

ROBERT J. MacCOUN

Stanford Law School
Neukom Building, Room 211
Stanford, CA 94305-8610
Tel: (650) 721-3011 email: maccoun@law.stanford.edu
Fax: (650) 725-0253 web page: <http://conium.org/~maccoun>

EDUCATION

NIMH Postdoctoral Fellowship, 1984-1986, Northwestern University
Ph.D., Psychology (Social), 1984, Michigan State University
M.A., Psychology (Social), 1983, Michigan State University
B.A., Psychology, 1980, Kalamazoo College

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

2014-present—James & Patricia Kowal Professor of Law, Stanford Law School; Professor (by courtesy) of Psychology; Senior Fellow, Freeman Spogli Institute, Stanford University
2014-present—Emeritus Professor, University of California, Berkeley
2012 (Winter/Spring)—Visiting Professor, School of Law, Stanford University
1999-2014—Professor of Law, School of Law, Jurisprudence and Social Policy Program, University of California at Berkeley
1998-2014—Professor of Public Policy, The Goldman School of Public Policy, University of California, Berkeley (Affiliated Professor, Department of Psychology)
1999—Visiting Professor, Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University (co-created and taught *Psychology and Public Policy* course with Daniel Kahneman & Eldar Shafir)
1995-1998—Associate Professor, The Goldman School of Public Policy, University of California, Berkeley
1993-1995—Assistant Professor, Graduate School of Public Policy, University of California, Berkeley
1993-present—Consultant, The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, California
1986-1993—Behavioral Scientist, Social Policy Department, The RAND Corporation; staff member, Institute for Civil Justice, Drug Policy Research Center; faculty member, RAND Graduate School of Policy Studies
1984-1986—NIMH Postdoctoral Fellow and Instructor, Department of Psychology, Northwestern University
1984—Instructor, Kalamazoo College and Michigan State University

RESEARCH INTERESTS

- Social control of risky &/or illegal conduct (especially drug use and drug dealing)
- Computational social psychology (formalizing and simulating social psychology)
- Bias and politics in the conduct and use of policy-relevant research
- Judgment and decision making by individuals and groups
- Public perceptions of fairness, accuracy, and efficiency of legal and political institutions

TEACHING INTERESTS

- Psychology and Law
- Research Methods (Surveys, Experiments, and Quasi-Experiments)
- Drug, Tobacco, and Alcohol Policy
- Persuasion and Social Influence

HONORS

- 2024 Open Science Champion Award from the Center for Open and Reproducible Science.
- 2019 James McKeen Cattell Award for a “lifetime of significant achievements in applied psychological research and their impact on critical problems and challenges in society at large,” Association for Psychological Science.
- Elected as an Inaugural Fellow of the Society for Empirical Legal Studies, 2015.
- 2011 Best Paper Award for Managerial and Organizational Cognition, The Academy of Management.
- 2008 Raymond Vernon Memorial Prize for Best Article in *J. Policy Analysis and Management*.
- Elected Fellow, Society for Psychological Science (formerly the American Psychological Society), 2004.
- Selected as Faculty Speaker at Goldman School of Public Policy MPP graduations, 1996, 1997, 2000, 2002.
- 1996 Distinguished Wellness Lecturer, The California Wellness Foundation/University of California.
- Edwin E. Huddleson, Jr. Outstanding Teacher Award, RAND Graduate School of Policy Studies (25th Anniversary Graduation Ceremony), 15 July 1995.
- Elected to the Society for Experimental Social Psychology, 1992.
- President's Award, RAND, 1992.
- NIMH Research Service Award, Northwestern University, 1984-1986.
- The Marshall Hallock Brenner Prize, Kalamazoo College, 1979.

MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

Association for Psychological Science, American Psychological Association, Society for Social and Personality Psychology, American Psychology-Law Society, Society for Empirical Legal Studies, Law & Society Association.

PROFESSIONAL AND UNIVERSITY SERVICE (partial list)

2019-2020: Strategic Advisory Committee, Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program, State of California.
2018-2020: Editor, *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*
2018-2022: Editorial Board, *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*
2017: Co-signer, Brief amici curiae of 44 election law, scientific evidence, and empirical legal scholars in support of appellees, *Gill et al. v. Whitford et al.*, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin (on partisan gerrymandering)
2017-2018: Co-chair for Research, Stanford University Long-Range Planning
2017-present: Member, Coordinating Committee, NeuroChoice Institute, Stanford University
2017-2018: Chair, Academic Council Advisory Board (advises Provost and President on appointments and promotions), Stanford University
2015-2017: Member, Academic Council Advisory Board, Stanford
2015-2019: Member, Advisory Committee, Stanford Cyber Initiative
2015-present: Academic Advisory Board, Civil Jury Project, NYU School of Law
2015-2018: Board of Directors, Society for Empirical Legal Studies
2013-2014: Committee on Budget and Interdepartmental Relations, UC Berkeley
2013-2014: Member of BOTEK Corp. team providing technical assistance to the Washington State Liquor Control Board (implementation of I-502, state legalization of cannabis)
2012-2013: Inaugural Chair, Faculty Senate Committee on Demonstrations and Student Actions, UC Berkeley
2011: Co-signer, Brief amici curiae of 9 behavioral scientists on behalf of the plaintiff in *Mohammed Saleh et al. v. Federal Bureau of Prisons* (on procedural justice in federal prisons). U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.
2010: Pro bono expert witness for the plaintiffs in *Log Cabin Republicans v. USA*. (Challenge to the constitutionality of the Don't Ask, Don't Tell policy toward gays and lesbians in the US military.) U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, Riverside, 16 July 2010.
2009: Brief amici curiae of Abramson et al in Support of Petitioner, *Scott David Bowen v. State of Oregon*, U.S. Supreme Court, Docket No. 08-1117 (on the use of non-unanimous criminal juries).
2008-2011 Faculty Advisory Board, *Berkeley Center for Criminal Justice*

- 2008— Co-signer, Brief amici curiae of Social Science Academics and Associations in Support of Plaintiffs-Appellees, *Katherine Varnum v. Timothy O'Brien*, Supreme Court of Iowa, Case No. 07-1499 (on methodological problems in evidence on alleged harms of gay marriage).
- 2006-2017: Member, Editorial Board, *Law & Human Behavior*.
- 2006: Co-signer, Brief amici curiae of American Sociological Association and Social Science Professors in *Cook et al. v. Rumsfeld et al.*, U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, Docket No. 06-2313.
- 2006: Co-signer, Brief amici curiae of Neil Vidmar et al., *Philip Morris USA, Petitioner v. Mayola Williams*, U.S. Supreme Court, Docket No. 05-1256 (on punitive damages).
- 2005-2011: Editorial Board, *Law and Social Inquiry*.
- 2004: External Review (with E. Posner and T. Eisenberg) of the Institute for Civil Justice, for the Office of Quality Assurance, RAND, Santa Monica, California.
- 2004-present: Member, Advisory Board, *Physicians and Lawyers for National Drug Policy*.
- 2003-2007: Chair, *Police Review Board*, University of California at Berkeley.
- 2002-2004: Consultant to Committee on Vaccines Against Drugs of Addiction, National Academy of Science (National Research Council and Institute of Medicine).
- 2002-present: Editorial Advisory Board, *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*.
- 2002-present: Member, Advisory Board, Berkeley Center for the Development of Peace and Well-Being.
- 2001: Consultant, Los Angeles Superior Court, on increasing jury service participation rates.
- 1999-2002: Member, Advisory Committee, Hung Jury Project, National Center for State Courts.
- 1999: Co-signer, Brief amici curiae of Neil Vidmar et al., *Kuhmo Tire Company v. Carmichael*, U.S. Supreme Court.
- 1998-2002: Member, Committee on Data and Research for Policy on Illegal Drugs, National Academy of Sciences (chair, Subcommittee on Treatment and Prevention Evaluation). Produced two reports:
- Manski, C., Pepper, J., & Petrie, C. (eds.) (2001). *Informing America's policy on illegal drugs: what we don't know keeps hurting us* (<http://www.nap.edu/books/0309072735/html/>).
 - Manski, C., Pepper, J., & Thomas, Y. (eds.) (1999). *Assessment of two cost-effectiveness studies on cocaine control policy*.
- 1998-2003, 2008-2011: Member, Editorial Board, *Law & Society Review*.
- 1996-2002: Member, Editorial Board, *Drug Policy Analysis Bulletin*, Fed. of American Scientists.
- 1995-2000: Inaugural member, National Consortium on Violence Research.
- 1995: Consultant to the Ninth Federal Judicial Circuit Task Force on Racial, Ethnic, and Religious Bias.
- 1993: Pro bono expert witness for the ACLU in *Jane Able et al. v. USA* (re: "Don't Ask, Don't Tell").
- 1989-1993: Member, Human Subjects Protection Committee, RAND.

Ad-hoc reviewer for many universities, other organizations, presses, and journals, including the Institute of Medicine; National Research Council; National Science Foundation; Cambridge University Press; Harvard University Press; MIT Press; Oxford University Press; Princeton University Press; Sage Publications; Cornell University; Duke University; Harvard University; Northwestern University; University of California (most of the campuses); University of Chicago; University of Illinois; University of Wisconsin at Madison; *Addiction*; *Administrative Science Quarterly*; *American Psychologist*; *American Political Science Review*; *American Sociological Review*; *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*; *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*; *Contemporary Drug Problems*; *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*; *Crime & Delinquency*; *Criminology*; *Drug & Alcohol Review*; *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*; *Journal of Applied Psychology*; *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*; *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis*; *Journal of Drug Policy Analysis*; *Journal of Early Adolescence*; *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*; *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*; *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*; *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*; *Journal of Political Economy*; *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*; *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*; *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*; *Justice System Journal*; *Law and Human Behavior*; *Law and Policy*; *Law and Social Inquiry*; *Law and Society Review*; *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*; *Perspectives on Psychological Science*; *Political Psychology*; *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*; *Psychological Review*; *Psychological Science*; *Punishment & Society*; *Regulation & Governance*; *Review of Law and Economics*; *Sex Roles*; *Social Problems*.

