

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 11:29 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: PRA New Data Set

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Mon, Jul 9, 2018 at 3:43 PM
Subject: RE: PRA New Data Set
To: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

That is indeed the case—a simple subtraction will yield those with POS for any given group (by age group, RC, ResType, and CY).

[REDACTED] **Research Program Specialist**

Data Extraction, Analytics, and Management Section (DataX)

Information Technology Division

Department of Developmental Services

[REDACTED]

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The information transmitted, including attachments, is intended only for the person(s) or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and destroy any copies of this information.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 9, 2018 6:02 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: PRA New Data Set

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

Can one of you answer this question about this PRA Lazaro completed before going on vacation?

[REDACTED]

From: Scott, Jason@DDS

Sent: Thursday, July 5, 2018 1:56 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: FW: PRA New Data Set

I presume the answer to her question is yes, but want to confirm with you guys. LMK

W. Jason Scott

Assistant Chief Counsel

Dept. of Developmental Services

[REDACTED]

“Representing DDS with Integrity and Excellence.”

ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION – PRIVILEGED

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, July 4, 2018 9:03 PM

To: Scott, Jason@DDS <Jason.Scott@dds.ca.gov>

Subject: Re: PRA New Data Set

Hi Jason,

Happy July 4th and thanks so much for sending this off!

I do have one quick clarifying question. It appears that for any given group (age category), the data sent two files: one with TOTAL counts, and other with counts of individuals with NO POS. So to get the number of individual with POS for any given group, I would just subtract the number from the second file (count without POS) from the number contained in the first file (total count).

From my relatively cursory glance at the numbers (and common sense), this seems to be the structure, but to avoid any possible confusion, I just wanted to confirm that.

Thanks a lot,



On Mon, Jul 2, 2018 at 2:46 PM, Scott, Jason@DDS <Jason.Scott@dds.ca.gov> wrote:

Received payment. Please see attached data sets.

W. Jason Scott

Assistant Chief Counsel

Dept. of Developmental Services



"Representing DDS with Integrity and Excellence."

ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION – PRIVILEGED