


# STANFORD LAWYER

*Spring 1969*





## LAW SCHOOL BUILDING



## CONTENTS

A Message From the Dean . . . . .	1
The Legal Aid Society . . . . .	2
Board of Visitors Meets . . . . .	4
Alumni Reunion . . . . .	9
The Placement Office . . . . .	18
Carl Spaeth: A Man and His Portrait . . . . .	21
Alumni Activities . . . . .	22
Law School News . . . . .	26
Class Notes . . . . .	33

### STANFORD LAWYER Spring 1969

Published by Stanford Law School, Stanford University

Editor: Kathleen McInerney

Cover Picture: Proposed Law School Building

Stanford Law School has never had a law school building. At various times in its seventy-five years of operation, the School has occupied and outgrown quarters on the Inner Quad, in the engineering building, in Encina Hall, and even in the Chapel. Since 1949 the School has occupied its current quarters.

In recent years, it has become increasingly clear that there is no feasible alternative to constructing a new Law School building. The present law library has already run out of shelf and stack space for its growing collection of law books. There is not enough chair space in the present library facility to seat the law students who need to work there. Law faculty office space is exhausted. The work of the student-run educational programs is hampered by lack of operating space. The School's clerical and administrative services are doubled up in basement space. The School's presently acute space problems will soon become unmanageable.

In 1964, the University's Board of Trustees called for an overall Law School educational and physical plan. A year of work by the law faculty produced a detailed Law School program projecting a law student body of 500 and a law faculty of 35. Over the next two years, the faculty's program was translated into a building design through the joint work of an experienced law faculty committee, a cooperating law student committee, the University's Planning Office, an alumni committee drawn from the Law School's Board of Visitors, a committee of alumni members of the federal and state judiciary, a consultative group of law deans, the Building and Grounds Committee of the Board of Trustees, and the San Francisco office of Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, architects. The state and schematic drawings were approved by the University Trustees in 1967. If the necessary financing can be found, construction will begin in late 1969, with completion planned for 1971.

The present Law School facility will be turned over to the School of Humanities and Sciences to meet a portion of its severe needs for space.

The new Law School building is not a single structure, but four separate buildings linked together into a working whole. Each of the four parts—library/office building, classroom building, connecting gallery and auditorium—is designed to perform a distinct educational function. The four architectural elements are then tied together to provide a smooth and natural traffic flow and a physical environment conducive to the variety of work that characterizes a modern law school. Though architecturally innovative, the building's design fits harmoniously into the architectural environment of the Stanford campus.

The building project will cost approximately \$9,000,000, of which the University presently has about \$2,000,000 from the PACE effort. An additional, as yet uncertain, amount may come from the federal government under the Higher Education Facilities Act.



## A MESSAGE FROM THE DEAN



In the past few years I have frequently been asked by alumni whether Stanford Law School plans to change the name of its basic professional law degree from Bachelor of Laws (LL.B.) to Doctor of Jurisprudence (J.D.). The question has arisen out of a growing awareness that an increasing number of law schools have in recent years adopted the J.D. nomenclature.

This spring the Law faculty voted to recommend that Stanford join the change-over of the name of the degree, and the change has now been made by action of the Board of Trustees.

The change from LL.B. to J.D. does not import a change in the School's educational program; it is merely one of denomination. The major reasons for the change are two. First is the argument for clarity. The professional degree in law is a graduate degree, a fact not accurately reflected by the term "Bachelor of Laws." Second is the argument for uniformity. Throughout this century the nation's law schools have been inconsistent and vacillating in their nomenclature for the basic professional law degree. Some four or five years ago a movement began among America's law schools to settle upon the term J.D. This development has been endorsed by both the American Bar Association and the Association of American Law Schools. More and more schools have changed to the J.D., and at this date, over 80% of American law schools refer to their basic professional law degree as the J.D. It is now clear that "J.D." will become uniform usage and Stanford, in making the change-over, is contributing toward that uniformity.

The history of degree nomenclature at Stanford Law School is unique and reflective of the uncertainty that has surrounded that topic. From 1906 until 1930 the Law School granted the J.D. During most years since 1901 we have offered the LL.B.\* Thus, in changing over to the J.D., Stanford returns to its own earlier usage.

\* In the earliest days after the School's founding in 1893, the basic law degree was known as the "Bachelor of Arts in Law."

For many years the Law School has offered two advanced degrees in law beyond the basic professional degree. One is the Master of Laws, LL.M., which has customarily required a fourth year of law study; the other is the Doctor of the Science of Law, the J.S.D., the School's most advanced scholarly degree, calling for a research dissertation of publishable quality. Concomitant with the change of nomenclature from LL.B. to J.D., the School this spring made a conforming change in the LL.M., altering its designation to Master of the Science of Law, J.S.M. The name of the most advanced degree will remain J.S.D. as in the past.

Although Stanford Law School offers these advanced law degrees, and annually has many inquiries about the J.S.M. and the J.S.D. there are virtually no students at the School today studying for them. Because of the School's shortage of scholarship funds we deliberately concentrate our entire resources on help to J.D. students, and allocate no funds to fellowships for post-J.D. study. Very few students interested in advanced legal study are in a position to pay their own way entirely. The availability of generous fellowships for advanced legal study at other major law schools means, as a practical matter, that such students cannot and do not come to Stanford for their advanced work. As a first-ranking center of legal education and scholarship, the Stanford Law School must find the resources to enable it to offer a limited number of fellowships for lawyers interested in working toward the J.S.M. and J.S.D.

In the course of the revamping of the School's degree structure this spring a new two-year degree in law was established for special students who wish to terminate their law study after two years. Graduates of this two-year degree program will obtain a solid and useful grounding in the fundamentals of the law, but will not be able to enter upon the legal profession, since almost all bar examination rules set a precondition of three years of law study. Called the Master of Jurisprudence, J.M., this new two-year degree in law is not, so far as is known, awarded by any other law school.

The School is offering alumni the opportunity to have their law degrees, bearing the new nomenclature, reconferred if they wish. Letters are being sent to all alumni, explaining the reconferment procedure. As reconferred, the diplomas will duplicate the design and format of the originals, will bear the same date as the originals, and will bear reproductions of the signatures appearing on the originals.

*Raylin Mauney*

## THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY

A central theme of legal education continues to be the teaching of analytical skills through the study of appellate opinions. But the role of the lawyer extends beyond the legal principles and enacted law learned in the classroom. Much of the lawyer's effort is spent in anticipating and preventing problems before they occur or in settling differences before they reach the courts. This phase of the lawyer's education is difficult if not impossible to teach in a classroom. The Legal Aid Society provides the law student with an opportunity to assume a quasi-professional role, working with the same people and institutions with which he will work when he becomes a full-fledged lawyer.

Over 100 law students, about one-fourth of the student body, are actively involved in one or more of the Society's programs. The Society is under the direction of a president, currently Bob Etienne '70. Faculty adviser is Professor Jack Friedenthal; Assistant Dean Thelton Henderson is the Society's administrative adviser.

Legal Aid offers a number of programs covering a broad spectrum of legal and law-oriented activities, including civil law, criminal law, administrative law, juvenile law, business, real estate and legislation. The programs are designed so that a student may participate in one or more activities, according to the dictates of his time and inclination. He may engage in general case work or detailed research on a test case or special project, or he may specialize in a particular field. Specific programs and their operation vary from time to time according to the success or failure of experiments as well as the interest of the students involved and the needs of the community.