PUBLICATIONS

Books and Monographs

Perlmutter, S., Campbell, J., & MacCoun, R. (2024). *Third millennium thinking: Making sense in a world of nonsense*. New York: Little, Brown - Spark. [US edition]

Perlmutter, S., Campbell, J., & MacCoun, R. (2024). *Third millennium thinking: Making sense in a world of nonsense*. London: Hodder & Stoughton. [British edition]

Perlmutter, S., Campbell, J., & MacCoun, R. (2024). *Trovare il senso in un mondo senza senso: Pensare nel terzo millennio*. Milano: Apogeo. [Italian edition]

Perlmutter, S., Campbell, J., & MacCoun, R. (2024). *Smart thinking für das dritte Jahrtausend*. München: Piper Verlag. [German edition]

Perlmutter, S., Campbell, J., & MacCoun, R. (2025). *Pensamiento crítico para el tercer milenio: Cómo dar sentido a un mundo sin sentido*. Ediciones Paidós. [Spanish edition]

Perlmutter, S., Campbell, J., & MacCoun, R. (2025). 第三个：千年思维. Beijing: CITIC Press. [Chinese edition]

Perlmutter, S., Campbell, J., & MacCoun, R. (2025). 三禧思維 - 亂世解決問題、活得更好的科學思考工具! Taiwan: Booklife.

Perlmutter, S., Campbell, J., & MacCoun, R. (2025). Como pensar melhor: Encontrar Um Sentido Num Mundo Sem Sentido. Lua de Papel.

Excerpted at *Fast Company* (3/26/24)

Excerpted at *Time.com* (2/28/24)

MacCoun, R. J., & Hans, V. P. (eds.). (2020). *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 16.

MacCoun, R. J., Hans, V. P., Tyler, T. R. (eds.). (2019). *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 15.

MacCoun, R. J., Hans, V. P., Tyler, T. R. (eds.). (2018). *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 14.

Caulkins, J. P., Kilmer, B., Kleiman, M. A. R., MacCoun, R. J., Midgette, G., Oglesby, P., Pacula, R. L., & Reuter, P. H. (2015). *Considering marijuana legalization: Insights for Vermont and other jurisdictions*. Santa Monica, RAND.

Kilmer, B., Caulkins, J. P., Midgette, G., Dahlkemper, L., MacCoun, R. J., & Pacula, R. L. (2014). *Before the grand opening: Measuring Washington State's marijuana market in the last year before legalized commercial sales*. Santa Monica, RAND.

Kilmer, B., Caulkins, J. P., Pacula, R. L., MacCoun, R. J., & Reuter, P. H. (2010). *Altered state? Assessing how marijuana legalization in California could influence marijuana consumption and public budgets*. (65 page peer-reviewed report.) Santa Monica, RAND. (Mentioned in many hundreds of newspaper, magazine, and TV stories, as well as a Jay Leno *Tonight Show* monologue.)

National Defense Research Institute (2010), *Sexual orientation and U.S. military policy: An update of RAND's 1993 study*. (410 pp.) Santa Monica: RAND. Prepared for the Office of the Secretary of Defense as part of the 2010 Pentagon evaluation of the Don't Ask Don't Tell policy.

MacCoun, R., & Reuter, P. (2001). *Drug war heresies: Learning from other vices, times, and places*. Cambridge University Press.

Over 20 published reviews including *The Nation*, *The Public Interest*, *J. Economic Literature*, *British Medical Journal*, *JPAM*, *Addiction*, *British J. Criminology*, *Contemporary Sociology*, *Drug & Alcohol Review*, *J. Public Health Policy*, *Governance*, *J. Sociology & Social Welfare*, *Contents*, *World Health Organization*.

Reuter, P., Falco, M., & MacCoun, R. J. (1993). *Comparing Western European and North American drug policies: An international conference report*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND. (Externally reviewed 31-page publication.)

National Defense Research Institute (1993). *Sexual orientation and U.S. military personnel policy: Policy options and assessment*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND. (Collective authorship; RAND working group's report to the Secretary of Defense.)

Excerpts from executive summary reprinted in *Harpers* magazine, November 1993, 26-27.

Hensler, D., Marquis, S., Abrahamse, A., Berry S., Ebener, P., Lewis, E., Lind, A., MacCoun, R., Manning, W., Rogowski, J., & Vaiana, M. (1991). *Compensation for accidental injuries in the United States*. (Externally reviewed 210-page monograph). Santa Monica, CA: RAND.

Hensler, D., Marquis, S., Abrahamse, A., Berry S., Ebener, P., Lewis, E., Lind, A., MacCoun, R., Manning, W., Rogowski, J., & Vaiana, M. (1991). *Compensation for accidental injuries: Research design and methods*. (Externally reviewed 50-page paper). Santa Monica, CA: RAND.

Reuter, P., MacCoun, R. J., and Murphy, P. (1990). *Money from crime: A study of the economics of drug dealing in Washington, D.C.* Santa Monica, CA: RAND. (Externally reviewed 172-page monograph).

Lind, E. A., MacCoun, R. J., Ebener, P. A., Felstiner, W. L. F., Hensler, D. R., Resnick, J., & Tyler, T. R. (1989). *The perception of justice: Tort litigants' views of trials, court-annexed arbitration, and judicial settlement conferences*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND. (Externally reviewed 93-page monograph.)

MacCoun, R. J., Lind, E. A., Hensler, D. R., Bryant, D. L., & Ebener, P. (1988). *Alternative adjudication: An evaluation of the New Jersey automobile arbitration program*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND. (Externally reviewed 134-page monograph.)

Executive summary reprinted in J. Monahan and L. Walker (Eds.) (1994), *Social science in law: Cases and materials* (3rd ed., pp. 587-591). Westbury, NY: The Foundation Press, Inc.

Executive summary reprinted in J. Monahan and L. Walker (Eds.) (1990), *Social science in law: Cases and materials* (2nd ed., pp. 496-500). Westbury, NY: The Foundation Press, Inc.

Newspaper, Magazine, and Web Essays

Perlmutter, S., Campbell, J., & MacCoun, R. J. (2024, April 8). Science prepared us for the eclipse. Why do we feel estranged from it? *San Francisco Chronicle*, Opinion section.

MacCoun, R. J. (2019, September 30). Obituary: Mark Kleiman. *Society for the Study of Addiction*, <https://www.addiction-ssa.org/knowledge-hub/obituary-mark-kleiman/>

MacCoun, R. J., with S. Driscoll (2017, January 9). State marijuana laws at odds with federal enforcement. *Legal Aggregate Blog*, Stanford Law School, <https://law.stanford.edu/2018/01/09/state-marijuana-laws-at-odds-with-federal-enforcement/>

Stanford Legal with Pam Karlan & Joe Bankman: "Commercializing marijuana." Originally aired on SiriusXM on December 9, 2017. <https://soundcloud.com/user-458541487/commercializing-marijuana-w-guests-robert-maccoun-hadley-ford?in=user-458541487/sets/stanford-legal-with-pam-karlan>

Kilmer, B., & MacCoun, R. (2017, July 23). Should California drop criminal penalties for drug possession? *San Francisco Chronicle*, Sunday Insight Section (cover essay).

MacCoun, R. J. (2017, January 11). Drug control challenges for the next 'drug czar'. *Medium.com*
<https://medium.com/@FSIStanford/drug-control-challenges-for-the-next-drug-czar-3c29946daeeb#pyjvnziwc>

MacCoun, R. J. (2016, November 3). If you think legalizing marijuana is no big deal, think again. *Los Angeles Times*.

MacCoun, R. J. (2014, October 26). Grow your own marijuana law. *San Francisco Chronicle*, Sunday Insight Section (cover essay).

MacCoun, R. J. (2013, May 29). Don't ask, just play. (Brief blog essay about Jason Collins "coming out" as a gay professional athlete.) *Goldman School of Public Policy News Center*, <http://gspp.berkeley.edu/news/news-center/dont-ask...just-play>

Reuter, P., & MacCoun, R. J. (2007, November/December). Invited comment on Ethan Nadelmann's "Think again: Drugs." *Foreign Policy*, pp. 4-5.

MacCoun, R. (2004). Invited comment on "Misguided drug policy," *Issues in Science and Technology*.

MacCoun, R. (2003, 11 June). O cannabis! Pot decriminalization in Canada highlights America's isolation. *San Francisco Chronicle*, A27.

MacCoun, R. J. (2002). Why a psychologist won the Nobel Prize in Economics. *American Psychological Society Observer*, 15(10), 1, 8.

MacCoun, R. J., & Reuter, P. (2002). Marijuana, heroin, and cocaine: The war on drugs may be a disaster, but do we really want a legalized peace? *The American Prospect*, 13(30), 25-28.

MacCoun, R. J., & Schlossman, S. (2000, January 3). What's germane is a soldier's behavior. (Essay on RAND's proposed alternative to "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" policy.) *Los Angeles Times*, National Edition, A13.

MacCoun, R., & Reuter, P. (1999). Does Europe do it better? Lessons from Holland, Britain, and Switzerland. *The Nation*, 269, September 20th, 28-30.

Reprinted in *Crossroads: Readings in social psychology* (Pearson, 2000).

Reprinted in *Solutions to social problems: Lessons from other societies* (Allyn & Bacon, 2000).

Reprinted in *World regions and places: A reader*, 3rd ed. (Pearson, 2001).

