

[REDACTED]

From: Scott, Jason@DDS <Jason.Scott@dds.ca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2018 3:55 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Checking in before COB [Stanford PRA]
Attachments: SR61284 CY 2017 Pop PRA 21488 [REDACTED]2018-10-11.xlsx

Please see attached data in response to your PRA. Please let me know if any questions.

W. Jason Scott

Assistant Chief Counsel
Dept. of Developmental Services
[REDACTED]
Jason.Scott@dds.ca.gov

"Representing DDS with Integrity and Excellence."

ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION – PRIVILEGED

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 12, 2018 6:42 AM
To: Scott, Jason@DDS <Jason.Scott@dds.ca.gov>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Checking in before COB [Stanford PRA]

Hi Jason,

Just checking in again on the PRA Request. Do you think it will be completed today? We're struggling with meeting deadlines since we hadn't expected it to take so long. Anything you can do to move things along, so we at least have it before the weekend, would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks again,
[REDACTED]

On Tue, Oct 9, 2018 at 1:02 PM [REDACTED] wrote:

Will do. Thanks, Jason! Best, [REDACTED]

On Tue, Oct 9, 2018 at 12:57 PM Scott, Jason@DDS <Jason.Scott@dds.ca.gov> wrote:

IT is processing your data run consistent with the clarifying parameters below. No change in the charges. Please make payment payable to DDS and forward to my attention at the address below.

W. Jason Scott

Assistant Chief Counsel

Dept. of Developmental Services

Office of Legal Affairs

[REDACTED]
Sacramento, CA 95814

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Jason.Scott@dds.ca.gov

“Representing DDS with Integrity and Excellence.”

ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION – PRIVILEGED

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 8, 2018 4:25 PM
To: Scott, Jason@DDS <Jason.Scott@dds.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: Checking in before COB [Stanford PRA]

Hi Jason,

Although what you have here is not incorrect, it seems slightly incomplete since it does not specify a year or how the data should be broken down. So I would slightly clarify the parameters as follows:

- a. For CY 2017 only and broken down by regional center, number of Lanterman-eligible consumers living in Residence Types 1, 2 or 3 (it's OK to combine counts across all three residence type categories, you do not need to break them out).
- b. For CY 2017 only and broken down by regional center, number of Lanterman-eligible consumers living in Residence Types 1, 2 or 3 who show POS but do not have Medi-Cal (it's OK to combine counts across all three residence type categories, you do not need to break them out).

c. For CY 2017 only, number of Lanterman-eligible consumers living in Residence Types 4, 5 or 6 (it's OK to combine counts across all regional centers and across all three residence type categories, you do not need to break them out).

Can I assume that the cost estimate is still accurate?

Thanks,

██████████

On Mon, Oct 8, 2018 at 3:41 PM Scott, Jason@DDS <Jason.Scott@dds.ca.gov> wrote:

Cost estimate for the following data extraction is ██████████. Please confirm the below parameters:

- a. Lanterman-eligible consumers living in Residence Types 1, 2 or 3 (it's OK to combine counts across all three of these categories, you do not need to break them out)
- b. Lanterman-eligible consumers living in Residence Types 1, 2 or 3 who show POS but do not have Medi-Cal (it's OK to combine counts across all three residence type categories, you do not need to break them out)
- c. Lanterman-eligible consumers (not broken down by regional center) of the total number of Lanterman-eligible consumers living in Residence types 4, 5 or 6.

Once confirmed I will have them start the programming with the expectation that payment is in route.

W. Jason Scott

Assistant Chief Counsel

Dept. of Developmental Services

████████████████████

Jason.Scott@dds.ca.gov

"Representing DDS with Integrity and Excellence."