The basic structure consists of a Civil Program and a Criminal Program, with some modifications based on the different administrations that are found in San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties. Most civil programs are under the direction of Office of Economic Opportunity attorneys in six civil offices in Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties. Most students deal with "intake" or "street" cases: bankruptcy, debtor-creditor relations, landlord-tenant relations, domestic relations and wage attachment procedures. Others work on theoretical legal research, community development, test cases and class actions in the areas of municipal services, employ-

ment practices, juvenile law and welfare law. For instance, in East Palo Alto, the East Bayshore Neighborhood Legal Center handles about 140 cases a month.

The student generally stays with a case from start to finish, taking part in the initial and subsequent interviews, doing research, drafting pleadings, negotiating settlements and following the case to court whenever possible. While most work in San Mateo County is done in Redwood City, students in East Palo Alto have drafted appellate briefs, mandamus petitions and class action complaints. A lawyer-teaching fellow, in addition to maintaining his regular caseload, supervises the students who work in East Palo Alto and assists in a Law School seminar on legal problems of the poor. A three-year grant from the Association of American Law Schools supports the lawyer-teaching fellow.

In Santa Clara County, students work under the direction of OEO attorneys at four neighborhood legal aid offices, three in San Jose and one in Sunnyvale. About 20 students work in Santa Clara County, some dealing with domestic relations, bankruptcy and wage-attachments, others with test cases, community development and specialized legal research.

In Redwood City, half of the eight Stanford Legal Aid Society students work on intake cases. The other four work on community development and research to assist the law reform attorneys.

There are several criminal law programs in the Santa Clara County District Attorney-Public Defender project, which operates under a grant from the National Legal Aid Defenders Association. The NLADA made a grant to Santa Clara of \$20,000 in 1968-69 and will give

Bob Etienne, 1969-70 Legal Aid Society President



Jan Pauw '69, Legal Aid Society President for 1968-69



\$10,000 in 1969-70, the county to make up the \$10,000 difference. A senior staff attorney in each office supervises law students as they interview defendants and witnesses, draft pleadings and motions and do research. Students participate in courtroom procedures to the full extent allowed by law. The project lets students transfer from one office to another to gain a comprehensive view of the criminal process.

In the San Mateo County Assistant Defender Program, Society members work with court-appointed attorneys, doing investigation, interviews, research and drafting to aid in the defense of indigent citizens.

Also in San Mateo County is a Juvenile Defenders Program. Students interview juvenile defendants and prepare memoranda for their court-appointed attorneys; they also procure witnesses and appear in court as advisers to attorneys.

In Redwood City, under the auspices of VISTA, students interview indigents accused of criminal offenses, prepare data sheets and submit reports, which the judges use to determine whether or not suspects should be released on their own recognizance pending trial.

Members of Stanford Legal Aid Society have been active through the Association of California Law Students in drafting and presenting a proposed Student-Practice statute to the State bar, judiciary and legislature. The statute would allow student volunteers to participate more fully in legal aid programs by permitting them to appear in court for motions, summary arguments and some trials. Such statutes are in effect today in 19 states. The proposal provides for certification of students on the basis of moral character and amount of experience in legal aid work. To be certified, a student would have to have spent at least one semester in active participation in the Legal Aid Society.

In community education and community relations, the Legal Aid Society is interested in minority hiring and high school education about the law. Members of the Society tutor disadvantaged students who are attending Stanford under special programs. There is also a work-study program for minority youth during the summer. And students from the Society provide lectures to high school, union and church groups on consumer education and criminal law. A new program is designed to provide analysis of and briefs on selected bills before the California legislature.

Small businessmen and homeowners from minority groups obtain assistance from a financial advisory group formed by the Legal Aid Society in cooperation with Stanford's Graduate School of Business, the Small Business Administration and local service clubs. Students assist small businessmen in establishing, expanding and improving local business. Other students are trying to design administrative and legal mechanisms to bring capital for both businesses and homes into poorer areas.

The Legal Aid Society has set up a Legal Aid memo file for the use of students, faculty and attorneys. The file contains material in poverty law, criminal law and civil and criminal procedure, including material originally published in the Continuing Education of the Bar's "Legal Service Gazette," the "Legal Aid Digest," the National Legal Aid Defenders Association's "Law in Action," the old NLADA "Newsletter," the CCH "Poverty Law Reporter" and the "Welfare Law Bulletin." Also included are research memoranda by students, pleadings and briefs from poverty law test cases, court forms and sample pleadings.

Legal Aid Society members find that clinical experience puts casebooks in perspective. They begin their apprenticeship as early as their first semester in Law School. Although Legal Aid work may consume time which might otherwise be spent studying, students are expected to perform to their full capacity in classwork.

The public service orientation of the Society sometimes carries over into the students' careers after law school. Some former members organized a group of volunteer lawyers in New York City; others work full time with the Office of Economic Opportunity. The large number of students graduating with legal aid experience provides the bar with new and transferable expertise.

The student volunteers, Stanford Law School and other law schools, the legal profession, and ultimately society at large should benefit from the educational innovation of the diverse group of students which calls itself the Stanford Legal Aid Society. In the sense of being appropriate to the matter at hand, the work of the Legal Aid Society is relevant to legal education, to the interests of many students and to some of the needs of society.

## BOARD OF VISITORS MEETS

The annual meeting of the Law School's Board of Visitors was held at the School April 10 and 11. Members registered on Thursday, April 10 and went to their various committee meetings. Those members of the Board not assigned to attend a committee attended classes. A luncheon held at the home of Dean and Mrs. Bayless Manning preceded the afternoon session, which featured a report on the state of the Law School and forward planning by Dean Manning and others.

Thursday evening the Board of Visitors Banquet was held for faculty and Board members at Rickey's Hyatt House. Members heard guest speaker Warren Christopher '49 speak on the "Use of Federal Troops in Situations of Domestic Violence." Mr. Christopher, who was Deputy Attorney General during the administration

*Board of Visitors dinner: Keynote Speaker Warren Christopher '49*



*Luncheon at the Mannings': Morris M. Doyle, William Hillhouse '64, John Finger, Mrs. John Finger, Stuart Kadison '48, James Kindell, David Heyler*



*At the Mannings': Hon. Thurmond Clarke '22 and Mrs. Clarke*





John Fenner '51, Walter Pendergrass '50, James K. Barnum '42



Charles Page '58, Jerome I. Braun '53, Theodore Weisman '31



Luncheon at the Mannings'



At the Mannings': Mrs. George Bodle, George Bodle '33, Professor Wayne Barnett, Associate Dean J. Keith Mann



Luncheon at Barristers Pub: Professor Howard Williams, Chuck Mansfield '68, Charles Fox '33



At the Mannings': James K. Barnum '42, Procter Hug '58, Hon. Richard Chambers '32



Board of Visitors dinner: Calvin T. Goforth '56 (back to camera), John Finger, Morris Doyle, Richard Guggenheimer





of President Lyndon Johnson, recalled how he was brought into riot situations in Detroit, Newark and Washington, D.C. to determine whether the constitutional conditions for calling in federal troops prevailed. Mr. Christopher's remarks were added to by Professor John McDonough, who has returned to the School after serving two years as Associate Deputy Attorney General.

On Friday morning, Board members heard student and faculty reports. Box lunches were served in the Barristers Pub to faculty, invited students and Board members. Members then adjourned to the Executive Session of the entire Board.

Late in the afternoon, after the meeting had formally adjourned, the men of Crothers Law Residence held a

*Mr. ('27) and Mrs. Allan Charles*



*At the Mannings': Walter Pendergrass '50, Mrs. John Fenner, Hon. William Biddick '47, Mrs. Biddick, John Fenner '51*



*Board of Visitors dinner*



reception in Barristers Pub for Board members and their wives and for early arrivals for the alumni weekend.