Reprinted in L. E. Huggins (ed.), *Drug war deadlock: The policy battle continues* (Hoover Inst. Press, 2005).

Reprinted in *Solutions to social problems: Lessons from other societies*, 4th ed. (Allyn & Bacon, 2006).

Reprinted in *Solutions to social problems: Lessons from other societies*, 5th ed. (Allyn & Bacon, 2010).

Reprinted in *Sociology* (Pearson, 2013)

Manuscripts Under Review, Working Drafts, and Manuscripts in Preparation

MacCoun, R. J. (in preparation). *Probability of Endorsement Theory (POET)*

MacCoun, R. J. (working draft). *SimSemilla: Simulating the effects of cannabis consumption and potency on harms.*

MacCoun, R. J., (working draft). *Modeling agnosticism, ambiguity, and ambivalence.*

Scholarly Articles, Chapters, and Essays

MacCoun, R. J. (in press). Deborah Hensler and the Institute for Civil Justice Striving to speak truth to power in the tort reform debate. *Journal of Tort Law*.

MacCoun, R. J. (in press). Law and psychology. To appear in the *Elgar Concise Encyclopedia of Legal Theory and Philosophy*.

Kirfeld, L., MacCoun, R., Icard, T., & Gerestenberg, T. (in press). Anticipating the risks and benefits of counterfactual world simulation models. *AI and Ethics*.

Extended abstract published *Proceedings of the Seventh AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society (AIES2024)*, 751.

MacCoun, R. J. (2024). Standards of proof: Theory and evidence. In R. Hollander-Blumhoff (ed.), *Research Handbook in Law and Psychology*. Elgar.

Braver, S., MacCoun, R., & Ellman, I. (2023). Converting sentiments to dollars: Scaling and incommensurability problems in the evaluation of child support payments, in I. Ellman & S. Braver (eds.), *When Laws Seem Fair*.

MacCoun, R. J. (2022). P-hacking: A strategic analysis. In L. Jussim, S. T. Stevens, & J. A. Krosnick (eds.), *Research integrity in the behavioral sciences* (pp.295-315). Oxford.

MacCoun, R. J. (2020). Blinding data in science and society: A Lens Model framework. *Brunswick Society Newsletter*, 36, 43-45.

MacCoun, R. J. (2020). Balancing compassion, accountability, and causal clarity. *Addiction*, 115, 2208-2212.

Cuéllar, M. F., & MacCoun, R. J. (2020). Arguing over algorithms: Mapping the dilemmas inherent in operationalizing “ethical” artificial intelligence. In Woodrow Barfield (ed), *Cambridge handbook on the law of algorithms*. Cambridge University Press.

Teichman, D., Talley, E., Egidy, S., Engel, C., Gummadi, K. P., Hagel, K., Lewandowsky, S., MacCoun, R. J., Utz, S., & Zamir, E. (2020). The institutional implications of deliberate ignorance. In R. Hertwig and C. Engel (eds.), *Deliberate ignorance: Choosing not to know*. Strüngmann Forum Reports, vol. 29, J. R. Lupp, series editor. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

MacCoun, R. J. (2020). Blinding to remove biases in science and society. In R. Hertwig and C. Engel (eds.), *Deliberate ignorance: Choosing not to know*. Strüngmann Forum Reports, vol. 29, J. R. Lupp, series editor. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

MacCoun, R. J., & Polcz, S. (2020). Integrating three theoretical traditions in distributive justice and social exchange research. In E. A. Lind (ed.), *Social psychology and justice*. Routledge.

MacCoun, R. J. (2018). Introduction, *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 14, v.

- Haffajee, R. L., MacCoun, R. J., & Mello, M. M. (2018). Behind schedule—Reconciling federal and state marijuana policy. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 379, 501-504.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2018). Enhancing research credibility when replication isn't feasible (invited comment). *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 41, 31-32.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2018). Multiple gateways (invited comment). *Addiction*, 113, 1785-1786.
- Kilmer, B., & MacCoun, R. J. (2017). How medical marijuana smoothed the transition to marijuana legalization in the United States. *Annual Review of Law & Social Science*, 13, 181-202.
- Humphreys, K., Malenka, R. C., Knutson, B., & MacCoun, R. J. (2017). Brains, environments, and policy responses to addiction, *Science*, 356, 1237-1238.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2017). Computational models of social influence and collective behavior. (pp. 258-280). In R. R. Vallacher, S. J. Read, & A. Nowak (Eds.), *Computational models in social psychology*. New York: Psychology Press.
- Sah, S., Tannenbaum, D., Cleary, H., Feldman, Y., Glaser, J., Lerman, A., MacCoun, R., Maguire, E., Slovic, P., Spellman, B., Spohn, C., Winship, C. (2017). Combatting biased decision making and promoting justice and equal treatment. *Behavioral Science & Policy*, 2, 79-87.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Perlmutter, S. (2017). Blind analysis as a correction for confirmatory bias in physics and in psychology (pp. 297-322). In S. O. Lilienfeld & I. Waldman (Eds.), *Psychological science under scrutiny: Recent challenges and proposed solutions*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Hughes, C. E., Moxham-Hall, V., Ritter, A., & MacCoun, R. J. (2017). The deterrent effects of Australian street-level drug law enforcement on illicit drug offending at outdoor music festivals. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 41, 91-100.
- Tyler, T., Goff, P., & MacCoun, R. J. (2015). The impact of psychology on policing in the United States: Procedural justice, legitimacy, and effective law enforcement. *Psychology in the Public Interest* (whole issue), 16(3), 75-109.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2015). Interpreting trends in tetrahydrocannabinol potency: Three stories, one of which may be true (invited comment). *Addiction*, 110, 1951-1952.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Perlmutter, S. (2015). Hide results to seek the truth. *Nature*, 526, 187-189.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2015). Balancing evidence and norms in cultural evolution. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 129, 93-104.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2015). The epistemic contract: Fostering appropriate public trust in experts. In B. H. Bornstein & A. J. Tomkins (Eds.), *Motivating cooperation and compliance with authority: The roles of institutional trust and confidence* (Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, Vol. 62, pp. 191-214). New York: Springer.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Martin, K. (2015). Legal issues: Public opinion. *The International Encyclopedia of Social and Behavioral Sciences* (2nd Edition, Vol. 13, pp. 747-752). Elsevier.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Mello, M. M. (2015). Half baked—The retail promotion of marijuana edibles. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 372, 989-991.

- Caulkins, J. P., Kilmer, B., Kleiman, M. A. R., MacCoun, R. J., Midgette, G., Oglesby, P., Pacula, R. L., & Reuter, P. H. (2015). *The marijuana legalization debate: Insights for Vermont*. Santa Monica, RAND. (4-page issue paper based on Caulkins et al. 2015 monograph.)
- MacCoun, R. J. (2014). New evidence on the tenuous state of evidence-based drug policy. Invited comment, *Addiction*, 109, 1234-1235.
- Braver, S. L., Ellman, I. M., & MacCoun, R. J. (2014). Public intuitions about fair child support allocations: Converging evidence for a “fair shares” rule. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 20, 146-163.
- Reprinted in I. Ellman & S. Braver (eds.), *When Laws Seem Fair*, 2023.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2014). Alternative maps for the world of collective behavior. Invited comment, *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 37, 88-90.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2013). The puzzling unidimensionality of DSM-5 substance use disorder diagnoses. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 4 (153), 1-5.
- Reprinted in H. Pickard, S. H. Ahmed & B. Foddy (eds.), *Alternative models of addiction*. Lausanne, Switzerland: Frontiers Research Topics, 2015.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2013). The paths not (yet) taken: Lower risk alternatives to full market legalization of cannabis. In K. Tate, J. L. Taylor, & M. Q. Sawyer (eds.), *Something's in the air: Race and the legalization of marijuana* (pp. 40-53). Routledge.
- Sah, S., Moore, D., & MacCoun, R. (2013). Cheap talk and credibility: The consequences of confidence and accuracy on advisor credibility and persuasiveness. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 121, 246-255.
- Best Paper Award, MOC Division, Academy of Management, August 2011, San Antonio, TX
- MacCoun, R. J. (2013). Moral outrage and opposition to harm reduction. Symposium on Vice Law and Philosophy, *Criminal Law & Philosophy*, 7, 83-98.
- Kerr, N. L., & MacCoun, R. J. (2012). Is the leniency asymmetry really dead? Misinterpreting asymmetry effects in criminal jury deliberation. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 15, 585-602.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2012). The volatility of social influence when everyone is your neighbor. *Policy Notes*, Spring 2012, 8-9.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2012). The burden of social proof: Shared thresholds and social influence. *Psychological Review*, 119, 345-372.
- Caulkins, J. P., Kilmer, B., MacCoun, R. J., Pacula, R. L., & Reuter, P. (2012). Design considerations for legalizing cannabis. *Addiction*, 107, 865-871.
- Caulkins, J. P., Kilmer, B., MacCoun, R. J., Pacula, R. L., & Reuter, P. (2012). Response to commentaries. *Addiction*, 107, 876-877.
- Ellman, I. M., Braver, S., & MacCoun, R. J. (2012). Abstract principles and concrete cases in intuitive lawmaking. *Law and Human Behavior*, 36, 98-108.
- Reprinted in I. Ellman & S. Braver (eds.), *When Laws Seem Fair*, 2023.

