ed., **Real Law @ Virtual Space: Regulation in Cyberspace** (Hampton Press 1999)

Shrinkwraps in Cyberspace, 35 Jurimetrics Journal^{*} 311 (1995)

Antitrust Counterclaims in Patent and Copyright Infringement Cases, 3 Texas Intellectual Property Law Journal 1 (1994)

Antitrust Immunity: State Action and Federalism, Petitioning and the First Amendment, 17 Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy 293 (1994), with David McGowan

<u>The Antitrust Liability of Labor Unions for Anticompetitive Litigation</u>, 80 **California Law Review** 757 (1992), with Daralyn J. Durie

Summary Judgment in Antitrust Cases: Understanding Monsanto and Matsushita, 36 Antitrust Bulletin^{*} 271 (1991), with Thomas M. Jorde

The Economic Irrationality of the Patent Misuse Doctrine, 78 California Law Review 1599 (1990)

United States v. Syufy, 20:3 Antitrust Law and Economics Review^{*} 9 (1989)

SELECTED SHORTER PAPERS, PRESENTATIONS, AND ACTIVITIES

Other Papers and Published Speeches

<u>Discrimination and the Human Algorithm</u>, in **Yale Journal of Regulation** (2023), <u>https://www.yalejreg.com/nc/discrimination-and-the-human-algorithm-by-mark-lemley/</u> (reviewing Orly Lobel, *The Equality Machine*)

Banning Noncompetes is Good for Innovation, Harvard Business Review, February 6, 2023, https://hbr.org/2023/02/banning-noncompetes-is-good-for-innovation, with Orly Lobel

Other Papers and Published Speeches continued

The Contradictions of Antitrust Challenges to Platforms, 36:1 Antitrust 22 (2021)

How Venture Capital's "Exit Strategy" Drives Tech Industry Concentration, **Concurrentialiste** (October 2020), with Andrew McCreary

Creative Destruction and Concentration, American Conservative (October 2020), with Andrew McCreary

When Generic Companies Play Both Sides, STAT, March 2020, with Michael A. Carrier and Shawn Miller

Silicon Valley Needs to Build Out, Not Cash Out, Fortune, March 2020, with Andrew McCreary

- <u>The Facts Show That Qualcomm's No-License, No-Chips Policy Was a Successful Policy, Not an Empty</u> <u>Threat</u>, **Truth on the Market** blog colloquy, <u>https://truthonthemarket.com/2020/01/22/the-facts-show-that-no-license-no-chips-was-a-successful-policy-not-an-empty-threat-a-reply-to-manneand-auers-new-argument/</u> (January 22, 2020), with A. Douglas Melamed & Stephen Salop
- <u>Trump Justice Department's 'Investigation' of California's Deal With Car Makers is n Antitrust Abuse of</u> <u>Power</u>, **CalMatters**, <u>https://calmatters.org/commentary/auto-investigation/</u> (October 20, 2019), with David McGowan
- <u>Who's to Blame for Bad Things on the Internet?</u>, **Legal Aggregate** blog, <u>https://law.stanford.edu/2019/07/15/whos-to-blame-for-bad-things-on-the-</u> <u>internet/?linkId=70563986</u> (2019)

Please Reject me: An Open Letter to the Harvard Law Review, 22 Green Bag 2d 235 (2019)

- <u>"Characteristic of a Pirate": Willfulness and Treble Damages</u> (with Michael Feldman), **Daily Journal**, July 2016, available at http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2811773
- <u>Judging Judge Persky</u> (op-ed), **San Jose Mercury-News**, June 24, 2016, available at http://www.mercurynews.com/opinion/ci_30052022/lemley-recall-persky-over-brock-turnerstanford-case

Other Papers and Published Speeches continued

- Why Notice-and-Takedown is a Big of Copyright Law Worth Saving (op-ed), L.A. Times, June 21, 2016, available at http://www.latimes.com/opinion/op-ed/la-oe-sprigman-lemley-notice-and-takedowndmca-20160621-snap-story.html, with Christopher Sprigman
- <u>Taking Functional Claiming Seriously</u>, submission to PTO Patent Quality Initiative, April 21, 2015, reprinted in **Berkeley Technology Law Journal Commentaries** (2016), available at http://btlj.org/2016/03/taking-functional-claiming-seriously/
- <u>IP and Other Regulations</u>, **Balkinization**, March 27, 2015, available at http://balkin.blogspot.com/2015/03/ip-and-other-regulations.html
- <u>IP Attitudes Must Adapt to a World Without Scarcity</u> (op-ed), **Bangkok Post**, April 5, 2014, available at http://www.bangkokpost.com/opinion/opinion/403527/ip-attitudes-must-adapt-to-a-world-withoutscarcity
- Did the Federal Circuit Just Adopt Functional Claiming Through the Back Door?, Patently-O, June 25, 2013, available at <u>http://www.patentlyo.com/patent/2013/06/did-the-federal-circuit-just-adopt-functional-claiming-through-the-back-door.html</u>