Members of the Board and their wives were special guests on Friday evening at the annual Alumni Reunion Banquet, which was held at the Palo Alto Hills Golf and Country Club. Stanford's new president, Kenneth Pitzer, was introduced to law alumni and addressed them after the dinner.

The outgoing chairman of the Board of Visitors is Allan Charles '27; incoming chairman is Stuart L. Kadison '48. The Executive Committee for 1969-70 will be: Stuart L. Kadison, Chairman; Martin Anderson '49; Allan Charles '27; David B. Heyler, Jr. '51; John F. Hopkins '57; Deane F. Johnson '42; John F. Wells '52; Robert A. Keller '58, Secretary.

*Board of Visitors dinner: Professor John B. Hurlbut, Professor Carl Spaeth, Edmund T. (Tom) King '59, Martin Anderson '49 (Background: Calvin Goforth '56)*



*Barristers Pub: Read Ambler '68, John Lauritzen '32, Turner McBaine*



*Board luncheon at Barristers Pub: James K. Barnum '42, Jack Charney '71, John Fenner '51, Hon. William Biddick '47*



## ALUMNI REUNION AT THE LAW SCHOOL

Again they came, literally from all over, to attend the annual alumni reunion at the School, held this year April 11 and 12. On Friday afternoon, the first arrivals registered, sat in on classes and met students. In the late afternoon, a reception was given by the gentlemen of Crothers Law Residence in the Barristers Pub.

On Friday evening, the Alumni Banquet was held at the Palo Alto Hills Golf and Country Club. Main speaker at the banquet was Stanford University's new President, Kenneth S. Pitzer.

On Saturday morning, after coffee and refreshments in the Lang Room, the alumni gathered to hear a report on the Law School by Dean Manning, faculty members, students and members of the administrative staff. At the same time, alumni wives were attending a symposium sponsored by the Law Students Wives Association. Guest speaker was Stanford University Assistant Dean of Students Robert Rosch, LL.B. '68, who talked on "The Student at Stanford Today."

One of the high points of the weekend were the two

*Speaking before the alumni at the Alumni Banquet: Stanford University President Kenneth Pitzer*



*At the Alumni Banquet*



*Dean Manning addresses alumni on Saturday morning*





*The surrey arrives at Bowman Alumni House*



*University Assistant Dean of Students Robert Rosch, LL.B. '68 addresses alumni ladies on Saturday morning*



*Virginia Cary '42, Junius Cary, Bernard Musser '39, William Bacon '15*



*Judge Elmer Heald '16 and Mrs. Heald at the Mannings' reception*



luncheons on Saturday. At the Faculty Club were the Honored Alumni, those who have been away from the School for fifty years or more. They were joined by Associate Dean and Mrs. J. Keith Mann. Across the courtyard, at Bowman Alumni House, the alumni and honored guests, the School's emeritus professors, lunched. After luncheon, Dean Bayless Manning introduced Perry Moerdyke '39, chairman of the School's Historical Committee. Mr. Moerdyke commented on the portrait paintings about to be presented. Then the Dean unveiled the handsome pictures of emeritus professors and Stanford neighbors, Joseph W. Bingham, William B. Owens, Harry Rathbun, Harold Shepherd and Lowell Turrentine. Professor George Osborne, whose portrait was presented last year, was present. Of the School's emeriti, only Marion Rice Kirkwood was not present. Dean Kirkwood's portrait was presented to the School in 1966.

In the afternoon, Professor John Kaplan spoke in the Lang Room on "The Law and Drug Abuse." Later, a

*Alumni hear the Mendicants at the Alumni Luncheon—Bowman Alumni House court*

*At the Honored Alumni Luncheon. Seated: Lucinda Lee '71, Mrs. Homer Spence, Mrs. Chester Vernier, Mrs. Elmer Heald, Mrs. Patty Brenner, Mrs. Rufus Kimball, Mrs. J. Keith Mann. Standing: Hon. Elmer Heald '16, Associate Dean J. Keith Mann, Hon. Homer Spence '15, William Bacon '15, (luncheon chairman), Timothy Jacobs '71, J. B. Ames*







reception for all returning alumni was held at the Manning home. As with other reunion events, a large number of students was invited to attend and alumni particularly enjoyed meeting them.

After the Mannings' reception, alumni who graduated in the classes of 1924, 1929, 1934, 1939, 1949 and 1954 departed for their class dinners, which were held at various Peninsula restaurants. If the accompanying pictures are any indication, everyone had a fine time.

Remaining alumni and members of the Moot Court Board adjourned to Rickey's Hyatt House for the Moot Court Banquet. At the head table with the Mannings were the Competition's judges: Justice Tom Clark, United States Supreme Court (Ret.); Dr. Max Rafferty, Superintendent of Public Instruction and Director of Education, State of California; Judge J. Skelly Wright, Circuit Court of Appeals, Washington, D.C.

The case before the court was *Van Comer v. Williams*, considering whether a school board can replace white probationary teachers with equally qualified black

*Justice Tom C. Clark, Judge Gus Solomon '29, Judge J. Skelly Wright*



*Dean Manning presenting the picture-portraits of the emeriti*



*At Bowman: Emeritus Professor Walter J. Bingham, Mrs. Harry Rathbun. Second row, Rodman Bingham*



*Emeritus Professor Harry J. Rathbun is introduced at the presentation of the picture-portraits. Looking on: Mrs. Rathbun and Emeritus Professor Harold Shepherd '22*



*At Bowman: Emeritus Professor William Owens and Mrs. Owens. Second row, Mrs. Lowell Turrentine and Emeritus Professor Lowell Turrentine*



*Emeritus Professor Lowell Turrentine is introduced. Seated next to him, Mrs. Turrentine. Front row, Mrs. William B. Owens*



*At Bowman: Emeritus Professor Harold Shepherd '22. At left, William Boekel '21. Second row: Mrs. Harry J. Rathbun, Emeritus Professor Harry J. Rathbun*



teachers solely on the basis of an imbalance in enrollment, with black students making up a heavy majority of the student body and black teachers a marked minority.

Contestants, all from the Class of 1970, were: Roger Kirst, Edward Keech, Richard Morningstar and Lawrence Title. All four contestants were awarded cash prizes. In a unanimous opinion, the judges awarded first and second place honors to Roger Kirst and Edward Keech.

The Moot Court Board sponsored an informal reception after the Competition for judges, contestants and members of the audience.

The Competition was the last of the organized reunion events, though some alumni indicated that they would stay for another day to see the campus and, wherever possible, talk with law students. As one alumnus expressed it: "this weekend has been as informative as it has been fun."

*Moot Court Competition first prize winner Roger Kirst '70 with Moot Court Board cochairmen Larry Aufmuth '69 and Ed Koplowitz '69*



*After the Moot Court finals. Larry Aufmuth '69, Board cochairman, Judge J. Skelly Wright, Dr. Max Rafferty, Justice Tom. C. Clark, finalists Lawrence Title '70, Edward T. Keech '70, Roger Kirst '70 and Board cochairman Ed Koplowitz '69*



*Moot Court Banquet. Head table: Board cochairman Larry Aufmuth '69, Justice Tom C. Clark, Dr. Max Rafferty, Mrs. Aufmuth*







Moot Court Banquet. Head table: Judge J. Skelly Wright, Robin Shafer, Board cochairman Ed Koplowitz '69



Members of the Class of '62 golf during a break in reunion activities



Judge Walter E. Craig (Class of '34 Reunion Chairman) and Mrs. Craig with Justice Tom C. Clark at the Mannings'



At the Alumni Luncheon: Arthur Toupin '49 and Perry Moerdyke '39, chairman of the School's Historical Committee



## THE PLACEMENT OFFICE

"Primarily, we're a service facility for students seeking jobs," Miss Suzanne Close, Law School Director of Placement, explains. "We act as a clearing house and coordinator. But the primary responsibility for getting jobs rests with the students."