- MacCoun, R. J., & Reuter, P. (2011). Assessing drug prohibition and its alternatives: A guide for agnostics. *Annual Review of Law & Social Science*, 7, 61-78.
- Sah, S., & MacCoun, R. (2011). Unconvinced but persuaded: How confident advisors influence you. Published in *Best Paper Proceedings of the Academy of Management Conference*.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2011). How should expert judgment inform the legalization debate? In P. Cook, J. Ludwig & J. McCrary (eds.), *Controlling crime: Strategies and payoffs*. University of Chicago Press.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2011). What can we learn from the Dutch cannabis coffeeshop system? *Addiction*, 106, 1899-1910.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Hix, W. M. (2010). Cohesion and performance. In National Defense Research Institute (collective authorship), *Sexual orientation and U.S. military policy: An update of RAND's 1993 study* (pp. 137-165). Santa Monica: RAND. Prepared for the Office of the Secretary of Defense as part of the 2010 Pentagon evaluation of the Don't Ask Don't Tell policy. (Note: The chapter reviews 17 years of new research since my 1993 cohesion analysis.)
- MacCoun, R. J. (2010). The implicit rules of evidence-based drug policy, updated. *Addiction*, 105, 1335-1336.
- Robbennolt, J., Darley, J., & MacCoun, R. J. (2010). Constraint satisfaction and judging (pp. 27-40). In David Klein & Gregory Mitchell (eds.), *The psychology of judicial decision making*. Oxford.
- MacCoun, R., Pacula, R. L., Reuter, P., Chriqui, J., Harris, K. (2009). Do citizens know whether they live in a decriminalization state? State marijuana laws and perceptions. *Review of Law & Economics*, 5(1), 347-371.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Martin, K. (2009). Drug use and drug policy in a prohibition regime (pp. 501-523). In M. Tonry (ed.), *The Oxford handbook of crime and public policy*. Oxford.
- Ellman, I. M., Braver, S., & MacCoun, R. J. (2009). Intuitive lawmaking: The example of child support. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, 6, 69-109.
- Reprinted in I. Ellman & S. Braver (eds.), *When Laws Seem Fair*, 2023.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2009). Harm reduction is a good label for a criterion all drug programs should meet (invited comment). *Addiction*, 104, 341-342.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Paletz, S. (2009). Citizens' perceptions of ideological bias in research on public policy controversies. *Political Psychology*, 30, 43-65.
- MacCoun, R. J., Cook, P., Muschkin, C., & Vigdor, J. (2008). Distinguishing spurious and real norm effects: Evidence from artificial societies, small-group experiments, and real schoolyards. *Review of Law & Economics*, 4(3), 695-714, <http://www.bepress.com/rle/vol4/iss3/art2/>
- Tenney, E. R., Spellman, B. A., & MacCoun, R. J. (2008). The benefits of knowing what you know (and what you don't): Fact-finders rely on others who are well calibrated. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 44, 1368-1375.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2008). Bridging the gap between science and drug policy: From "what" and "how" to "whom" and "when" (invited comment). *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 31, 454-455.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Reuter, P. (2008). The implicit rules of evidence-based drug policy: A US perspective (invited comment). *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 19, 231-232.

Cook, P., MacCoun, R. J., Muschkin, C., & Vigdor, J. (2008). The negative impacts of starting middle school in sixth grade. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 27, 104-121.

Received the 2008 Raymond Vernon Memorial Prize for year's best paper from the Association for Public Policy Analysis and Management.

MacCoun, R. J. (2008). Complex evidence in litigation. In B. L. Cutler (Ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Psychology and Law*, Vol. 1, pp.127-130.

Kerr, N. L., & MacCoun, R. J. (2008). Juries and the leniency bias. In B. L. Cutler (Ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Psychology and Law*, Vol. 2, pp. 453-455.

MacCoun, R. J. (2007). Testing drugs vs. testing users: Private risk management in the shadow of the criminal law. *DePaul University Law Review*, 56, 507-538.

Tenney, E. R., MacCoun, R. J., Spellman, B. A., & Hastie, R. (2007). Calibration trumps confidence as a basis for witness credibility. *Psychological Science*, 18, 46-50.

Featured as "Editor's Choice" in the 2 Feb 2007 issue of *Science*.

MacCoun, R. J. (2006). Psychological constraints on transparency in legal and government decision making. In A. Gosseries (ed.), Symposium on publicity and accountability in governance, *Swiss Political Science Review*, 12, 112-123.

MacCoun, R. J. (2006). The relativity of cognition as a challenge for behavioral law and economics (Invited Essay), *Daito Bunka Law Review*, 2, 29-39.

MacCoun, R., Kier, E., & Belkin, A. (2006). Does social cohesion determine motivation in combat? An old question with an old answer. *Armed Forces and Society*, 32, 646-654.

Included as one of 10 papers on "Military Studies" in Google Scholar's Classic Papers, a collection of "the ten most-cited articles that were published ten years earlier."

MacCoun, R. J. (2006). Media reporting of jury verdicts: Is the tail (of the distribution) wagging the dog? Clifford Symposium on Tort Law, *DePaul Law Review*, 55, 539-562.

MacCoun, R. J. (2006). Competing accounts of the gateway effect: The field thins, but still no clear winner. Invited commentary, *Addiction*, 101, 473-474

MacCoun, R. J. (2005). Voice, control, and belonging: The double-edged sword of procedural fairness. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 1, 171-201.

Pacula, R. L., MacCoun, R., Reuter, P., Chiqui, J., Kilmer, B., Harris, K., Paoli, L., & Schaefer, C. (2005). What does it mean to decriminalize marijuana? A cross-national empirical examination. In B. Lindgren & M. Grossman (eds.), *Substance use: Individual behaviour, social interactions, markets and politics* (pp. 347-370). Elsevier/North-Holland.

MacCoun, R. J. (2005). Comparing legal factfinders: Real and mock, amateur and professional. Symposium on "The behavioral analysis of legal institutions," *Florida State University Law Review*, 32, 511-518 .

MacCoun, R. (2005). Conflicts of interest in public policy research (pp. 233-262). In Moore, D. A., Cain, D. M., Loewenstein, G. and Bazerman, M. (eds.), *Conflicts of interest: Problems and solutions from law, medicine and organizational settings*. London: Cambridge University Press.

- Caulkins, J., & MacCoun, R. (2005). Deterring imperfectly rational actors: The case of drug enforcement. In Francesco Parisi and Vernon Smith (eds.), *The law and economics of irrational behavior* (pp.313-338). Stanford University Press.
- Feldman, Y., & MacCoun, R. J. (2005). Some well-aged wines for the “new norms” bottles: Implications of social psychology for law and economics. In Francesco Parisi and Vernon Smith (eds.), *The law and economics of irrational behavior* (pp.358-394). Stanford University Press.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2004). Anticipating unintended consequences of vaccine-like immunotherapies for addictive drug use. In H. R. Harwood & T. G. Myers (eds.), *New treatments for addiction: Behavioral, ethical, legal, and social questions* (pp. 241-275). National Research Council and the Institute of Medicine. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- Kilmer, B., & MacCoun, R. J. (2004). Public policy on addictive disorders. In R. Coombs (ed.), *Addictive disorders: A practical handbook*. Wiley.
- MacCoun, R. (2004). Population thinking as an adjunct to the clinical trial (invited editorial). *Psychiatric Services*, 55, 509-510, 515.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2004). Misguided drug policy (Forum: invited comment), *Issues in Science and Technology*, Fall 2004. <http://www.issues.org/21.1/index.html>
- MacCoun, R. J. (2003). Comments on Chaloupka, Emery, and Laing. In R. Vuchinich & N. Heather (eds.), *Choice, behavioural economics and addiction* (pp. 90-94). Oxford UK: Elsevier Science.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2003). Is the addiction concept useful for drug policy? In R. Vuchinich & N. Heather (eds.), *Choice, behavioural economics and addiction* (pp. 383-408). Oxford UK: Elsevier Science.
- MacCoun, R., Kilmer, B., & Reuter, P. (2003). Research on drug-crime linkages: The next generation (commissioned paper). In *Toward a drugs and crime research agenda for the 21st century*. National Institute of Justice Special Report.
- Reprinted in Kelly, M. (ed.) (2006). *Readings on drugs and society* (Pearson).
- Robbennolt, J. K., Darley, J. M., & MacCoun, R. J. (2003). Symbolism and incommensurability in civil sanctioning: Decision-makers as goal managers. Symposium on “Responsibility and blame: Psychological and legal perspectives,” *Brooklyn Law Review*, 68, 1121-1158.
- Caulkins, J., & MacCoun, R. (2003). Limited rationality and the limits of supply reduction, *Journal of Drug Issues*, 33, 433-464.
- MacCoun, R. (2003). Review of Shadish, Cook, & Campbell’s *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference* (book review). *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 22, 330-332.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2003). “Don’t ask, don’t tell” and military unit cohesion. In A. Belkin and G. Bateman (eds.), *Don’t ask, don’t tell: Debating the gay ban in the military* (pp. 77-81). Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- MacCoun, R. (2002). Why a psychologist won the Nobel Prize in Economics. *APS Observer*, 15(10), pp. 1, 8.
- MacCoun, R., & Reuter, P. (eds.) (2002). Cross-national drug policy. Special issue of the *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 582, 7-194.

