## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

#### A. VENUE

- 1. 28 U.S. Code § 636 Jurisdiction, powers, and temporary assignment
- 2. Tom Tillis and Patrick Leahy United States Senate, Committee on the Judiciary, Letter to The Honorable Chief Justice John Roberts, (November 02, 2021)
- 3. John G. Roberts, Jr., Chief Justice of the United States, 2021 Year-End Report on the Federal Judiciary (December 31, 2021)
- 4. Adrian Holley, et al., v. Gilead Sciences, Inc., No. 18-cv-06972-JST, 2023 WL 2440237 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 9, 2023)
- 5. Civil Local Rules, United States District Court, Northern District of California (February 2, 2023)
- 6. Richard Seeborg, Chief District Judge, Consenting to the Jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge, United States District Court Northern District of California (February 2023)
- 7. "About Federal Judges" accessible at www.uscourts.gov

## B. SETTLEMENT

- 1. Venable LLP, *Effective Mediation Skills and Strategies*, The Federal Bar Association Northern Virginia Chapter (November 18, 2010)
- 2. Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures Handbook, United States District Court Northern District of California (May 2018)
- 3. Peter S. Menell, et. al., *Patent Mediation Guide*, Federal Judicial Center (2019)
- 4. Association of Business Trial Lawyers (ABTL) Report, Northern California, Volume 26 No. 3 (2019)

## C. EXPERT WITNESSES

- 1. Blaine M. Hackman, et. al., *Expert Discovery Protections: Comparing District Courts with the PTAB*, 19 Chi.-Kent J. Intell Prop. 504 (2020).
- 2. Luis Balart, Having Your Cake and Eating It, Too: Using Special Masters in Daubert Hearings to Promote Scientific Analyses of Expert Testimony, 80 LA. L. Rev. 847 (2020).

- 3. John M. Golden, *Judicial Policing of Patent Damages Experts*, 98 Tex. L. Rev. 1307 (2020).
- 4. Edward K. Cheng, *The Consensus Rule: A New Approach to Scientific Evidence*, 75 Vand. L. Rev. 407 (2022).
- 5. Karen Johnson-McKewan and Johannes Hsu, *Disqualifying An Expert: When Is An Expert's Relationship Prejudicial to the Opposing Party?*, Mondaq Business Briefing (Oct. 15, 2018).
- 6. Suneal Bedi and David Reibstein, *Damaged Damages: Errors in Patent and False Advertising Litigation*, 73 ALA. L. REV. 385 (2021).
- 7. Gaston Kroub, *Meta Facing the Patent Music?*, Above The Law (Feb. 28, 2023)
- 8. Ryan Davis, 5 Ways Ineffective Expert Witnesses Can Doom Patent Cases, LAW360 (Sept. 3, 2019).
- 9. George Derpanopolous, et. al., The Use of Conjoint Analysis in High-Stakes Litigation: A Historical Review Up to Navarro et. al., v. Procter and Gamble, Which Withstood a Rigorous Daubert Challenge, 102 J. Pat. &; Trademark Off. Soc'y 502, 515 (2022).
- 10. Peter A. Malaspina, *Patent Citation Analysis and Patent Damages*, 18 Chi.-Kent J. Intell Prop. 232 (2019).

# D. LITIGATION COST

- 1. 2022 State of Corporate Departments, Thomson Reuters (2022)
- 2. Client Advisory, Citibank and Hildebrandt Consulting LLC (2023)
- 3. Lenore Horton, Corporate Legal Departments, Professional Perspective Managing Legal Expenses for Company Growth, Bloomberg Law (March 2022)
- 4. Sterling Miller Hilgers Graben PLLC, Tips for Managing Outside Legal Counsel Spend, Thomson Reuters

\*\*\*