The Law School has had a placement facility for many years, but the Office has expanded its interviewing services and taken on a number of new projects only in the last few years. In addition to providing facilities and making arrangements for interviews, the Office maintains bulletin boards and information files for students, counsels students about job opportunities and follows up graduates after they leave the School.

One of the major activities of the Placement Office consists of law students interviewing with potential employers, chiefly law firms, for jobs. But interviewers also include government agencies, business firms and Office of Economic Opportunity people, among others. The Office also works with the clerkship committee, which is under the direction of Professor Dale Collinson, and posts information regarding numerous and diverse job and educational opportunities.

Most interviewing begins on October 1 and continues until the Christmas recess. Some interviewing does take place in the spring, but most employers choose to visit the School in the fall. Three weeks before the interviewer comes to the campus, descriptive material which he has furnished is posted on bulletin boards. Signup sheets for students are posted two weeks before the employer's visit.

It is the policy of the Office that employers should see any student. One reason for this is that many employers, especially in the past, tended to restrict their interviewing to students in the highest grade brackets or to *Law Review* editors. This is less and less the case as potential employers become aware of the unusually high academic qualifications of all the School's students these days, as well as the valuable experience they gain through participation in many of the School's extracurricular academic activities.

Miss Close estimates that about 90% of the School's students have occasion to use the services of the Placement Office during their three years at the School. It is impossible to be quite precise, because there is no way of knowing how many students get jobs as a result of material posted on the bulletin boards. These listings include information on teaching fellowships, clerkships, government jobs and legal aid jobs, as well as jobs with firms.

*Director of Placement, Suzanne Close*





The number of students interviewing with employers on the campus, as well as the number of firms interviewing, grows consistently. In 1968-69, 192 firms, corporations, government agencies and other potential employers interviewed at the Law School, a 50% increase since 1965. About three-fourths of the interviewers are law firms. Of these, slightly over half are California firms.

Consider the work and study options, other than practice with a law firm, that are open today to the well-trained young law graduate. There are clerkships, jobs with federal, state and local governments, teaching positions, business positions, work with VISTA legal services and graduate work. These, along with military service, draw substantial numbers of young graduates of the Law School. Other pursuits have accounted for the activities of a small number of recent graduates. They include sheep ranching, Peace Corps work, administrative work and foreign service. The information available to the Law School indicates that the plurality of the School's graduates go directly into private practice and a majority of graduates are in practice with law firms after they have been out of law school for a few years. Of the 147 members of the Class of 1968, 50 are known to be in private practice. Of the Class of 1967, 53 of 140 members are with law firms. Two classes who have been out for three years or more indicate markedly higher percentages of graduates in private practice. Of 138 members of the Class of 1966, at least 68 are known to be in private practice; of 113 members of the Class of 1965, the number is 78.

A number of students are able to get summer and part-time jobs through the Placement Office. Firms interviewing for summer positions ordinarily prefer to see second-year students, though Miss Close estimates that about 40 members of the current first-year class have either interviewed for summer jobs or consulted her office about available opportunities.

The services of the Placement Office are available to graduates of the School as well as to students. Ordinarily, a graduate will contact the School and indicate the kind of job he is interested in and the location

where he wants to work. Of the approximately 70 graduates who contact the School each year, most are interested in placement in the Bay Area and most are from classes graduated in the last four or five years. Candidates explain what they are looking for and send the Office resumes. They are sent listings which the Office has on file and copies of letters from employers who would seem to have openings of interest.

Other materials available through the Placement Office include a directory of legal service programs, Peace Corps and VISTA brochures, brochures on government agencies and the "Government Organizations Manual," lists of employers who have contacted the School in the past, rules for admission to the bar in every state, bar review course information, brochures on military programs, daily notices of interviewers at the Graduate School of Business and the University Placement Office and reports and information on cities and firms, which have been collected by the Student Placement Committee. Students interested in jobs with business firms are invited to interview with some employers through the Graduate School of Business Placement Office.

In 1967, the Office assisted in the preparation of a reference volume titled "Training, Work and Study Opportunities at Home and Abroad: A Guide for the Stanford Lawyer." The many programs cited by the work included criminal law, graduate study, work in underdeveloped countries and in underdeveloped areas of the United States, and short-term law teaching assignments. The main purpose of the study was to supplement the facilities of the Placement Office and alert students to the significant opportunities for professional development which exist apart from traditional patterns of job placement. During 1968-69, additional projects were undertaken in an effort to enlarge upon this source book. Under the auspices of the International Society, letters were written to government agencies and law firms with international practice requesting information on jobs of interest to the law school graduate. Similar letters were written by the Placement Office to legal aid and other agencies funded

by the Office of Economic Opportunity. Replies were first posted, then filed for future student reference.

Under the sponsorship of the Office, sometimes in conjunction with the Law Forum, speakers have been brought to the School to discuss career choices. In 1967-68, Mr. Evelle Younger, Los Angeles District Attorney and Mr. Charles W. Bates, Special Agent in Charge, Federal Bureau of Investigation, visited the Law School. In 1968-69, representatives of the Army's Judge Advocate General's Office, the Los Angeles and San Diego District Attorneys, members of VISTA and spokesmen for the Reginald Heber Smith Fellowship\* program were at the School.

In 1968 Miss Close visited with law firms and others in seven western states: Arizona, California, Colorado, Nevada, Oregon, Utah and Washington. The purpose of her trip was to learn about the communities and various law firms in them which had expressed interest in Stanford Law School graduates or in which students had expressed an interest. Though the majority of attorneys she saw were alumni, a substantial number were not.

Miss Close's itinerary in each city was arranged by the local Stanford Law Society president. She attended small luncheons and evening receptions and visited a number of firms. In all, she met representatives of 80 firms, with a total of 867 members. Interestingly, 50 of these firms had ten members or fewer, 18 had 11-20 members, nine had 21-30 members and three had 31-43 members. As a general rule, the firms Miss Close visited are not able to send representatives to the Law School during the interview season. Among the subjects Miss Close discussed with various attorneys were the advantages and disadvantages of living and working in a particular city, local politics, salaries, the bar, cost of living, schools, recreational facilities and the like.

\*Reginald Heber Smith was a pioneer in the field of legal aid in the United States. In 1920 he published a study entitled *Justice and the Poor*. The Reginald Heber Smith Community Lawyers Fellowships are awarded to young lawyers who will be given training in poverty law prior to doing a year of field work in the Legal Services Program of the Office of Economic Opportunity. The Program is administered by the University of Pennsylvania School of Law.

In an effort to get as comprehensive a picture as possible of the different communities, Miss Close also met with judges, placement deans, an assistant attorney general and department of public works officials.

In sum, the trip enabled Miss Close to broaden the scope of Placement Office services. The Office has been better able to counsel students about opportunities open to them in a number of communities, to the benefit of both students and law firms.

Two law societies, the Stanford Law Society of Northern California and Nevada and the Stanford Law Society of New York, have greatly assisted the School's students and graduates by establishing placement committees. A third group, the Stanford Law Society of the Peninsula, is in the process of setting up such a committee. The groups advise students about opportunities in their regions. They also provide valuable contact between students and alumni over and above those contacts made directly through the Placement Office.