- MacCoun, R., & Reuter, P. (2002). The varieties of drug control at the dawn of the 21st century (overview essay). *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 582, 7-19.
- Horowitz, J. L., MacCoun, R. J., & Manski, C. F. (2002). Response to comments regarding the National Research Council report. *Addiction*, 97, 663-665.
- Reuter, P., & MacCoun, R. (2002). Heroin maintenance: Is a U.S. experiment needed? In D. Musto (ed.), *One hundred years of heroin* (pp. 159-180). Westport CT: Greenwood.
- MacCoun, R. (2002). Comparing micro and macro rationality. In M. V. Rajeev Gowda and Jeffrey Fox (Eds.), *Judgments, decisions, and public policy* (pp. 116-137). Cambridge University Press.
- MacCoun, R. (2001). Public opinion about legal issues. N. J. Smelser & P.J. Baltas (eds.), *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 8641-8646. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Reuter, P. (2001). Reply to De Zwart and Van Laar. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 179, 369-370.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Reuter, P. (2001). Reply to Abraham, Cohen, and Beukenhorst. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 179, 176-177.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2001). U.S. drug policy. In M. Golay (ed.), *Illegal drug use in North America and Europe: Comparative issues, problems, and policies* (pp. 5-8). Vancouver, British Columbia: UBC Institute for European Studies. (Non-referreed conference proceedings.)
- MacCoun, R. J. (2001). American distortion of Dutch drug statistics. *Society*, 38, 23-26.
- Reprinted in J. B. Imber (ed.), *Searching for science policy* (pp. 31-38). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 2002.
- MacCoun, R., & Reuter, P. (2001). Evaluating alternative cannabis regimes. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 178, 123-128.
- MacCoun, R. J. (2000). The costs and benefits of letting juries punish corporations: Comment on Viscusi. *Stanford Law Review*, 52, 1821-1828.
- Vidmar, N., Lempert, R. O., Diamond, S. S., Hans, V. P., Landsman, S., MacCoun, R., Sanders, J., Hosch, H. M., Kassin, S., Galanter, M., Eisenberg, T., Daniels, S., Greene, E., Martin, J., Penrod, S., Richardson, J., Heuer, L., & Horowitz, I. (2000). Amicus brief: Kumho Tire v. Carmichael. *Law & Human Behavior*, 24, 387-400.
- MacCoun, R. J. (1999). Epistemological dilemmas in the assessment of legal decision making. *Law & Human Behavior*, 23, 723-730.
- Anderson, M. C., & MacCoun, R. J. (1999). Goal conflict in juror assessments of compensatory and punitive damages. *Law & Human Behavior*, 23, 313-330.
- MacCoun, R. J. (1999). What harm reduction is and isn't. *American Psychologist*, 54, 843-844.
- MacCoun, R. J. (1998). Breaking the impasse in American drug policy. In E. L. Rubin (Ed.), *Minimizing harm: A new crime policy for modern America* (pp. 203-208). Boulder, CO: Westview Press. (Abbreviated version published in 1997 by California Policy Seminar.)
- MacCoun, R. (1998). Toward a psychology of harm reduction. *American Psychologist*, 53, 1199-1208.

Earlier draft appeared as “The psychology of harm reduction: Alternative strategies for modifying high-risk behavior,” in *1996 Wellness Lectures* (pp. 1-27). Oakland, CA: California Wellness Foundation and University of California.

Reprinted in *Taking sides: Clashing views on controversial issues in drugs and society* (4th ed.). Guilford, CT: Dushkin/McGraw-Hill, 2000.

Reprinted in *Taking sides: Clashing views on controversial issues in drugs and society* (5th ed.). Guilford, CT: Dushkin/McGraw-Hill, 2001.

Reprinted in Franklin E. Zimring & Bernard E. Harcourt (2007), *Criminal law and the enforcement of vice* (Thomson West).

Reprinted in G. A. Marlatt & K. Witkiewitz (Eds.), (2008). *Addictive behaviors: New readings on etiology, prevention, and treatment*. American Psychological Association.

MacCoun, R., & Reuter, P. (1998). Drug control. In M. Tonry (Ed.), *The handbook of crime and punishment* (pp. 207-238). New York: Oxford University Press.

MacCoun, R. (1998). In what sense (if any) is marijuana a gateway drug? *Drug Policy Analysis Bulletin*, 4, 5-8.

MacCoun, R. (1998). Biases in the interpretation and use of research results, *Annual Review of Psychology*, 49, 259-287.

MacCoun, R., & Reuter, P. (1997). Interpreting Dutch cannabis policy: Reasoning by analogy in the legalization debate. *Science*, 278, 47-52.

Reprinted in H. T. Wilson (ed.) (1999). *Drugs, society, and behavior* (Fourteenth edition; pp. 180-185). Guilford, CT: Dushkin/McGraw Hill.

Reprinted in M. Natarajan (Ed.) (2010). *The Library of Drug Abuse and Crime (Vol. 3)*. Ashgate.

MacCoun, R. J. (1996). Is melioration the addiction theory of choice? (invited commentary) *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 19, 586-587.

Kulik, C. T., Lind, E. A., Ambrose, M. L., & MacCoun, R. J. (1996). Understanding gender differences in distributive and procedural justice. *Social Justice Research*, 9, 351-369.

MacCoun, R. J. (1996). Sexual orientation and military cohesion: A critical review of the evidence. In G. M. Herek, J. B. Jobe, & R. Carney (Eds.), *Out in force: Sexual orientation and the military*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Kerr, N., MacCoun, R. J., & Kramer, G. (1996). Bias in judgment: Comparing individuals and groups. *Psychological Review*, 103, 687-719.

Excerpts reprinted in Fiss, O. M., & Resnik, J. (2003), *Adjudication and its alternatives: An introduction to procedure* (pp. 890-895). Foundation Press.

Reprinted in N. K. Chater (ed.) (2010). *Judgment and decision making*. London: SAGE Library of Cognitive and Experimental Psychology.

Reuter, P., & MacCoun, R. (1996). Harm reduction and social policy: Should addicts be paid? *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 15, 225-230.

Reprinted in Kelly, M. (ed.) (2006). *Readings on drugs and society* (Pearson).

Bailis, D. S., & MacCoun, R. J. (1996). Estimating liability risks with the media as your guide: A content analysis of media coverage of civil litigation. *Law and Human Behavior*, 20, 419-429.

Abridged version reprinted in *Judicature*, 1996, 80, 64-67.

MacCoun, R. J., & Caulkins, J. (1996). Examining the behavioral assumptions of the national drug control strategy. In W. K. Bickel & R. J. DeGrandpre (Eds.), *Drug policy and human nature: Psychological perspectives on the prevention, management, and treatment of illicit drug use* (pp. 177-197). New York: Plenum Press.

Kerr, N. L., MacCoun, R. J., & Kramer, G. P. (1996). When are N heads better (or worse) than one? Biased judgment in individuals vs. groups. In E. Witte & J. H. Davis (Eds.), *Understanding group behavior (Vol. 1): Consensual action by small groups* (pp. 105-136). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

MacCoun, R., Reuter, P., & Schelling, T. (1996). Assessing alternative drug control regimes. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 15, 1-23.

Reprinted in J. Lane & J. Petersilia (Eds.), *Criminal Justice Policy*. UK: Edward Elgar Publishing, 1998.

MacCoun, R. J. (1996). Differential treatment of corporate defendants by juries: An examination of the 'deep pockets' hypothesis. *Law and Society Review*, 30, 121-161.

Reuter, P., & MacCoun, R. (1995). Drawing lessons from the absence of harm reduction in American drug policy. *Tobacco Control*, 4 (Supplement 2), S28-S32.

Saner, H., MacCoun, R. J., & Reuter, P. (1995). On the ubiquity of drug selling among youthful offenders in Washington, D.C., 1985-1991: Age, period, or cohort effect? *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 11, 337-362.

MacCoun, R. J., Model, K., Phillips-Shockley, H., & Reuter, P. (1995). Comparing drug policies in North America and Western Europe. In G. Estievenart (Ed.), *Policies and strategies to combat drugs in Europe* (pp. 197-220). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Martinus Nijhoff.

Reuter, P., & MacCoun, R. J. (1995). Assessing the legalization debate. In G. Estievenart (Ed.), *Policies and strategies to combat drugs in Europe* (pp. 39-49). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Martinus Nijhoff.

MacCoun, R. J. (1995). Review of K. R. Foster, D. E. Bernstein, & P. W. Huber (Eds.), *Phantom risk: Scientific inference and the law* (MIT Press, 1993). *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 14, 168-171.

MacCoun, R. J. (1993). Blaming others to a fault? *Chance*, 6, 31-34 and 18.

MacCoun, R. J. (1993). *Is there a deep-pockets bias in the tort system?* Institute for Civil Justice Issue Paper. Santa Monica, CA: RAND.

MacCoun, R. J., Kahan, J., Gillespie, J., & Rhee, J. (1993). A content analysis of the drug legalization debate. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 23, 615-629.

MacCoun, R. J. (1993). Unit cohesion and military performance. In National Defense Research Institute, *Sexual orientation and U.S. military personnel policy: Policy options and assessment* (pp. 283-331). Santa Monica, CA: RAND.