Let's Go Back to Patenting the Solution, Not the Problem (op-ed), Wired, October 30, 2012

- Why the Fed Should Buy Munis, Not Mortgages (op-ed), New York Times, October 24, 2012, with Joe Grundfest and George Triantis
- Patents, Smartphones, and the Public Interest (op-ed), New York Times, December 13, 2011
- Protect Innovators, Not Copyright Lawyers (op-ed), National Law Journal, October 19, 2011
- If AT&T Marries T-Mobile, All of Us Will Lose (op-ed), Sacramento Bee, August 9, 2011
- <u>An Antitrust Analysis of the Google Book Search Settlement</u>, 2010-2 **ami Tijdscrift voor Auteurs-**, **Media- & Informatierecht** 55, <u>http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1431555</u> (2010)
- Don't Tailor-Make Patent Law, National Law Journal, May 11, 2009 (op-ed), with Dan L. Burk
- Time for Congress to innovate, reform abused patent system, San Jose Mercury-News, June 15, 2006, at 20A (op-ed)
- End to End: The Architectural Principle of Open Access, 22 Whittier Law Review 3 (2000), with Lawrence Lessig (reprint of declaration filed in the *AT&T Media One* merger case)
- Rank, 3 Green Bag 2d 457 (2000)
- <u>Will the Internet Remake Antitrust Law?</u>, in Kraig M. Hill et al., eds., **Globalization of Intellectual Property in the 21st Century** (Center for Advanced Study and Research on Intellectual Property 1999) (speech); reprinted in Christopher Marsden ed., **Regulating the Global Information Society** (Routledge 2000)

Submarine Patents, and What to Do About Them, 2 Initiate!! 8 (1996)

Other Papers and Published Speeches continued

<u>Theft of Trade Secrets</u>, paper for ALI/ABA CLE conference, Pittsburgh, PA, September 1995 (with James H.A. Pooley) (http://www.fr.com/publis/f_paper7.html)

Amicus Briefs

Co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in support of Appellant, In re Xencor, Inc.,

(Fed. Cir. 2023)

- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae Automattic Inc. in Support of Respondents, *Gonzalez v. Google* (U.S. 2023)
- Co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Respondents, *Jack Daniels v. VIP Products* (U.S. 2023)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in support of Petitioners, *Amgen v. Sanofi* (U.S. 2022)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in support of reversal, Martinez v. ZoomInfo (9th Cir. 2022)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in support of reversal, Vans v. MSCHF (2d Cir. 2022)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in support of rehearing en banc, *Dyfan, LLC v. Target* (Fed. Cir. 2022)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in support of certiorari, *Amgen v. Sanofi* (U.S. 2022)
- Co-author, Briefs Amici Curiae of Art Law Professors in support of certiorari and in support of reversal, Andy Warhol Foundation v. Goldsmith (U.S. 2021, 2022)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in support of rehearing en banc, *Amgen v. Sanofi* (Fed. Cir. 2021)
- Co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Art Law Professors in support of rehearing en banc, Andy Warhol Foundation v. Goldsmith (2d Cir. 2021)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in support of certiorari, *Minerva, Inc. v. Hologic Techs., Inc.,* (U.S. 2020)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in support of certiorari, *Cochlear Tech. v. Alfred Mann Found.* (U.S. 2020)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in support of certiorari, Idenix v. Gilead Sci. Corp. (U.S. 2020)
- Co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 46 Law and Economics Professors in Support of Rehearing en banc, *Federal Trade Commission v.Qualcomm Corp.* (9th Cir. 2020)

Lead counsel and co-author, Brief of Amici Curiae Technology Companies in Support of Petitioner, Van

Buren v. United States (U.S. 2020)

Amicus Briefs continued

Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of 20 Legal Scholars, TCL v. Ericsson (U.S. 2020)

- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of 26 Intellectual Property Professors, *Hologic Techs. v. Minerva, Inc.* (Fed. Cir. 2020)
- Co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors, Romag v. Fossil (U.S. 2019)
- Co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 40 Law and Economics Professors, *Federal Trade Commission v. Qualcomm Corp.* (9th Cir. 2019)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 29 Intellectual Property Professors, 1-800 Contacts v. Federal Trade Commission (2d Cir. 2019)
- Lead counsel, Brief Amici Curiae of 19 Intellectual Property Professors, *Skidmore v. Led Zeppelin* (9th Cir. en banc 2019)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Appellees, Regents of the University of Minnesota v. Ericsson Inc. (Fed. Cir. 2018)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 37 Intellectual Property Law Professors in Support of Appellee' Petition for Panel Rehearing and Rehearing En Banc, *Gordon v. Drape Creative, Inc.* (9th Cir. 2018)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Certiorari, *Mentor Graphics v. EVE-USA, Inc.* (U.S. 2017)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Respondent, *Oil States v. Greene's Energy* (U.S. 2017)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 61 Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Petitioner, *TC Heartland v. Kraft Foods* (U.S. 2017)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Automattic, Dropbox, eBay, Facebook, Google, Medium, Pinterest, Twitter, Vimeo, Yahoo!, and the Internet Association in Support of Rehearing en banc, *EMI Christian Music Group v. MP3Tunes.com* (2d Cir. en banc 2016)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Dykes on Bikes Women's Motorcycle Continent in support of Appellee, *Lee v. Tam* (U.S. 2016)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 56 Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Certiorari, *TC Heartland v. Kraft Foods* (U.S. 2016)
- Co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Certiorari, Impression Products v. Lexmark (U.S. 2016)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of 50 Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Petitioner, *Samsung Electronics v. Apple Inc.* (U.S. 2016).
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 40 Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Appellant, *Helsinn v. Teva* (Fed. Cir. 2016)

Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Internet Companies, Halo v. Pulse (U.S. 2016)

Amicus Briefs continued

- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Appellant and in Support of Rehearing En Banc and Certiorari, *Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics* (Fed. Cir. 2014 & 2015; U.S. 2015).
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Rehearing En Banc, *Williamson Trust v. Citrix Online* (Fed. Cir. 2014)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Netflix Corp. et al. in Support of Respondent, Alice Pty v. CLS Bank, Inc. (U.S. 2014)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Computer and Communications Industry Association et al. in Support of Petitioner, *Octane Health and Fitness v. ICON Inc.* (U.S. 2013)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Checkpoint Software et al. in Support of Certiorari, *WildTangent v. Ultramercial* (U.S. 2013)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 118 Professors and the American Antitrust Institute in Support of Petitioners, *Federal Trade Commission v. Activis* (U.S. 2013)
- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amici Curiae of Software Companies in Support of Rehearing En Banc, *Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew* (Fed. Cir. 2012)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Bookstores in Support of Petitioner, *Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons* (U.S. 2012)
- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amici Curiae of 37 Law, Economics, and Business Professors in Support of Petitioner, *Microsoft Corp. v. i4i Ltd.* (U.S. 2011)
- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amici Curiae of 86 Law, Economics, Business, and Public Policy Professors in Support of Certiorari, *Louisiana Wholesale Drug Co. v. Bayer AG* (U.S. 2011)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 41 Law, Economics, and Business Professors in Support of Petitioners, *Global Tech v. SEB* (U.S. 2010)
- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amici Curiae of 78 Law, Economics, Business, and Public Policy Professors in Support of Appellants, *In re Cipro Cases* (Cal. Ct. App. 2010)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Altera, Cisco, the Computer and Communications Industry Association, General Motors, Google, Guidewire Software, Intuit, Microsoft, SAP, and Shoretel in Support of Certiorari, *Applera Corp. v. Enzo Corp.* (U.S. 2010)
- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amici Curiae of 36 Law, Economics, and Business Professors in Support of Certiorari, *Microsoft Corp. v. i4i Corp.* (U.S. 2010)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 26 Law, Economics, and Business Professors in Support of Certiorari, *PentaTech v. SEB* (U.S. 2010)
- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amici Curiae of 86 Law, Economics, Public Policy, and Business Professors in Support of Rehearing En Banc, *Arkansas Carpenters Health and Welfare Fund v. Bayer AG* (2d Cir. 2010)