Apart from Society-based placement committees, alumni generally have been more than willing to give their time to students seeking jobs. While no figures are available, it is reasonable to suppose that these alumni sources have been responsible for a number of contacts that resulted in jobs for both students and graduates.

It is hard to say whether the growth of Placement Office services in the last few years is responsible for the student and graduate contact with a larger and more diversified group of employers or whether the growing demand for well-trained lawyers made necessary the growth of the Placement Office. Certainly, as Stanford Law School becomes increasingly well known throughout the nation more interviewers seek to recruit Stanford lawyers. It is just this interest in Stanford Law School students by employers from many parts of the nation and a wide variety of fields that makes the Placement Office, in Miss Close's words, "quite an exciting operation to be a part of." The Office will doubtless continue to be one of the School's most important service facilities for Stanford Law School students and for members of the profession.

## CARL SPAETH: A Man and His Portrait

A handsome portrait of Professor and former Dean Carl B. Spaeth was unveiled November 8. The presentation came after a dinner of former Board of Visitors members, celebrating the Board's tenth year, which was held at the Menlo Club.

Professor Spaeth was dean of the Law School from 1946 until 1962. Now William Nelson Cromwell Professor of Law, Mr. Spaeth also serves as head of International Studies at the University.

During his acceptance speech after the unveiling, Mr. Spaeth presented the School with a portrait photograph of William Nelson Cromwell, a gift from him and his wife, Sheila.



## ALUMNI ACTIVITIES

### PRESIDENTS OF STANFORD LAW SOCIETIES

JEROME I. BRAUN '53

Stanford Law Society of Northern California and Nevada  
Elke, Farella & Braun, 333 Pine St., San Francisco, Calif. 94104

JOHN F. BRADLEY '57

Stanford Law Society of Southern California  
Burris & Lagerlof  
500 South Virgil Ave., Suite 200, Los Angeles, California 90005

JAMES K. BARNUM '42

Stanford Law Society of Central San Joaquin  
Stammer, McKnight, Barnum & Bailey  
700 Guarantee Building, 1171 Fulton St., Fresno, Calif. 93721

ALBERT J. HORN '51

Peninsula Law Society  
Carr, McClellan, Ingersoll, Thompson & Horn  
Burlingame, California 94010

CHARLES M. FOX, JR., '33

Stanford Law Society of San Diego-Imperial  
Fox & White, 1850 First National Bank Building  
1007 Fifth Ave., San Diego, California 92101

HON. HOMER B. THOMPSON '50

Stanford Law Society of Santa Clara County  
Judge Superior Court, State of California  
Courthouse, San Jose, California 95100

JOHN J. HANNEGAN '48

Stanford Law Society of Superior California  
Diepenbrock, Wulff & Plant  
455 Capitol Mall, Sacramento, California 95814

EDWARD F. LOWRY, JR., '54

Stanford Law Society of Arizona  
Carson, Messinger, Elliott, Laughlin & Ragan  
1400 Guaranty Building  
3550 North Central Ave., Phoenix, Arizona 85012

FREDERICK D. GREEN '63

Stanford Law Society of Colorado  
Lincoln Tower Building, 1860 Lincoln St., Denver, Colorado 80203

CALVIN T. GOFORTH '56

Stanford Law Society of the Greater East Bay  
Knox, Goforth & Ricksen  
Financial Center Building, 405 14th St., Oakland, California 94612

PROCTER R. HUG, JR., '58

Stanford Law Society of Nevada  
Woodburn, Forman, Wedge, Blakey, Folsom & Hug  
1 East First St., Reno, Nevada 89501

KIRT F. ZEIGLER '63

Stanford Law Society of New York  
Spridgen, Moskowitz, Barrett & Achor  
120 "D" St., Santa Rosa, California 95404

HON. WILLIAM L. DALE, JR., '48

Stanford Law Society of Oregon  
Circuit Court of Oregon, Fourth Judicial District  
Portland, Oregon 97204

REED A. WATKINS '56

Stanford Law Society of Utah  
720 Newhouse Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

ROBERT F. CARMODY, JR., '62

Stanford Law Society of Washington, D.C.  
Director, City University of New York  
Suite 300, 1200 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036

GEORGE V. WILLOUGHBY, JR., '58

Stanford Law Society of the State of Washington  
Riddell, Williams, Voorhees, Ivie & Bullitt  
Seattle, Washington 98101

### ARIZONA

The Stanford Law Society of Arizona held a luncheon meeting at the Arizona Title Building in Phoenix November 1. The speaker was Professor Gordon K. Scott.

At a breakfast meeting of the Society at Mountain Shadows Inn in Scottsdale May 2, Professor Gerald Gunther spoke on student activism at Stanford. The meeting was held in conjunction with the Arizona State Bar Convention.

### CALIFORNIA STATE BAR CONVENTION

A luncheon meeting sponsored by the Stanford Law Society of San Diego-Imperial was held on October 9 at the Hilton Inn in San Diego in connection with the annual meeting of the California State Bar. Keynote speaker was Professor Joseph Sneed, President of the American Association of Law Schools, whose topic was "Yesterday's Law Graduates + Today's Aspirations = Tomorrow's Law Schools."

### COUNCIL OF LAW SOCIETY PRESIDENTS

The Council of Law Society Presidents hosted a reception on August 7 for Law School alumni during the American Bar Association convention, which was held in Philadelphia August 2-8.

### GREATER EAST BAY

The Stanford Law Society of the Greater East Bay

*Dean Manning presents the charter of the Stanford Law Society of the Peninsula to President Albert J. Horn '51 March 18, 1969*





held a dinner meeting at Hecock's in Orinda October 17, at which Assistant Dean Robert Keller spoke on the subject of student activism at Stanford. Professor Moffatt Hancock spoke on an early English divorce, that of the Duke and Duchess of Norfolk, at a dinner-dance held by the Society at the Naval Air Station in Alameda March 6.

#### NEW YORK

Members of the Stanford Law Society of New York were invited to a reception October 16 hosted by Mr. and Mrs. J. Victor Herd and on December 4 Assistant Dean Bruce Hasenkamp '63 was the guest at a reception hosted by Mr. and Mrs. Laurence Levine '67. Dean Bayless Manning spoke at a luncheon meeting of the Society in New York City January 31, held in connection with the annual meeting of the New York State Bar.

Tom Bertelson '65 held a reception for members of the Society February 27. The speaker was Mr. Harold Rothwax, director of legal services, Mobilization for Youth.

#### NORTHERN CALIFORNIA AND NEVADA

The Stanford Law Society of Northern California and Nevada sponsored a seminar luncheon at Ruggero's in San Francisco December 5. At the luncheon, which was arranged by Jim Milne '58 and Dick Wydick '62,

Mr. and Mrs. Frederick I. Richman '28 with Marjorie Manning at the Stanford Law Society of Southern California's dinner-dance



Scott L. Harrington '51, Kenneth S. Cary '50, Hon. Edward C. Scoyen '50, Hon. John L. Cole '50, Alfred M. Sessions '51 at the Stanford law alumni Luncheon during the State Bar Convention



Professor Gordon Scott, Hon. Walter E. Craig '34, Joseph T. Melczer '37 at the Arizona Society Luncheon



Fred K. Steiner '52, Tom Reilly '68, Paul C. Ulrich '64, Richard K. Mallery '63, Neuman R. Porter '55 at the Arizona Society Luncheon



Stuart L. Kadison '48, Emeritus Professor Lowell Turrentine, Mifflin Ward '38 at the luncheon of Stanford law alumni attending the California State Bar Convention



Mr. and Mrs. John Bradley '57, Mr. and Mrs. Myrl Scott '55 at the dinner-dance given by the Stanford Law Society of Southern California



Seated are Lynn S. Richards '29, Lucille Richards. Standing are Reed A. Watkins '48 and Professor Michael Wald, at the November meeting of the Stanford Law Society of Utah



Assistant Dean Robert Keller discussed student activism at Stanford.