- MacCoun, R., Reuter, P., Kahan, J., & Saiger, A. (1993). Drug policies and problems: The promise and pitfalls of cross-national comparisons. In N. Heather, A. Wodak, E. Nadelmann, & P. O'Hare (Eds.), *Psychoactive drugs and harm reduction: From faith to science* (pp. 103-117), London: Whurr Publishers.
- MacCoun, R. J. (1993). Inside the black box: What empirical research tells us about decisionmaking by civil juries. In R. E. Litan (Ed.), *Verdict: Assessing the civil jury system* (pp. 137-180), Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution.
- MacCoun, R. J. (1993). Drugs and the law: A psychological analysis of drug prohibition. *Psychological Bulletin*, 113, 497-512.
- Reuter, P., & MacCoun, R. J. (1992). Street drug markets in inner-city neighborhoods: Matching policy to reality. In J. B. Steinberg, D. W. Lyon, & M. E. Vaiana (Eds.), *Urban America: Policy choices for Los Angeles and the nation* (pp. 227-251). Santa Monica, CA: RAND.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Reuter, P. (1992). Are the wages of sin \$30 an hour? Economic aspects of street-level drug dealing. *Crime and Delinquency*, 38, 477-491.
- MacCoun, R. J., Lind, E. A., & Tyler, T. R. (1992). Alternative dispute resolution in trial and appellate courts. In D. K. Kagehiro & W. S. Laufer (Eds.), *The handbook of psychology and law* (pp. 95-118). New York: Springer Verlag.
- MacCoun, R. J. (1991). Unintended consequences of court-annexed arbitration: A cautionary tale from New Jersey. *Justice System Journal*, 14, 229-243.
- Lind, E. A., MacCoun, R. J., Ebener, P. A., Felstiner, W. L. F., Hensler, D. R., Resnick, J., & Tyler, T. R. (1990). In the eye of the beholder: Tort litigants' evaluations of their experiences in the civil justice system. *Law and Society Review*, 24, 953-996.
- MacCoun, R. J. (1990). The emergence of extralegal bias during jury deliberation. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 17, 303-314.
- MacCoun, R. J. (1989). Experimental research on jury decision making. *Science*, 244, 1046-1050.
- Reprinted in *Jurimetrics: Journal of Law, Science, and Technology*, 1990, 30, 223-233.
- Reprinted in R. M. Krivoshey (Ed.) (1994), *Readings in trial advocacy and the social sciences, Vol. I* (pp. 100-104). Hamden, CT: Garland.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Tyler, T. R. (1988). The basis of citizens' perceptions of the criminal jury: Procedural fairness, accuracy and efficiency. *Law and Human Behavior*, 12, 333-352.
- MacCoun, R. J., & Kerr, N. L. (1988). Asymmetric influence in mock jury deliberation: Jurors' bias for leniency. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 21-33.
- MacCoun, R. J. (1987). *Getting inside the black box: Toward a better understanding of civil jury behavior*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND. (Externally reviewed 49-page paper.)
- Kerr, N. L., MacCoun, R. J., Hansen, C. H., & Hymes, J. A. (1987). Gaining and losing social support: Momentum in decision making groups. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 23, 119-145.
- Jackson, L. A., MacCoun, R. J., & Kerr, N. L. (1987). Stereotypes and nonstereotypic inferences: The effects of gender role attitudes on inferences of likeability, adjustment, and occupational potential. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 13, 45-52.

- MacCoun, R. J., & Kerr, N. L. (1987). Suspicion in the psychological laboratory: Kelman's prophecy revisited. *American Psychologist*, 42, 199.
- MacCoun, R. J. (1986). Fictional and factual juries (review of *Anatomy of a jury* by S. Wishman and *Judging the jury* by V. P. Hans and N. Vidmar). *Judicature*, 70, October-November, 172-173.
- Carroll, J. S., Kerr, N. L., Alfini, J. J., Weaver, F. M., MacCoun, R. J., & Feldman, V. (1986). Free press and fair trial: The role of behavioral research. *Law and Human Behavior*, 10, 187-201.
- Messé, L. A., Hymes, R. W., & MacCoun, R. J. (1986). Group categorization and distributive justice decisions. In H. W. Bierhoff, R. L. Cohen, and J. Greenberg (Eds.), *Justice in social relations* (pp. 227-248). New York: Plenum Press.
- Kerr, N. L., & MacCoun, R. J. (1985). Role expectations in social dilemmas: Sex roles and task motivation in groups. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 49, 1547-1556.
- MacCoun, R. J. (1985). Evaluating juror performance (review of A. D. Austin's *Complex litigation confronts the jury system*). *Judicature*, June-July issue.
- Reprinted in the *Chicago Daily Law Journal*, July 18, 1985.
- Kerr, N. L., & MacCoun, R. J. (1985). The effects of jury size and polling method on the process and product of jury deliberation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 48, 349-363.
- Reprinted in L. S. Wrightsman, S. M. Kassin, and C. E. Willis (Eds.), *In the jury box: Controversies in the courtroom* (pp. 209-234). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage, 1987.
- Kerr, N. L., Bull, R., MacCoun, R. J., & Rathborn, H. (1985). Effects of victim attractiveness, care, and disfigurement on the judgements of American and British mock jurors. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 24, 47-58.
- Kerr, N. L., & MacCoun, R. J. (1984). Sex composition of groups and member motivation: Effects of relative member ability. *Journal of Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 5, 255-271.

SELECTED PRESENTATIONS, BRIEFINGS, AND SYMPOSIA

Third millennium thinking: Creating sense in a world of nonsense. Many presentations, interviews, and podcasts, including:

- Commonwealth Club, San Francisco, 28 March 2024
- Smithsonian Associates webinar, 8 April 2024
- Cal Day, UC Berkeley, 13 April 2024
- Big Think, 27 April 2024
- Scientific American Online, 1 May 2024
- Author Talks, McKinsey & Co., 29 May 2024
- Book TV, C-SPAN2, 30 June 2024
- The Exploratorium After Dark, San Francisco, 5 December 2024
- Various podcasts including Behavioral Grooves (6/10/24), Thinking Clearly (7/23/24), The Release (8/6/24), Unsiloed (7/8/24), Origins (9/18/24)
-

(From 2021-2023, I was unable to give any talks because of family medical crises)

p-Hacking: A strategic analysis. Meta-research Innovation Center (METRIC), Stanford 1Medical School, 29 October 2020.

The varieties of indecision. Princeton Psychology Colloquium, 2 October 2020.

The challenge of trying to be an honest broker in politicized research areas. Stanford Distinguished Careers Institute, 21 November 2019.

Fentanyl and harm reduction. American Society of Criminology, 14 November 2019.

Risky health behaviors: The total harm framework for policy analysis and political analysis. Claremont Symposium on Applied Social Psychology, Claremont Graduate University, 10 November 2019.

Understanding the sources of resistance to heroin-assisted treatment in the US public health community. Stockholm Symposium on Criminology, Stockholm, Sweden, 10 June 2019.

What I've learned about psychology by collaborating with non-psychologists. James McKeen Cattell Award address. Annual Meeting of the Association for Psychological Science, Washington, D.C., 25 May 2019.

Modeling tipping points in the movement of people vs. the movement of ideas. Annual Meeting of the Association for Psychological Science, Washington, D.C., 24 May 2019.

Challenges of apolitical analysis of politically charged topics. Stanford Institute on Political Psychology, 23 August 2018, morning session.

Politics and science of marijuana legalization. Stanford Institute on Political Psychology, 23 August 2018, afternoon session.

Regulating marijuana to keep it legal.

- Center for Law & the Biosciences, Stanford University, 16 October 2018.
- Rotary Club of San Mateo, 11 October 2018.
- Fellowship Forum, Palo Alto, CA, 14 August 2018.

Marijuana policy. Stanford Network on Addiction Policy (SNAP). Stanford Medical School, 30 March 2018.

The opioid crisis (panelist). Stanford Criminal Justice Center, 29 January 2018.

Coping with rapidly changing standards and practices in the behavioral sciences.

- Center for the Study of Law and Society, UC Berkeley, 26 February 2018.
- Social Psychology colloquium, Claremont Graduate University, Claremont CA, 23 January 2018.
- Harvard Empirical Legal Studies Series, Harvard Law School, Cambridge MA, 13 November 2017.

Why viewpoint diversity matters: A social-psychological perspective.

- The Association of American Law Schools Annual Meeting, San Diego, 6 January 2018.
- Rock Center symposium, Stanford Law School, 5 December 2017.

Regulating marijuana to keep it legal. The State of Marijuana 2017. Los Angeles CA, 26 August 2017.

Psychological and sociological motivations and challenges for blind analysis. Invited keynote address, Conference on Blind Analysis, Kavli Institute for Particle Physics and Cosmology and SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA, 14 March 2017.

Legalization without illusions.

- VA Palo Alto Health Care System, “A Day on Cannabis: Policy, Treatment, and Clinical Implications,” 15 June 2017.
- Stanford Law School Criminal Justice Center, 19 October 2016.
- Stanford in Government and the Palo Alto League of Women Voters, 19 October 2016.
- Stanford Public Policy Graduate Colloquium, 15 November 2016.

State marijuana legalization: Learning by doing. Keynote address, Conference on Developing Cannabis Research, Washington State University, 30 September 2016.

A theory of social propensities (with Sarah Polcz). NeuroChoice Initiative, Stanford Neurosciences Institute, 8 June 2016.

Cannabis revenues as temptation goods. The Cannabis Science & Policy Summit, New York University, 17 April 2016.

Re-learning from the Dutch coffeeshop system. The Cannabis Science & Policy Summit, New York University, 18 April 2016.

SimSemilla: Simulating the effects of cannabis consumption and potency on harms. RAND, Drug Policy Research Center, Santa Monica, CA, 29 March 2016.

Blind analysis: Hide results to seek truth.

- Meta-Research Innovation Center (METRICS), Stanford University, 2 May 2016.
- Departmental colloquium, Department of Psychology, Stanford University, 13 January 2016.
- Responsible Conduct seminar, Stanford Program in Neurosciences, 6 January 2016.

Taxing marijuana to influence marijuana consumption. Invited testimony, Blue Ribbon Commission on Marijuana Policy (Lt. Governor Gavin Newsom, Chair). Fresno State University, 3 June 2015.

Unconscious bias. Association of Business Trial Lawyers, San Francisco, CA, 1 June 2015.

Regulating marijuana in California: Options and assessment. Stanford Marijuana Policy Lab. Invited presentation at the Drug Policy Research Center, RAND, Santa Monica, CA, 26 May 2015.

Weed and whisky: The emerging retail marijuana industry and its implications for alcohol use. National Alcoholic Beverage Control Association, Phoenix, AZ, 19 May 2015.

Grow your own marijuana law. Center for Law & the Biosciences, Stanford University, 7 April 2015.

Getting past the yuck factor: The psychology of disgust. Presented at workshop on Recycled Water & the Yuck Factor hosted by Water in the West, the Bill Lane Center for the American West and ReNUWI, Stanford, 18 March 2015.

