

[REDACTED]@stanford.edu]

Sent: Friday, March 9, 2018 10:52 AM

To: Gelber, Eric@DDS <Eric.Gelber@dds.ca.gov>; Winfield, Brian@DDS <Brian.Winfield@dds.ca.gov>; Knight, Jim@DDS <Jim.Knight@dds.ca.gov>; Patel, Hiren@DDS <Hiren.Patel@DDS.ca.gov>; Doyle, John@DDS <John.Doyle@dds.ca.gov>; Reyes, Julia@DDS <Julia.Reyes@DDS.ca.gov>

Cc: [REDACTED]@stanford.edu; [REDACTED]@law.stanford.edu>

Subject: Follow-up from meeting

Dear Eric, Brian, Jim, John and Hiren,

Thanks again for meeting with us last month! Our conversation was extremely helpful. Having spent several weeks trying to digest what we learned, we are hoping to follow up on a few loose ends. In particular, we are wondering if you could possibly help us track down the following:

- i. The data/report you mentioned during our meeting that contains various details, trends, totals and breakdowns on the issuance of Health and Safety waivers.

- ii. Data on OAH decision outcomes (not the decisions themselves) prior to 2011. (We did a public records request on these outcomes, but only received them from 2011 on.)

- iii. Data on 4731 complaints prior to 2011. (We did a public records request on these outcomes, but only received information from 2011 on.)
- iv. Historical data on the percentage of consumers served by Regional Centers on the 1915(c) waiver, going back as far as possible. It would be ideal to obtain these figures broken down into "child" and "adult" categories (under age 18/22 versus over age 18/22, with exact cutoff depending on how you store the data), and if possible, to know how many *eligible* consumers were enrolled in these programs during the same period. (So far, all we have been able to obtain through a public records act request is the percentages of Regional Center consumers that were on the 1915(c) waiver last year.)
- v. The same historical data as above for the 1915(i) State Plan Amendment.
- vi. Any information the Department has on the barriers to enrolling more consumers in either of these two federal programs (esp. 1915(c)), and strategies the Department has used in the past to increase enrollment in response to budget cuts (e.g., circa 2012).

Most of the above requests are taken from our meeting notes, so it is possible that a few nuances got lost in translation. If so, please reach out to us by phone or e-mail, and we will try to clarify (or modify) as needed.

Thank you again for your time and assistance. Given the high stakes for people with DD and their families, we are trying hard to get this right and ensure that our research does justice to the importance (and complexity) of California's unique system!

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

Stanford Law School Class of 2018

[REDACTED]