Professor Robert A. Girard spoke on "Money—the Mother's Milk of Politics" at a professor-seminar luncheon at Ruggero's in San Francisco March 11. Dick Wydick '62 planned this meeting of the Society.

#### OREGON

A breakfast meeting sponsored by the Stanford Law Society of Oregon was held on October 4 at the Hilton Hotel in Portland in connection with the annual meeting of the Oregon State Bar. The speaker was Assistant Dean Robert Keller.

Professor Thomas Ehrlich was guest speaker at a dinner meeting of the Society May 9 at Bart's Wharf in Portland. Mr. Ehrlich's topic was "Educational Developments at the Law School."

#### PENINSULA

The enchartering of the Stanford Law Society of the Peninsula took place March 18 at the Red Cottage in Menlo Park. Dean Bayless Manning presented the charter to President Albert J. Horn '51. Professor Howard Williams spoke on "The Student Revolt." Other officers of the new Society are: Wilbur R. Johnson '54, vice president; Dick W. Bennett '66, secretary; Marvin S. Siegel '61, treasurer.

Professor Michael Wald spoke on "Law and Racism" at a dinner meeting on June 4.

#### SANTA CLARA COUNTY

At a dinner meeting for Stanford law alumni held at the University Club in San Jose September 17, Assistant Dean Robert Keller spoke on student activism at Stanford.

Dean Manning presented the charter of the Stanford Law Society of Santa Clara County to its president, Hon. Homer B. Thompson '50, at the University Club in San Jose March 3. Professor Kenneth Scott '56 was the guest speaker; his topic was "Personal Perspectives on Law." Other officers of the new Society are: James Danaher '58, vice president; Olivia Davies '48, secretary-treasurer.

#### SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

As part of its series of professor-alumni exchanges, the Stanford Law Society of Southern California sponsored a seminar dinner at the Thistle Inn in Los Angeles November 18. Professor Jack Friedenthal spoke on "Legal Problems of the Poor."

The Society held a luncheon February 5 at the Univer-

sity Club in Los Angeles in honor of recent Stanford admittees to the California State Bar. The speaker was Hon. Lester E. Olson '53; special guests included Stanford law alumni who are members of the judiciary in the Los Angeles area.

Professor Byron Sher led a discussion on consumer protection during the Society's professor-alumni symposium at the Thistle Inn in Los Angeles March 24.

The Society sponsored a dinner-dance on board the Princess Louise in Los Angeles harbor April 18. Dean Manning discussed the projected new Law School building. R. Chandler Myers '58 was chairman for the event.

#### SUPERIOR CALIFORNIA

Professor Douglas Ayer spoke at a dinner meeting of the Stanford Law Society of Superior California February 11, at Aldo's Restaurant in Sacramento. Special guests at the dinner were Stanford alumni who are presently serving in the State Senate and Assembly and others working on the Governor's staff. Chairman of the event was Robert Schleh '59.

#### UTAH

The Stanford Law Society of Utah held a dinner meeting at the University Club in Salt Lake City November 14. Assistant Professor Michael S. Wald spoke on "Law and Racism."

#### STATE OF WASHINGTON

At a dinner meeting of the Stanford Law Society of the State of Washington in Seattle April 7, Professor John Kaplan spoke on "The Law and Drug Use."

*Albert J. Horn '51, John Jorgenson '50 and Virginia (Mrs. Junius) Cary '42 at the enchartering of the Stanford Law Society of the Peninsula, March 18, 1969*



□ Hon. Shirley M. Hufstedler '49, who has been an associate justice of the California Court of Appeal since 1966, was named to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Judicial Circuit by President Johnson last July. She is the second woman judge ever named to the United States Court of Appeals.

Other Law School alumni on the Court of Appeals are: Richard H. Chambers '32, Ben. C. Duniway '31 and Gilbert Jertberg '22.

□ Last August, Dean Bayless Manning gave a paper before the sixth International Symposium on Comparative Law, sponsored by the Canadian and Foreign Law Research Center in Ottawa, August 28-30. The paper was entitled "Interest Divorce: American Style."

On June 12, the Dean addressed the Western Assembly on Law and the Changing Society in Coronado. This speech was titled "Legal Education—Evolution and Mutation." Professor J. Keith Mann served as a rapporteur for the conference. An outgrowth of the American Assembly held last March in Chicago, the Western Assembly was sponsored by the American Assembly, Columbia, the American Bar Association and the State Bar of California.

□ Assistant Dean Thomas Robinson, who represents the Law School as a member of the Law School Admission Test Council, was made a member of the Council's Board of Trustees at the organization's annual meeting, which was held last summer in Montreal.

□ Professor Herbert Packer gave up his post as Stanford's Vice Provost for Academic Planning at the end of academic year 1968-69. Mr. Packer was the steering committee chairman of the Study of Education at Stanford, which was completed in January. He will return to full-time teaching at the Law School.

Mr. Packer received one of this year's Dinkelspiel awards at University Commencement exercises June 15. The Lloyd W. Dinkelspiel Awards for Outstanding Service to Undergraduate Education at Stanford University, "are awarded annually to a faculty or staff member and to a senior man and senior woman who have made a distinctive contribution to the development and enrichment of undergraduate education in its broadest sense."

*Professor Herbert Packer*



□ Professor Howard R. Williams was designated last summer as the first holder of the Stella W. and Ira S. Lillick Professorship at the Law School. Mr. Williams, a specialist in oil and gas law, held the Dwight Professorship of Law at Columbia University for four years before coming to Stanford in 1963. Mr. Lillick, a graduate of the Law School Class of 1897, died in April, 1967. He was a well-known admiralty lawyer and served for many years as a member of the University's Board of Trustees.

□ For the second consecutive year, seven Chilean law professors participated in a special seminar last summer concerning legal education and research. Professors Merryman and Ehrlich from Stanford, Professor Keith Rosenn of Ohio Law School and Professor Lawrence Sager of UCLA Law School also took part. The seminar is part of a program conceived and designed by the deans and faculties of the participating Chilean law schools, Professor Merryman, and the International Legal Center, which finances the program. Each Chilean professor spent four months following the seminar at a different U.S. law school, continuing his work in preparing teaching materials for use in Chile. This summer six Chilean professors will take part in the program, together with Professor Ehrlich, Professor Andreas Lowenfeld of the New York University Law School, and Mr. Steven Lowenstein, former International Legal Center representative in Chile.



□ Charles F. Lettow '68 was awarded the second prize in the 1968 Nathan Burkan Memorial Competition last September for a paper entitled "Conceptualizing the 'Misbegotten Tort of Misappropriation' After *Sears and Compco*" The Competition, sponsored by the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers, is designed to stimulate interest in the field of copyright law.

□ On September 5, Professor Byron Sher delivered a paper entitled "Is Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code Exportable?" at the Conference on Comparative Commercial Law in Montreal. The Conference, held September 4, 5 and 6, was sponsored by the Institute of Comparative and Foreign Law of McGill University in Montreal. Members of the panel came from Canada, England and the United States.

□ Professor Carl B. Spaeth, chairman of the Stanford Committee on International Studies, was appointed director of the Center for Research in International Studies in September.