Amicus Briefs continued

- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 20 Law and Business Professors in Support of Neither Party, *Bilski v. Kappos* (U.S. 2009)
- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amici Curiae of 54 Intellectual Property Law, Antitrust Law, Economics, and Business Professors, the American Antitrust Institute, the Public Patent Foundation, and the AARP in Support of Petitioner, *Arkansas Carpenters Health and Welfare Fund v. Bayer AG* (U.S. 2009)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Administrative Law and Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Appellants, *Tafas v. Dudas* (Fed. Cir. 2008)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 22 Law and Business Professors in Support of Appellants, *In re Bilski* (Fed. Cir. 2008) (en banc)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of 28 Professors of Business, Economics and Law in Support of Appellant, *In re Ciprofloxacin Antitrust Litiation* (Fed. Cir. 2008)
- Co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property, Administrative Law and Public Health Professors in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, *Tafas v. Dudas* (E.D. Va. 2007)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 26 Professors Supporting Affirmance, *Edwards v. Arthur Andersen* (Cal. Sup. Ct. 2007)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 41 Professors of Economics, Business and Law in Support of Granting the Petition for Certiorari, *Joblove v. Barr Labs* (U.S. 2007)
- Co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Reversal, *Microsoft Corp. v. AT&T Corp.* (U.S. 2006)
- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amicus Curiae of Comcast Cable Communications LLC in Support of Defendants and in Support of Affirmance in *BMC Resources, Inc. v. Paymentech L.P.* (Fed. Cir. 2006)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of the Electronic Frontier Foundation and 38 Intellectual Property Professors in Support of Appellants in *Freecycle Network v. Oey* (9th Cir. 2006)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amici Curiae of Charter Communications, Inc., Comcast Cable Communications, Coxcom, Inc., and Time Warner Cable in Support of Appellants in *Freedom Wireless v. BCGI* (Fed. Cir. 2006)
- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amici Curiae of 52 Intellectual Property Law Professors in Support of Petitioners in *eBay, Inc. v. MercExchange, LLC* (U.S. 2006)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of 37 Business, Economics and Law Professors in *In re Tamoxifen Citrate Antitrust Litigation* (2d Cir. 2005) (en banc)
- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amici Curiae of 35 Intellectual Property Law Professors in Support of Certiorari in *eBay, Inc. v. MercExchange, LLC* (U.S. 2005)
- Lead counsel and co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Cisco Corp. and Google Inc. in American Axle Manufacturing v. Dana Corp. (U.S. 2004)

Amicus Briefs continued

- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amici Curiae of Intel Corp., IBM Corp, Google Inc., Microsoft Corp., and Micron Corp. in *Phillips v. AWH Corp.* (Fed. Cir. 2004) (en banc)
- Lead counsel and author, Brief Amicus Curiae of Google Inc. in *1-800 Contacts v. WhenU.com* (2d Cir. 2004)
- Co-author, Brief Amici Curiae of Computer Associates International, Qualcomm, LSI Logic, and 8 patent law professors in *Knorr-Bremse v. Dana* (Fed. Cir. 2004) (en banc)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amicus Curiae of Intellectual Property Professors in *Moseley v. V* Secret Catalogue (U.S. 2002)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amicus Curiae of Technology Law Professors in *Intel Corp. v. Hamidi* (Cal. Sup. Ct. 2002) (review petition and merits brief)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amicus Curiae of Electronic Frontier Foundation, AFFECT, Digital Future Coalition, Association of Research Libraries, American Library Association, American Association of Law Libraries, Computer and Communications Industry Association, U.S. Association for Computing Machinery, and 33 intellectual property professors in *Bowers v. Baystate Technologies* (Fed. Cir. 2002)
- Lead counsel and joint author, Brief Amicus Curiae of The Internet Archive in *Eldred v. Ashcroft* (U.S. 2001 (certiorari petition) and 2002 (merits brief))
- Lead counsel and joint author, Brief Amicus Curiae of Technology Law Professors in *Ebay v. Bidder's Edge* (9th Cir. 2000)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amicus Curiae of Copyright Law Professors in *Sony v. Connectix* (9th Cir. 1999)
- Lead counsel and principal author, Brief Amicus Curiae of American Committee for Interoperable Systems in *ProCD v. Zeidenberg* (7th Cir. 1996)