Discussant, UCLA Workshop on Experimental Methods in Legal Scholarship, 6 March 2015.

Marijuana legalization: Reports from the frontlines (symposium discussant). Annual meeting of the American Society of Criminology, San Francisco, 20 November 2014.

Unconscious bias and discrimination. “Is Litigation More of a Science than an Art?,” 2014 Annual Bench Bar Conference, the Litigation Section of the Bar Association of San Francisco, 16 October 2014.

Panelist, “Empirical Research Methods Workshop,” Inaugural Conference for Junior Researchers, Stanford Program in Law and Society, Stanford Law School, 16 May 2014.

Balancing evidence and norms in cultural evolution. Center for Decision Research, University of Chicago Booth School of Business, 5 May 2014.

Fostering public trust in social science expertise.

- Invited lecture, 62nd Annual Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, Department of Psychology, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 25 April 2014.
- Stanford Social Psychology Program, 27 October 2014.
- Stanford Political Psychology Research Group, 12 January 2015.

The burden of social proof: Socially shared thresholds for belief and action. Berkeley Social Ontology Group, 21 March 2014.

Rethinking the War on Drugs (moderator, panel discussion). World Affairs 2014, 68th Annual Conference, San Francisco, 15 March 2014.

State marijuana legalization: A guide for agnostic voters and policymakers.

- Stanford Public Policy Program, 9 October 2014.
- USC Law School, 3 February 2014.
- Freeman Spogli Institute, Stanford University, 20 November 2013.

What happens next with marijuana? (Q&A format, moderated by Ethan Nadelmann, Director of Drug Policy Alliance), International Drug Policy Reform Conference, Denver, 25 October 2013.

The addiction construct as a misleading guide to moral blameworthiness. Society for Experimental Social Psychology, Berkeley, 28 September 2013.

Design options for California marijuana laws.

- San Francisco Sentencing Commission, 24 July 2013.
- El Cerrito Democratic Club, 23 July 2013.

Summer Institute in Political Psychology, Stanford University, 19 July 2013:

- *The burden of social proof* (morning lecture)
- *Confidence, calibration, and credibility* (afternoon lecture)

BOP, BEAN, and POEM: Formal threshold models for judgment, choice, and action. Good Judgment Project Symposium, Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, 21 May 2013.

Discussant, *New views on eyewitness identification reform*, Annual Meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society, Portland, 9 March 2013.

Humanizing as an antidote for contempt (A. Martinez, R. Mendoza-Denton, R. MacCoun, & S. Hinshaw). Annual Meeting of the Society for Personality and Social Psychology, New Orleans, 18 January 2013.

How do beliefs spread and compete? Threshold, clarity, and vision effects. SPSP 2013 Preconference on Dynamical Systems and Computational Social Psychology, New Orleans, 17 January 2013.

Public intuitions about fair child support payments: Converging evidence for an 'ability to contribute' rule. Conference on Empirical Legal Studies, Stanford Law, 10 November 2012.

The burden of social proof: Shared thresholds and social influence. Penn Law School, University of Pennsylvania, 22 October 2012

Lasting effects of the War on Drugs. Invited seminar for the Stanford Chapter of the NAACP, 17 May 2012.

Moral outrage and opposition to harm reduction. Law & Society symposium, Stanford Law School, 10 April 2012.

Military unit cohesion and the repeal of Don't Ask, Don't Tell (with Nora Bensahel). Symposium jointly sponsored by Outlaw, the American Constitutional Society, and the Stanford National Security and the Law Society, Stanford Law School, 2 April 2012.

The burden of social proof: Shared thresholds and social influence.

- Stanford Business School, 18 April 2012
- Stanford Law School, 22 February 2012

Moral outrage and opposition to harm reduction. Invited presentation at the Vice and Crime workshop, Rutgers Law School, Newark, NJ, 16 September 2011.

What can we learn from the Dutch cannabis coffeeshop system? UC Berkeley Law Faculty Workshop, 6 September 2011.

Unconvinced but persuaded: How confident advisors influence you (with S. Sah). Best paper award, MOC Division, Academy of Management, San Antonio, August 2011.

Experimental methods for sociolegal research. Graduate Student Workshop, Annual Meeting of the Law & Society Association, San Francisco, 1 June 2011.

Discussant and panelist on cannabis law changes in the US, Australia, the UK, and the Netherlands. International Society for the Study of Drug Policy, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 23 May 2011.

Incarceration, morality, and public perception. Invited panel discussion (with Dan Kahan and Glenn Loury), Yale Law School, 18 April 2011.

Allocating the burden of proof: Shared thresholds and social influence. College of Law, University of Illinois, 15 March 2011.

Discussant, *Crack pipes and policing: A case study of institutional racism and remedial action in Cleveland* (Mona Lynch). Institute for the Study of Social Change and Berkeley Law, UC Berkeley, 8 March 2011.

Cheap talk and credibility: The consequences of confidence and accuracy on advisor credibility and persuasiveness (with S. Sah & D. Moore; presented by MacCoun).

- Invited lecture, Stanford Law School, 28 February 2011.
- Conference on Empirical Legal Studies, Northwestern University Law School, 4 November 2011.

Military cohesion and the repeal of "Don't Ask, Don't Tell."

- Invited lecture, Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law, Arizona State University, 22 February 2011.
- Seminar, Center for the Study of Law and Society, UC Berkeley, 29 August 2011.

California marijuana laws: Policy analysis and political psychology. Invited talk, San Francisco City Hall, 20 January 2011.

Experimental design strategies. Half-day Workshop in Empirical Research Methods, Center for the Study of Law and Society, UC Berkeley, 5 November 2010.

What will happen if California legalizes marijuana?

- Homecoming Lecture, UC Berkeley, 7 October 2010
- Goldman School of Public Policy colloquium, 9 October 2010

Cheap talk and credibility: Influence of advisor's confidence and accuracy (with S. Sah & D. Moore; presented by Sah). Academy of Management Annual Meeting, 10 August 2010.

Implicit standards of proof in contentious social issues. Stanford Summer Institute in Political Psychology, Palo Alto, CA, Stanford Summer Institute in Political Psychology, 22 July 2010.

Altered state? Assessing how marijuana legalization in California could influence marijuana consumption and public budgets (with B. Kilmer, J. Caulkins, R. Pacula, & P. Reuter).

- Capitol Briefing, California State Capitol, Sacramento, 7 July 2010.
- Congressional Briefing, 1334 Longworth House Office Building, Washington, D.C., 12 July 2010.

Allocating the burden of social proof: Shared thresholds and social influence. Empirical Implications of Theoretical Models Summer Institute, UC Berkeley, 30 June 2010.

What would happen if California legalized marijuana?

- Lake Merritt Breakfast Club, Oakland, 15 April 2010.
- International Society for the Study of Drug Policy, RAND, Santa Monica CA, 16 March 2010.
- Health Services Research Colloquium, School of Public Health, UC Berkeley, 2 February 2010.
- Chancellor's Forum, University of California at Berkeley, 30 October 2009.
- 38th Annual Conference of the National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws (NORML), San Francisco, 25 September 2009.

Advisor confidence as a cue to advisor accuracy. IGERT seminar, Goldman School of Public Policy, University of California at Berkeley, 14 April 2010.

Would ending "Don't Ask Don't Tell" impair US military effectiveness? QuiPP seminar, UC Berkeley, 31 March 2010.

Discussant, "Key insights from Project Hope and 24/7 Sobriety Program," International Society for the Study of Drug Policy, RAND, Santa Monica CA, 16 March 2010.

Discussant, "Rethinking America's Illegal Drug Policy (John Donohue, Benjamin Ewing, & David Pelopquin). NBER Economical Crime Control Conference, UC Berkeley Law, 16 January 2010.

Discussant, "Doubling Down on Pot: Marijuana, Race and the New Disorder in New York City Street Policing" (Amanda B. Geller, Jeffrey Fagan). Fourth Annual Conference on Empirical Legal Studies, USC Law School, 20 November 2009.

Organizer and moderator, *New directions for US drug policy?* The Annual Caleb Foote Symposium, UC Berkeley Law School, 13 November 2009 (featuring Gil Kerlikowske, Director of the White House Office of National Drug Control Policy)

Allocating the burden of social proof: Shared thresholds and social influence.

- Institute for Personality and Social Psychology, University of California at Berkeley, 23 September 2009.
- Org. Behavior and Industrial Relations seminar, Haas Business School, UC Berkeley, 28 October 2009.

The rule of law and the unruliness of the mind. Festschrift for John Darley, Department of Psychology, Princeton University, 22 May 2009.

Thomas C. Schelling Symposium (conference co-organizer and presenter). Goldman School of Public Policy, UC Berkeley, 4 March 2008.

Moral outrage and opposition to policies that reduce the harms of risky behaviors.

- Center for Health Administration, University of Chicago, 10 October 2007.
- Joint Annual Meeting of the Law and Society Association (LSA) and the Research Committee on Sociology of Law (RCSL), Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 26 July 2007.
- Invited lecture for the First Biennial Willard H. Pedrick Speaker Series, Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law, Arizona State University, 5 March 2007.

Distinguishing spurious and real norm effects: Evidence from artificial societies, lab experiments, and real schoolyards. Conference on Behavioral Approaches to Legal Compliance: Social Norms, Self-Interest, and Cooperation. Hebrew University, Jerusalem, 14 May 2007.

Economics in the 21st century: Tom Schelling and the multiple selves hypothesis. Invited presentation at the Schelling Symposium honoring Nobel Laureate Thomas Schelling. University of Maryland, 29 September 2006.

Citizens' inferences about ideological bias in policy research (w/S. Paletz).