□ Professor Kenneth E. Scott was made the chairman of the Stanford-SRI Study Committee appointed by then Acting President Robert J. Glaser last October. The Committee was designed to explore in detail the relationship between Stanford University and the Stanford Research Institute with a view toward whether the existing relationship should be maintained.

In its 50-page report, submitted April 15, the Committee majority recommended divestiture of SRI by Stanford. The Board of Trustees of the University announced in May that it had decided on divestiture of SRI, with the exact manner in which that was to be accomplished made a subject for further study.

□ As part of a series of lectures given under its sponsorship, Visiting Professor Leon Lipson was the guest speaker at a banquet of the School's International Society on November 1. Mr. Lipson's topic was "Domination and Peaceful Coexistence: Lessons of Czechoslovakia." On November 27, the Society hosted the Hon. Lionel Chevrier, Ambassador-at-large for Canada. Mr. Chevrier spoke informally about Canada's constitutional problems and its future relations with the Commonwealth. Fernando Belaunde Terry, former

*Hon. Shirley M. Hufstedler '49*



*Trustee Emeritus George Ditz '13 and Professor Carl B. Spaeth chat at Board of Visitors tenth anniversary celebration*



president of Peru, was the Society's guest on February 27. Mr. Belaunde held informal discussions with student groups during his visit to the School.

□ The Law Association sponsored a Halloween Party and hayride at the Von Homola Ranch November 2 and the annual Christmas dinner December 11 at Rickey's Hyatt House.

On May 10 the Association sponsored the annual student-faculty baseball game. The event was held in Flood Park.

□ The Law Forum and the Law School's Placement Office sponsored a talk in November by Los Angeles District Attorney Evelle Younger. Mr. Younger's topic was "Opportunities for Young Lawyers as Prosecutors." On November 4, Senator Alan Cranston closed his campaign for the Senate in a talk sponsored by the Forum and Stanford's Committee for a New Democratic Politics. The talk was open to the entire Stanford community. On February 14, Representative John Conyers was the guest of the Forum, the Stanford Political Union and Stanford's Stern Guest-in-Residence program for a lecture on "Racism and Prejudice." On February 17, Chancellor Glenn Dumke was the Forum's guest. He addressed law students on "Law and Order on Campus." On March 4, The Forum presented Mr. Eldon Griffiths, M.P., in a speech entitled "British Police

Practices." A member of the British Parliament since 1964, Mr. Griffiths has served as Parliamentary adviser to the Police Federation of England and Wales. Judge J. Skelly Wright, Circuit Court of Appeals, Washington, D.C., was a guest-in-residence of the Forum April 9-12. He was engaged in informal meetings with both faculty and students. On April 12 he was one of three judges in the annual finals of the Marion Rice Kirkwood Moot Court Competition.

□ On November 8 and 9 the School hosted a reunion of all former members of the Board of Visitors, celebrating the Board's tenth year. All members who left the Board before 1968 were invited. On Friday evening Dean and Mrs. Manning held a reception at their home before a dinner at the Menlo Country Club; after dinner a portrait of former Dean Carl Spaeth was unveiled (see page 21). Professor Marc Franklin was the evening's speaker.

On Saturday, the former Board members heard representatives of the School, including faculty and students, report on the Law School. Assistant Dean Thomas Headrick talked about the plans for the new Law School building.

□ Professor Edwin M. Zimmerman spoke before a meeting of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York on December 12. His topic was "The Anti-

*Senator Alan Cranston with Professor Robert Girard*



*Faculty-Student Baseball Game*



trust Laws in Your Future." On February 19 Mr. Zimmerman was a featured speaker at the 1969 Stanford Business Conference in San Francisco. Theme of the 1969 conference was "Business and Government Relations: Four Key Questions for the Future." Other featured speakers were Kermit Gordon, president of the Brookings Institution, Arjay Miller, dean-designate of Stanford's Graduate School of Business and Arthur Okun, chairman of the President's Council of Economic Advisers.

□ Professor Robert A. Girard was appointed to Stanford's newly organized Student Conduct Legislative Council, which met for the first time in January.

□ Professors John McDonough and Edwin Zimmerman returned to the Law School in January after leaves of absence. Mr. McDonough was serving as Associate Deputy Attorney General and Mr. Zimmerman was Assistant United States Attorney General, Antitrust Division.

□ Professor John Henry Merryman is on sabbatical for the academic year 1968-69. He has been at the Max Planck Institute in Hamburg, studying comparative law of land use controls and participating in the preparation of the *Encyclopedia of Comparative Law*.

□ Professor Marc A. Franklin, on sabbatical leave for 1968-69, is one of 47 scholars serving on a fellowship at the Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, which is located on Stanford lands.

Mr. Franklin has been a member of Stanford's Committee of Fifteen that developed the University's present judicial structure, including the Student Conduct Legislative Council and the Student Judicial Council. He was also a member of the group that drafted the structure for the present Academic Senate.

□ Professor William F. Baxter has been appointed to an advisory committee to the Program of Studies in the Regulation of Economic Activity. The new program was established by the Brookings Institution and will be supported by a Ford Foundation grant during its initial work over the next several years. Its aim is to produce a systematic evaluation of government prac-

tices and policies for regulating business activities.

□ Professor Byron Sher is serving as chairman of the University's Human Relations Commission.

□ Professors Thomas Ehrlich and Kenneth Scott are serving as representatives to Stanford's Academic Senate. Mr. Ehrlich has recently been elected Vice Chairman of the Academic Senate.

□ Stanford University has received a gift of \$100,000 from the Dorothy H. and Lewis Rosenstiel Foundation to establish the Dorothy H. Rosenstiel Fund at the Law School.

In establishing the Fund in memory of his wife, Mr. Rosenstiel specified that all or part of the Fund should be used for faculty salaries or scholarships at the Law School.

□ Professor Jack H. Friedenthal was appointed in March as chairman of the Stanford Judicial Council. The Council hears cases of students charged with violation of the University's Fundamental Standard and Honor Code.

□ On March 12 Justice Winslow Christian, California District Court of Appeal, spoke at the School on "The Tactics of Appellate Advocacy." His talk was sponsored by the Moot Court.

Professor Marc Franklin



Professor Leon Lipson



□ Stanford Law School received nearly \$2 million in gifts and pledges in the first four months of a major new fund-raising campaign, the Agenda for Legal Education. The Agenda program is headed by Los Angeles attorney Warren Christopher, aided by several regional chairmen, captains and other volunteer workers. A total of \$10.5 million is being sought in the first phase of the program, which will run through 1971. This will provide about \$6 million toward construction of a new Law School building, supplementing gift funds now available and grants currently sought through federal programs. An additional \$6 million is targeted for a later phase of the Agenda: \$2.4 million to support four endowed professorships, \$1 million to strengthen library resources, and the remainder for student scholarships and faculty research.

□ Professor Gerald Gunther served as a member of Stanford President Pitzer's Faculty Consultative Group on Campus Disruptions.

On May 2, Mr. Gunther addressed the Stanford Law Society of Arizona on the development of faculty involvement in Stanford's response to disruptions. Using as examples the May 1968 sit-in in the Old Student Union and the May 1969 sit-in in Encina Hall (when police were called in) he traced the development of University policy on disruptions over the year's time. He noted that, by the end of 1968: "There was a campus policy on disruption, a community-approved judicial process to enforce that policy, and new means of student and faculty participation."