Conferences Chaired

- Junior Scholars Law and STEM Conference, Stanford, California September 27-28, 2019 and October 27-28, 2023
- Stanford Interdisciplinary Workshop on Interoperability, Stanford, California January 28-29, 2023
- First through Twenty-sixth Annual Advanced Patent Law Institutes, Palo Alto, California 2000-2022 and Austin, Texas, 1996-2007
- The Realities of Patent Litigation, Stanford, California September 30, 2022
- Intellectual Property Scholars' Conference, Stanford, California August 12-13, 2022
- Scarcity, Regulation, and the Abundance Society, Stanford, California May 4, 2022
- The Realities of Modern Patent Litigation, Stanford, California December 2, 2021

Intellectual Property Scholars' Conference, virtual, July 15-August 22, 2020

Conferences Chaired continued

Trademark Scholars' Rountable, Stanford, California, February 28-29, 2020 Roundtable on Patent Damages, Stanford, California, October 4, 2019 Litigating Patents Effectively, Stanford, California September 7, 2018 IP and the Biosciences, Stanford, California April 26, 2018 We Robot, Stanford, California April 12-14, 2018 Patent Law in Global Context, Stanford, California, September 2017 The Changing Patent Landscape, Stanford, California, October 7, 2016 The Psychology and Sociology of Creativity and IP, Stanford, California, September 9-10, 2016 IP Scholars' Conference, Stanford, California, August 11-12, 2016 Chair, Stanford Conference on IP and the Biosciences, May 4, 2016 Co-chair, Stanford-ABA Conference on Innovation and Antitrust, Stanford, California October 8, 2015 Chair, The PTO and the Courts, Stanford, California April 17-18, 2015 Chair, Stanford Conference on IP and the Biosciences, May 15, 2014 Chair, Patent Trolls and Patent Reform, Stanford, California March 21-22, 2014 Co-chair, Second through Tenth Annual Ecommerce Best Practices Conference, Stanford Law School, Stanford, California 2005-2013 Chair, Design Patents in the Modern World, Stanford, California April 5-6, 2013 Convenor, Overlaps Between Patent and Copyright Law, American Law Institute, Washington, DC February 21, 2013 IP Scholars' Conference, Stanford Law School, Stanford, California August 9-10, 2012 Chair or co-chair Co-chair, Patent Institutions Summit, Stanford, California May 21, 2012 Chair, Stanford Conference on IP and the Biosciences, Stanford, California April 27, 2012 Co-chair, Stanford-ABA Conference on Innovation and Antitrust, Stanford, California October 6, 2011 Co-chair, Stanford Law School-ABA conference on Antitrust and Innovation, Stanford, California May 20-21, 2010

Co-chair, Stanford Law School Conference on Intellectual Property Law and the Biosciences, Stanford, California May 8, 2009

IP Scholars' Conference, Stanford Law School, Stanford, California August 7-8, 2008

Co-chair, Stanford-Berkeley conference on STS and IP Law, St. Helena, California May 9-10, 2008

Conferences Chaired continued

Chair, Stanford IP Seminar for Chinese Judges, August 12-21, 2007

- Co-chair, Stanford Law School Conference on Intellectual Property and Biosciences, Stanford, California September 15, 2006
- Co-chair, "Standardization and the Law: Finding the Golden Mean for International Trade," Stanford Law School, Stanford, California September 22-23, 2005
- Co-chair, conference on "Biotechnology & Intellectual Property: Current Controversies," Stanford Law School, Stanford, California March 11, 2005
- Chair, Empirical Patent Research Conference, St. Helena, California, February 4, 2005
- Chair or co-chair, Eighth through Eighteenth Annual University of Texas Computer Law Conferences, San Francisco, California 2001-2002 and Austin, Texas 1995-2006
- Co-chair, Patent System Reform conference, Berkeley, California April 15-16, 2004
- Chair, Third Annual Intellectual Property Scholars Conference, Berkeley, California August 8-9, 2003
- Chair, Patent System Reform conference, Berkeley, California March 1-2, 2002
- Chair, Beyond Microsoft: Antitrust, Technology and Intellectual Property, Berkeley, California March 2-3, 2001
- Co-Chair, The Legal and Policy Infrastructure for Global Electronic Commerce, Berkeley, California March 5-6, 1999
- Co-chair, Intellectual Property and Contract in Digital Media: The Impact of Uniform Commercial Code Article 2B, Berkeley, California, April 27-28, 1998
- Chair, 1998 Computers, Freedom and Privacy conference (CFP98), Austin, Texas, Febuary 18-20, 1998