- Seminar, Public Policy Institute of California, San Francisco, 13 June 2006.
- Seminar, RAND, Santa Monica, CA, 17 August 2006.

Empirics for non-(quantitative) empiricists: A consumer's guide to quantitative law and social science. Seminar, Boalt Hall School of Law, UC Berkeley, 25 May 2006.

Testing drugs vs. testing users: Tensions between the expressive, deterrent, and harm reduction functions of criminal law. Clifford Symposium on the Rule of Law, DePaul University College of Law, Chicago, 21 April 2006.

Why do people use drugs, and how should it matter for drug policy? Invited presentation, The Beckley/Foresight Seminar on Future Policy Challenges, London, 22 November 2005.

Psychological constraints on transparency in judicial decision making. International Workshop on Publicity and Transparency in Democratic Decision Making, Chaire Hoover d'éthique économique et sociale, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, 23 May 2005.

A psychological analysis of possible public and official responses to a nuclear detonation in Moscow. Invited paper for the International Ramifications of Nuclear Terrorism Conference, Marconi Center, 2-4 May 2005.

Managing actual and perceived bias in policy-relevant research. Invited Organizational Behavior Seminar, Graduate School of Business, Stanford University, 27 October 2004.

Media reporting of jury verdicts: The tail (of the distribution) wagging the dog? Annual Meeting of the Society of Experimental Social Psychology, Ft. Worth, TX, 16 October 2004.

An assessment of "Punitive damages: How juries decide" (by Cass Sunstein, Reid Hastie, John Payne, David Schkade, and Kip Viscusi). Symposium on Punitive Damages: The Law, the Jury, and the Judge, AEI-Brookings Joint Center for Regulatory Studies, Washington DC, 24 September 2004.

The potential for unintended behavioral responses to emerging vaccines against addiction. Arne Ryde Symposium on The Economics of Substance Use, Lund, Sweden, 14 August 2004.

Marijuana decriminalization: What matters and why (with Rosalie Liccardo Pacula, Peter Reuter, Jamie Chriqui, Beau Kilmer, Katherine Harris, Letizia Paoli, and Carsten Schaefer). 24th Arne Ryde Symposium on The Economics of Substance Use, Lund, Sweden, 13 August 2004.

Media reporting of jury verdicts: The tail (of the distribution) wagging the dog? Conference on Selection in the Marketplace of Ideas, Fuqua Business School, Duke University, Durham, NC, 14 May 2004.

Assessing the effects of alternative marijuana laws. Invited colloquium, Empirical Research Colloquium series, Northwestern University Law School, 16 October 2003.

What is the medical marijuana debate really about? Invited speech at The Truth about Medical Marijuana, a Policy Forum sponsored by the Independent Institute, Harpers Magazine, the Drug Policy Alliance, and The Goldman School of Public Policy. San Francisco, 2 October 2003.

Anticipating potential behavioral responses to immunotherapies for addiction protection and relapse prevention. Invited presentation, Workshop on Immunotherapies and Depot Medications to Treat Drug Addiction, The National Academies, 22 April 2003.

On psychological naivete: Psychology's limited impact on policy analysis. Invited address, Presidential Symposium (w/ J. Darley, D. Kahneman, & M. Rabin), American Psychological Society, New Orleans, 7 June 2002.

- also presented at Seminar in Psychology and Economics, UC Berkeley, 14 May 2002; and at Institute for Personality and Social Research, UC Berkeley, 10 April 2002.

Drug war heresies: Learning from other vices, times, and places. Invited address, Conference on *An American Tragedy: The War on Drugs*, Schneiderman Memorial Bioethics Lecture Series, School of Biological Sciences, University of California at Irvine, 19 November 2001.

Research on drug-crime linkages: The next generation (with B. Kilmer, P. Reuter). Commissioned paper, Drugs and Crime Research Forum, National Institute of Justice, Washington, DC, 19 April 2001.

Does the gay ban preserve unit cohesion? Invited address at a symposium on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell: Is the Gay Ban Based on Prejudice or Military Necessity?" The Commonwealth Club, San Francisco, December 9, 2000.

Europe and North America: Between "harm reduction" and "zero tolerance". Opening keynote address, Conference on "Illegal Drug Use in North America and Europe: Comparative Issues, Problems, and Policies," University of British Columbia, Green College, Vancouver, Canada, September 22, 2000.

Comparing individual and collective rationality. Invited colloquium, Woodrow Wilson School of Government, Princeton University, March 30, 2000.

Invited testimony, Hearing on "Drug Decriminalization," House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight, Subcommittee on Criminal Justice, Drug Policy, and Human Resources, Washington D.C., July 13, 1999. Testimony at <http://socrates.berkeley.edu/~maccoun/0799testimony.html>

Reprinted in C. F. Levinthal (ed.) (2003), *Point/counterpoint: Opposing perspectives on issues of drug policy* (pp. 77-81). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Is collective decision making more or less biased than individual decision making? The 1998-99 Jacob Marschak Interdisciplinary Colloquium on Mathematics in the Behavioral Sciences, UCLA, June 4, 1999.

Sexual orientation and US military personnel policy: The RAND study in its political context. Invited address, Princeton Society of Fellows of the Woodrow Wilson Foundation, Princeton University, April 19, 1999.

Psychology and public policy: Tales from the trenches. Invited departmental colloquium, Department of Psychology, Princeton University, February 19, 1999.

Exploring the link between addiction theory and drug laws. Invited seminar, Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, Palo Alto, California, December 10, 1997.

The legalization debate: Learning from other places, times, and vices (with Peter Reuter). Briefing for RAND Board of Trustees, RAND, Santa Monica, California, November 17, 1997.

Co-spokesperson (with Charles Schuster) at press conference for release of Federation of American Scientists' "Principles for Practical Drug Policies," National Press Club, Washington, D.C., 2 September 1997.

Integrating harm reduction and use reduction. Briefing for California state legislators and staff members, Sacramento, California, April 23, 1997.

The psychology of harm reduction: Alternative strategies for modifying high-risk behavior. 1996 Distinguished Wellness Lecture, The California Wellness Foundation/University of California Wellness Lectures, Berkeley, California, October 2, 1996.

The verdict on the verdict: Interpreting the public's reaction to the Simpson trial. Invited presentation at the Presidential Showcase Symposium, "Simpson Aftershock: Seismic Changes for Justice?," Annual Meeting of the American Bar Association, Orlando, Florida, August 4, 1996.

Improving jury comprehension in criminal and civil trials. Invited testimony before the California State Senate Judiciary Committee, Los Angeles, July 27, 1995. (Reprinted as RAND Testimony CT-136, Santa Monica, California, July 1995.)

How should we deal with dealers? "Day at RAND" briefing for blue ribbon group of policy makers and business leaders, including Surgeon General Joycelyn Elders, DHHS Special Counsel Peter Edelman, New Orleans Mayor Marc Morial, and former Attorney General Ed Meese. Washington, DC: October 29, 1994.

Invited Symposium, *Sexual orientation and U.S. military policy: The RAND report.* Annual meeting of the American Psychological Association; Los Angeles; August 15, 1994.

Drug policies in North America and Western Europe: Comparing "barks and bites." Paper commissioned by the Commission of the European Community for the Scientific Seminar on Strategies and Policies to Combat Drugs, Florence, Italy, December 9, 1993.

American drug policies: Toward a third debate. Invited address at "The War at Home: Drugs and the Inner Cities," All Day Town Meeting convened by The Nation Institute and the ACLU of Southern California, Los Angeles, October 23, 1993.

Collective vs. individual rationality: Bias in decisions by individuals and groups (with Norb Kerr and Geoff Kramer). Presented at the annual meeting of the Society for the Advancement of Socio-Economics, New York, March 1993.

Bias in decision making: Comparing individuals and groups. Invited seminar, Center for Decision Research, Graduate School of Business, University of Chicago, March 1993.

What works and what doesn't in our criminal justice system (conversation with Peter Greenwood and Joan Petersilia). 30-minute videotaped segment for *The Idea Channel* (syndicated cable TV program), Santa Monica, March 1993.

What does empirical research tell us about civil jury behavior? Invited address, Brookings/ABA Litigation Section Symposium on the Future of the Civil Jury System in the United States, Charlottesville, Virginia, June 19, 1992.

What harms do harm-reduction policies reduce? A cross-national study of heroin addiction. Invited keynote address at the Third International Conference on the Reduction of Drug-Related Harm, Melbourne, Australia, March 23, 1992.

Participant, Conference on U.S./European Drug Policies, Rockefeller Conference Center, Bellagio, Italy, December 2-4, 1991.

European drug policy experiences. Briefing for the staff of the Office of National Drug Control Policy, RAND, November 18th, 1991.

Testimony on drug policy and problems before the California Legislature's Joint Legislative Budget Committee, San Francisco, October 23, 1990.

Panelist, The Annenberg Washington Program Forum: *Selecting impartial juries: Must ignorance be a virtue in our search for justice?* Washington, D.C., May 11, 1990. (Transcript published in *The American University Law Review*, Winter 1991, 40(2), 541-629.)

Getting inside the black box: Toward a better understanding of the American jury. The Forum Lecture, Kalamazoo College, Kalamazoo, Michigan, March 29, 1990.

GRADUATE THESES

Modeling the impact of extralegal bias and defined standards of proof on the decisions of mock jurors and juries. Ph.D. dissertation, Michigan State University, 1984. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 46, 700B. Committee: Norbert Kerr (chair), Lawrence Messé, Gerald Miller, John Hunter.

In search of introspection: Attentional set and verbal reports on evaluative judgments. M.A. thesis, Michigan State University, 1983. *Masters Abstracts*, 21, 374. Committee: William Crano (chair), Norbert Kerr, Lawrence Messé, Thomas Carr.

Last updated: 20 March 2025