At the conclusion of his remarks, he said:

While no one is happy with the resort to police force—least of all the President and Provost—the contrast in community support with the earlier sit-in and with results on many other campuses is marked. The University has learned new and more effective procedures for dealing with disruptions and the University community, while far from united on the issues, has worked together in enforcing its policy.

An article adapted from this talk appears in the June issue of "The Stanford Observer."

□ Professor Joseph Sneed is on sabbatical leave during 1969. Mr. Sneed, who recently completed his tenure as

president of the Association of American Law Schools, is doing research on tax systems of developing countries at the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies of the University of London. He has also received an educational exchange Fulbright grant to lecture at the University of Ghana on Ghanaian taxation and to conduct research on fiscal problems of developing countries, before returning to Stanford in December of this year.

□ The Luke B. Hancock Foundation has awarded Stanford Law School a grant to establish the Luke B. Hancock Scholarship Fund. According to the terms of the grant, the money will be used to support one student for the four years of study required in the School's special program for minority group students. The Hancock Foundation is located in Palo Alto.

□ Two men were appointed to permanent positions on the School's faculty as of academic year 1968-69. They are: Lawrence Friedman, formerly of the University of Wisconsin and Richard Posner, formerly chief counsel of the President's Communications Task Force in Washington, D.C. From 1965-67, Mr. Posner was an attorney in the office of the Solicitor General, Department of Justice.

Six visiting professors were teaching for all or part of academic year 1968-69. They were Mauro Cappelletti

*Professor Gerald Gunther*



*Professor Charles J. Meyers*





of the University of Florence, William Cohen of the University of California at Los Angeles, Richard Falk of Princeton, Leon Lipson of Yale, James Logan, former dean and professor of law at the University of Kansas and William Van Alstyne of Duke University.

Two men have taken positions as assistant deans at the School. Bruce H. Hasenkamp '63 comes from law practice in New York City. His principal responsibilities are in the coordination of placement activities and student organizations. Thelton Henderson, formerly of the Office of Economic Opportunity in East Palo Alto, is working at the School, assisting the Legal Aid Society and counseling students.

□ Law students in Stanford's Community Planning Laboratory have been engaged in a year-long study of solid waste disposal problems of the mid-Peninsula. The study, sponsored jointly by the Law School and the Department of Architecture, included members of several of the University's graduate departments, chiefly from architecture, engineering and law. The law students are working under the direction of Professor Michael Wald, who did the preliminary work last summer in defining the problems to be studied.

□ Nine members of the Class of 1969 were elected on May 21 to the Stanford chapter of the Order of the Coif:

James R. Atwood, Scarsdale, New York; David Stanley Bradshaw, Walnut Creek, California; Robert T. Clark, Plainview, New York; Michael J. Harbers, Pasadena, California; William Parker Hoffman, Jr., Berkeley, California; Merrill Eugene Jenkins, Whittier, California; Ray Edward McDevitt, San Francisco, California; Jack Byron Owens II, Auburn, California; Gerald Allen Wright, Seattle, Washington.

□ Professor Charles Meyers, an expert in water, oil and gas, is presently in Chile spending his sabbatical year studying the implications of Chilean water law for agricultural and economic development. He will return to Stanford this August.

□ Law School student organization heads for 1969-70 are: Terrance M. Adlhock, Utica, New York, Law Forum; Gilbert C. Berkeley, Jr., Fresno, California, Law Association; Douglas J. Sorensen, Scottsbluff, Nebraska, Law Students Civil Rights Research Council; Robert P. Etienne, Los Altos Hills, California, Legal Aid Society; Eric R. Fischer, New York, New York, *Stanford Journal of International Studies*; Jenik R. Radon, Riverdale, New York, International Society; Lawrence H. Title, Beverly Hills, California, Moot Court Board; Alan B. Pick, Seattle, Washington, Serjeants at Law; William E. Westerbeke, Quincy, Massachusetts, Yearbook.

*Dean Manning addresses former members of the Board of Visitors at the November anniversary*



*Dean Manning chats with Homer I. Mitchell '23 at the Board of Visitors tenth anniversary celebration*



□ The *Stanford Law Review* has announced its officers for Volume 22, all members of the Class of 1971. They are: *President*, Ernest Norton Tooby, San Marino, California; *Managing Editor*, Michael L. Burack, Mountain View, California; *Article and Book Review Editors*, Percy L. Angelo, Hinsdale, Illinois, Roger W. Kirst, St. Paul, Minnesota, James V. Selna, Santa Monica, California, William E. Eads, Lee's Summit, Missouri, Dale L. Matschullat, Hillsborough, California; Duane C. Quaini, Oxnard, California, Timothy G. Todd, Manhattan Beach, California, Stephen S. Walters, San Diego, California, Richard S. Wirtz, Washington, D.C.; *Executive Editor*, Daniel MacMeekin, Flourtown, Pennsylvania.

□ The following alumni have accepted clerkships for the 1969 term from the Class of 1968: Lionel M. Allan for Judge Robert F. Peckham, United States District Court, Northern District, California; William T. Lake for Justice John M. Harlan, United States Supreme Court; Gary D. Wilson for Justice Thurgood Marshall, United States Supreme Court. From the Class of 1969: Stephen C. Anderson for Judge Ben. C. Duniway, United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit; James

R. Atwood for Judge Shirley M. Hufstедler, United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit; Lawrence Calof for Judge William P. Gray, United States District Court, Central District, California; James M. Hoak, Jr. for Commissioner Nicholas Johnson, Federal Communications Commission; Joel N. Klevens for Justice Stanley Mosk, Supreme Court of California; Ray E. McDevitt for Justice Raymond L. Sullivan, Supreme Court of California; James L. McIntosh for Justice Winslow Christian, California District Court of Appeal, First Appellate District; Jeffrey L. Mason for Justice Harold C. Brown, California District Court of Appeal, First Appellate District; Jack B. Owens for Judge Frederick G. Hamley, United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit; Fredericka Paff for Judge Ben. C. Duniway, United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit; James J. Pandell for Justice Raymond E. Peters, Supreme Court of California; Harry C. Piper III for Judge Orman W. Ketcham, District of Columbia Juvenile Court; Gregory O. Wilhelm for Judge Walter E. Craig, United States District Court, Arizona; Vaughn C. Williams for Judge Carl McGowan, United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia.

Hon. Winslow Christian '49 with Bill Norris '54 at Board of Visitors November reunion meeting



Roger Kirst '70 and James Atwood '69 are given the 1968 Sontheimer Awards by Dean Manning



## A NOTE FROM THE STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Contributors to Volume 21 of the *Stanford Law Review* included Professors Ayer, Baxter, Friedman, Gunther, Meyers and Posner of the Law School faculty as well as legal scholars from other schools. A major undertaking of Volume 21 was the rigorous inquiry into the factors which affect juries' decisions in capital punishment cases in California. Other student-written pieces in Volume 21 ranged from a discussion of collective bargaining and the California public teacher to an analysis of containerization.

In Volume 22, in addition to the balanced range of topics, it is hoped that some attention will be paid to the critical problems of community control over the urban environment.

Stanford Law Review provides an alumnus with a vital link to the Law School, helps him to keep abreast of current developments in the law, and provides a research source of great usefulness.

A subscription form is attached.

*cut along lines*

### STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Please send me the *Stanford Law Review* for one year (six issues), for which I:

agree to pay

enclose my check for

} \$12.00

Please continue my subscription until further notice and bill me at the address below.

PLEASE PRINT

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Zip Code \_\_\_\_\_



Stanford School of Law  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

Nonprofit Organization  
U. S. POSTAGE

**PAID**

Permit No. 28  
Palo Alto, California

THIRD CLASS