Congressional and Administrative Testimony and Judicial Education

Testimony before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on AI and Inventorship, May 8, 2023

- Letter in Opposition to the Journalism Competition & Promotion Act, Senate Judiciary Committee, September 7, 2022
- Testimony in Support of Connecticut SB 906, An Act Concerning Noncompetes, February 19, 2021 (with Orly Lobel)
- "Patent Law and Biotechnology," presentation to the Federal Judicial Center program on Law and Genetics, Stanford, California February 27, 2020
- "Patentable Subject Matter Reform," testimony before the IP Subcommittee, Senate Committee on the Judiciary, June 4, 2019
- "Patent Law and Biotechnology," presentation to the Federal Judicial Center program on Law and Genetics, Stanford, California January 24, 2019

- "Patent Infringement, Defenses, and Remedies," presentation, Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 23, 2018
- "Patent Law and Biotechnology," presentation to the Federal Judicial Center program on Law and Genetics, Stanford, California January 19, 2018

- "The Sharing Economy," presentation to the National Foundation for Judicial Excellence, Chicago, Illinois July 15, 2017
- "Patent Infringement, Defenses, and Remedies," presentation, Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 17, 2017
- "Patent Law and Biotechnology," presentation to the Federal Judicial Center program on Law and Genetics, Stanford, California January 20, 2017
- "Patent Law and Biotechnology," presentation to the Federal Judicial Center program on Law and Genetics, Stanford, California January 16, 2016
- "IP and Antitrust," Stanford-AAI conference on the Economics of Competition, Stanford, California August 28, 2015
- "Patent Infringement, Defenses, and Remedies," presentation, Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 18, 2015
- "IP and Antitrust," Stanford-AAI conference on the Economics of Competition, Stanford, California August 15, 2014
- "Patent Infringement, Defenses, and Remedies," presentation, Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 20-21, 2014
- "The Top Ten Ways Patent Law Will Change This Year," presentation to the Northern District of California Judicial Conference, Sonoma, California January 29, 2014
- "Patent Law and Biotechnology," presentation to the Federal Judicial Center program on Law and Genetics, Stanford, California January 16, 2014
- "IP and Antitrust," Stanford-AAI conference on the Economics of Competition, Stanford, California August 24, 2013
- "Patent Litigation, What's New, What's Different, and What the Courts Can Do," Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference, San Diego, California July 16, 2013
- "Patent Infringement, Defenses, and Remedies," presentation, and panelist, "Patentable Subject Matter," "Design Patents," and "Damages Issues," Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 22-24, 2013
- "Patent Law and Biotechnology," presentation to the Federal Judicial Center program on Law and Genetics, Stanford, California January 20, 2013
- "Patent Infringement, Defenses, and Remedies," presentation, and panelist, "Managing Patent Cases" and "Damages Issues," Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 22-23, 2012

- Panelist, "Patent Trolls," Northern District of California Judicial Conference, Monterey, California April 28, 2012
- Chair and presenter, sessions on "Patent Validity", and "Infringement and the Doctrine of Equivalents", Stanford IP Seminar for Chinese Judges, Stanford, California May 18-27, 2011
- "Patent Infringement, Defenses, and Remedies," presentation, and panelist, "Managing Patent Cases," Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 21, 2011
- "Patent Law and Biotechnology," presentation to the Federal Judicial Center program on Law and Genetics, Stanford, California January 21, 2011
- "Patent Damages," presentation to Federal Judicial Center Advanced Patent Workshop, Berkeley, California September 24, 2010
- "Is There Life After Trinko and Credit Suisse?: The Role of Antitrust in Regulated Industries," testimony before the Subcommittee on Courts and Competition Policy of the Committee on the Judiciary of the U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC June 15, 2010
- "Patent Infringement, Defenses, and Remedies," presentation, and panelist, "Managing Patent Cases," Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 12, 2010
- "Patent Law and Biotechnology," presentation to the Federal Judicial Center program on Law and Genetics, Stanford, California January 21, 2010
- "Internet Law," panel presentation to the Fourth Circuit Judicial Conference, White Sulphur Springs, West Virginia June 25, 2009
- "Patent Infringement, Defenses, and Remedies" presentation to the Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 27, 2009
- "Patent Damages," testimony before the Federal Trade Commission, Berkeley, California May 5, 2009
- Panelist, "Hot Topics in Patent Law," Northern District of California Judicial Conference, Yountville, CA April 18, 2009
- "The Evolving IP Marketplace," testimony before the Federal Trade Commission, Washington, DC April 17, 2009
- "Patent Reform in the Courts and Congress," testimony before the Judiciary Committee of the U.S. Senate, 111th Congress, 1st Session March 10, 2009
- "Patent Infringement, Defenses, and Remedies" presentation to the Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 21, 2008
- "Intellectual Property and Genetics," presentation to Federal Judicial Center Law and the Biosciences Seminar, Stanford, California January 13, 2008
- Chair and presenter, sessions on "Patents, Standards and Competition", "Injunctive Relief After *eBay*", and "IP and Antitrust", Stanford IP Seminar for Chinese Judges, August 12-21, 2007

- "Bayh-Dole: The Next 25 Years," testimony before the Technology and Innovation subcommittee of the Science and Technology Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives, 110th Cong., 1st Sess., July 17, 2007
- Chair, Intellectual Property Rights and Technology, program for judges of the Karnataka High Court, India, May 13, 2007
- "Intellectual Property and Genetics," presentation to Federal Judicial Center Law and the Biosciences Seminar, Stanford, California January 12, 2007
- "Patent Infringement," "Defenses," and "Remedies," presentations to the Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, June 7, 2006
- Testimony on "Patent Quality Enhancement in the Information-Based Economy" before the subcommittee on Courts, the Internet and Intellectual Property of the Committee on the Judiciary of the U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC April 5, 2006
- "Intellectual Property and Genetics," presentation to Federal Judicial Center Law and the Biosciences Seminar, Stanford, California January 13, 2006
- Testimony on "Patent Reform" before the Antitrust Modernization Commission, Washington, D.C., November 8, 2005
- Testimony on "Protecting Copyright and Innovation in a Post-Grokster World" before the Judiciary Committee of the U.S. Senate, 109th Cong., 1st Sess., September 28, 2005
- Testimony on "Market Competitiveness: Does State Antitrust Law Need to be Updated?," before the California Senate Judiciary Committee, Sacramento, California August 23, 2005
- "Testimony on Patent System Reform: Injunctions and Remedies" before the Intellectual Property subcommittee of the Judiciary Committee of the U.S. Senate, 109th Cong., 1st Sess., June 14, 2005
- "Written Description," "Patent Infringement," "Defenses," and "Remedies," presentations to the Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 25, 2005
- Testimony on HR 683 relating to trademark dilution before the Courts, the Internet and Intellectual Property subcommittee of the Judiciary Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives, 109th Cong., 1st Sess., February 17, 2005
- Panelist, "Internet Law," presentation to the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference, San Francisco, California, February 1, 2005
- "Claim Construction," "Doctrine of Equivalents," "Willful Infringement," and "Trademark Dilution," presentations to the Federal Judicial Center's National Workshop for District Judges, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, April 19, 2004; Chicago, Illinois, June 8, 2004; and Seattle, Washington, September 21, 2004
- Written testimony on SB 1506 relating to identity of file-sharers before the California Senate Judiciary Committee, April 12, 2004
- "Prosecution History Estoppel" and "Subpoenas Under the DMCA," presentations to the Seventh Circuit Judicial Conference, Lake Geneva, Wisconsin, Oct. 15, 2003

- "Written Description," "Infringement," "Remedies," and "Scope of Waiver of Attorney-Client Privilege," presentations to the Federal Judicial Center workshop for U.S. District Court Judges, Berkeley, California, May 28, 2003
- "Geography and the Internet," presentation to the National Symposium for Federal Appellate Judges, Federal Judicial Center, Washington, DC October 22, 2002
- "Claim Construction," "Patent Infringement" and "Patent Remedies", presentations to the Federal Judicial Center program Intellectual Property in the New Technological Age, Berkeley, California, June 19, 2002
- Written testimony regarding California SB 1814, relating to monopolization, June 14, 2002
- "Intellectual Property Rights and Standard-Setting Organizations," testimony before the Federal Trade Commission and the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, April 18, 2002
- "The Role of Patents in Innovation," testimony before the Federal Trade Commission and the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice, Berkeley, CA, February 26, 2002
- "Internet Jurisdiction" and "Contracts and Preemption," presentations to ABA State Appellate Judicial Conference, Vancouver, BC, July 24, 2001
- "Patent Claim Construction," "Written Description" and "Doctrine of Equivalents," presentations to the Federal Judicial Center program Understanding the Landscape of Intellectual Property Law, Berkeley, California, June 20-22, 2001
- "Doctrine of Equivalents" and "Willful Infringement," presentations to the Central District of California judicial retreat, Ojai, California, Feb. 2, 2001
- "Intellectual Property and Sovereign Immunity," testimony before the Courts and Intellectual Property subcommittee of the Judiciary Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives, 106th Cong., 2st Sess., July 27, 2000
- "Patent Claim Construction," "Contract Preemption," and "Internet Jurisdiction," presentations to the Federal Judicial Center program Understanding the Landscape of Intellectual Property Law, Berkeley, California, June 21-23, 2000
- "Internet Jurisdiction" and "Contract Preemption," presentations to the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference, San Diego, California, January 12, 2000
- "Intellectual Property and the Challenges Presented by New Technology," presentation to the Federal Judicial Center's National Workshop for District Judges, Baltimore, Maryland, August 19, 1999 and Chicago, Illinois, September 10, 1999
- Testimony before the California Senate in support of SB 1146, relating to trade secrets in auto emissions devices, June 22, 1999
- Written testimony before the Texas House of Representatives regarding H.B. 22, relating to assignment of an employee's rights in an invention, April 14, 1999
- "State Intellectual Property Law and Preemption," presentation to the Federal Judicial Center program Understanding the Landscape of Intellectual Property Law, Berkeley, California, June 19, 1998

- Testimony before the Federal Trade Commission on the Joint Venture Guidelines Project, Washington, DC, March 20, 1998
- Testimony Concerning the Need for and Effects of H.R. 359, Relating to Patent Term, before the Courts and Intellectual Property subcommittee of the Judiciary Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives, 104th Cong., 1st Sess., Nov. 1, 1995
- More than 500 other presentations to conferences, universities, colloquia, academic business executives and bar groups on various issues related to intellectual property, antitrust, computer and Internet law, telecommunications, and electronic commerce, 1994-2023

FACULTY SERVICE

Stanford Law School

Member, Appointments Committee, 2005–06, 2016-17, 2019-20, 2023-24 (chair) Member, Career Services Committee, 2021-2022 Member, Tenure Committee, 2009–10, 2013-14 (chair), 2016-17 (chair), 2019-20 (chair), 2021-22 Member, Admissions Committee, 2017-2019 Member, Dean Search Committee, 2012 Member, Joint Degrees/Interdisciplinary Programs Committee, 2007-08, 2011–2015 Faculty Advisor, Stanford IP Association, 2009 – present Member, Clerkships Committee, 2008-11 Member, Committee on Honors, 2008-09 Director, Program in Law, Science and Technology, 2004 – present Director, LLM Program in Law, Science and Technology, 2004-15 Member, International Programs Committee, 2004-05 Faculty Advisor, Stanford Law and Technology Association, 2004 – present

Boalt Hall School of Law

Co-Director, Berkeley Center for Law and Technology, 1999 - 2004 Chair, Faculty Appointments Committee, 2002 – 2003; member, 2000 – 2001 Faculty Advisor, Berkeley Technology Law Journal, 2003 - present Faculty Advisor, Saul Lefkowitz Trademark Moot Court team, 2000 – 2001 Member, Computer Advisory Committee, 2000

University of California at Berkeley

Chair, University Dean Search Committee, Haas School of Business, 2001 – 2002

University of Texas System

Judge, UT Student Association Appellate Court, 1995 - 1999 University Hearing Officer, 1995 - 1999 Member, Computer and Information Technology Use Policies Committee, 1996 - 2000 Member, Intellectual Property Committee, 1994 – 2000

University of Texas School of Law

Member, Career Services Committee, 1995 - 2000 Member, Computer Services Committee, 1994 - 2000 (chair, 1997 and 1999) Member, Library Committee, 1994 - 1996, 1997 - 1999 (chair, 1995 - 1996) Coordinator, Lectures and faculty colloquia, 1999 - 2000 Faculty advisor, Texas Intellectual Property Law Journal, 1994 – 2000 Faculty advisor, Intellectual Property Law Society, 1994 - 2000 Faculty advisor, Giles S. Rich Intellectual Property Moot Court team, 1995 – 2000